

**Zeitschrift:** L'Enseignement Mathématique  
**Band:** 35 (1989)  
**Heft:** 1-2: L'ENSEIGNEMENT MATHÉMATIQUE

**Artikel:** ZETA FUNCTIONS AND GENUS OF QUADRATIC FORMS  
**Kapitel:** §3. Local representation masses and  $\mathbb{Q}_p$ -equivalence of forms  
**Autor:** Bayer, Pilar / Nart, Enric  
**DOI:** <https://doi.org/10.5169/seals-57377>

### **Nutzungsbedingungen**

Die ETH-Bibliothek ist die Anbieterin der digitalisierten Zeitschriften. Sie besitzt keine Urheberrechte an den Zeitschriften und ist nicht verantwortlich für deren Inhalte. Die Rechte liegen in der Regel bei den Herausgebern beziehungsweise den externen Rechteinhabern. [Siehe Rechtliche Hinweise.](#)

### **Conditions d'utilisation**

L'ETH Library est le fournisseur des revues numérisées. Elle ne détient aucun droit d'auteur sur les revues et n'est pas responsable de leur contenu. En règle générale, les droits sont détenus par les éditeurs ou les détenteurs de droits externes. [Voir Informations légales.](#)

### **Terms of use**

The ETH Library is the provider of the digitised journals. It does not own any copyrights to the journals and is not responsible for their content. The rights usually lie with the publishers or the external rights holders. [See Legal notice.](#)

**Download PDF:** 17.11.2024

**ETH-Bibliothek Zürich, E-Periodica, <https://www.e-periodica.ch>**

§ 3. LOCAL REPRESENTATION MASSES AND  $\mathbf{Q}_p$ -EQUIVALENCE  
OF FORMS

There is a formula due to Minkowski (cf. [9]) for  $\theta(u, f, p^t)$  if  $t$  is large enough, in which appear the well-known pair of invariants determining the  $\mathbf{Q}_p$ -equivalence class of  $f$ . As a consequence of this formula (cf. Proposition 3.2), we shall obtain a characterization of  $\mathbf{Q}_p$ -equivalence of forms through local representation masses.

Let  $s \geq 1$  be an integer and  $X_s = \{m \in \mathbf{Q}_p \mid -v_p(m) > s\}$ . For any integral  $p$ -adic quadratic form  $f$  we define the functions:

$$\begin{aligned} r_s(\cdot, f, \mathbf{Z}_p) &= p^{-\delta(f)/2} (r(\cdot, f, \mathbf{Z}_p) - p^{(1-k)s} r(\cdot, f, p^s)), \\ \theta_s(\cdot, f, \mathbf{Q}_p) &= p^{-\delta(f)/2} \theta(\cdot, f, \mathbf{Q}_p) \cdot 1_{X_s}, \end{aligned}$$

where  $\delta(f) = v_p(\det f)$ .

The reader may check that the function defined on  $\mathbf{Q}_p^k \setminus \{0\}$  by

$$\phi_s(x) = p^{-\delta(f)/2} \left( 1 - p^{(1-k)s} \frac{r(f(x), f, p^s)}{r(f(x), f, \mathbf{Z}_p)} \right) \cdot 1_{(\mathbf{Z}_p)^k}(x)$$

is integrable over  $\mathbf{Q}_p$  and that  $r_s = r_{\phi_s}$ ,  $\theta_s = \theta_{\phi_s}$ , so that these functions follow the general pattern mentioned in the introduction. Note that  $\phi_s$  is not a Schwartz-Bruhat function.

PROPOSITION 3.1.  $r_s \in L^1(\mathbf{Z}_p)$  and  $\theta_s(m) = \int_{\mathbf{Z}_p} r_s(n) \langle m, n \rangle dn$ .

*Proof.*  $r_s$  is integrable since  $r$  and  $r \pmod{p^s}$  are integrable. To prove the second assertion, by Proposition 2.2 we need only to compute

$$\hat{r}(m, f, p^s) = \int_{\mathbf{Z}_p} r(n, f, p^s) \langle m, n \rangle dn.$$

Let  $m = p^{-t}u$ ,  $u \in \mathbf{Z}_p$ ,  $p \nmid u$ ,  $t \geq 0$ . Let  $t_0 = \max\{s, t\}$ . On each class  $a + p^{t_0}\mathbf{Z}_p$ , the integrand is constant and we have

$$\hat{r}(m, f, p^s) = p^{-t_0} \sum_{a \in \mathbf{Z}/p^{t_0}\mathbf{Z}} r(a, f, p^s) \exp(2\pi i u a p^{-t}).$$

If  $t \leq s$  we have directly:

$$p^{(1-k)s} \hat{r}(m, f, p^s) = \theta(up^{s-t}, f, p^s) = \theta(m, f, \mathbf{Q}_p).$$

If  $t > s$  the sum is equal to

$$p^{-t} \sum_{a_o \in \mathbf{Z}/p^s \mathbf{Z}} r(a_o, f, p^s) \exp(2\pi i u a_o p^{-t}) \sum_{b \in \mathbf{Z}/p^{t-s} \mathbf{Z}} \exp(2\pi i u p^{s-t} b) = 0. \quad \square$$

In order to simplify Minkowski's formula for the theta-values, we will make use of the invariant  $[f]_p$  of a  $p$ -adic quadratic form introduced by Conway [2]. Let  $\alpha_k$  be the last invariant factor of  $f$  and let  $s_o(f) = v_p(2p\alpha_k)$ .

PROPOSITION 3.2. *Let  $f$  be a non singular  $p$ -adic integral quadratic form in  $k$  variables. For all  $t \geq s_o(f)$  and  $u \in \mathbf{Z}_p^*$  we have:*

$$\theta(u, f, p^t) = p^{(\delta+kt)/2} \varepsilon_p^{t^2(k+2\delta)} \left(\frac{u}{p}\right)^{kt+\delta} [f]_p \left(\frac{d_o}{p}\right)^t, \quad \text{if } p \neq 2,$$

$$\theta(u, f, 2^t) = 2^{(\delta+k(t+1))/2} \exp(2\pi i k/8) [f]_2 \left(\frac{2}{d_o}\right)^t \left(\frac{2}{u}\right)^{kt} [u]_2^k (u, \det f)_2,$$

if  $p = 2$ .

Here  $\delta = \delta(f)$ ,  $d_o = p^{-\delta} \det f$  and  $(a, b)_p$  denotes Hilbert's symbol.

*Proof.* Since  $\theta(u, f, p^t) = \theta(1, uf, p^t)$ , it is easy to reduce the claims to the case  $u = 1$ . Assume first  $p > 2$ . Let  $v = v_1 \dots v_r$ ,  $w = w_1 \dots w_{k-r}$ , where

$$f \sim \perp_{1 \leq i \leq r} \langle p^{s_i} v_i \rangle \perp_{1 \leq j \leq k-r} \langle p^{t_j} w_j \rangle$$

over  $\mathbf{Z}_p$ , with  $s_i$  odd,  $t_j$  even,  $v_i, w_j \in \mathbf{Z}_p^*$  for all  $i, j$ . Let  $t > \max_{i,j} \{s_i, t_j\}$ ;

by Prop. 1.1 we have

$$\theta(1, f, p^t) = p^{(\delta+kt)/2} \begin{cases} \varepsilon_p^r \left(\frac{v}{p}\right) & \text{if } t \text{ even} \\ \varepsilon_p^{k-r} \left(\frac{w}{p}\right) & \text{if } t \text{ odd.} \end{cases}$$

Since  $[f]_p = \varepsilon_p^r \left(\frac{v}{p}\right)$ , we get the desired formula.

We deal now with the case  $p = 2$ . Assume that, over  $\mathbf{Z}_2$ ,

$$f \sim \perp_{1 \leq i \leq r} \langle 2^{s_i} H_i \rangle \perp_{1 \leq j \leq k-2r} \langle 2^{t_j} v_j \rangle,$$

where  $H_i$  is 2-dimensional improperly primitive and  $v_j \in \mathbf{Z}_2^*$ . Let

$$U = \perp_{s_i \text{ even}} \langle H_i \rangle, \quad U' = \perp_{s_i \text{ odd}} \langle H_i \rangle, \quad V = \perp_{t_j \text{ even}} \langle v_j \rangle, \quad V' = \perp_{t_j \text{ odd}} \langle v_j \rangle.$$

Let  $d, d', v, v'$  denote the respective determinants of  $U, U', V$  and  $V'$ . By Proposition 1.1 we have for all  $t > 1 + \max_{i,j} \{s_i, t_j\}$

$$\theta(1, f, 2^t) = 2^{(\delta+k(t+1))/2} \exp(2\pi i w/8) \left(\frac{2}{dv}\right) \left(\frac{2}{d_0}\right)^t,$$

where  $w = \sum_{1 \leq j \leq k-2r} v_j$ . Let  $s$  denote the dimension of  $U$ ; one can see that

$$[U]_2 = \left(\frac{2}{d}\right) (-i)^{s/2}, \quad [2U']_2 = (-i)^{(2r-s)/2}.$$

Let  $m$  be the number of  $v_j$ 's in  $V$  congruent to 3 (mod 4), and let  $n_1, n_3, n_5, n_7$  be the respective number of  $v_j$ 's in  $V'$  congruent to 1, 3, 5 or 7 (mod 8); we have

$$[V]_2 [2V']_2 = i^{3n_1+n_3+2n_5+3n_7}.$$

Summing up these expressions the result follows.  $\square$

Whereas  $\mathbf{Z}_p$ -equivalence of forms is determined by all functions  $r(\cdot, f, p^t), t \geq 1$  (Theorem 1.2), or equivalently by its limit value  $r(\cdot, f, \mathbf{Z}_p)$  (Theorem 2.3), we prove in the next theorem that  $\mathbf{Q}_p$ -equivalent forms are characterized by having the same differences  $r_s(\cdot, f, \mathbf{Z}_p)$  between these two functions, for  $s$  sufficiently large.

**THEOREM 3.3.** *Let  $f, g$  be non singular integral  $p$ -adic quadratic forms in  $k$  variables. Suppose that  $s \geq \max(s_o(f), s_o(g))$ . Then the following conditions are equivalent:*

- i)  $f \sim g$  over  $\mathbf{Q}_p$ ,
- ii)  $r_s(\cdot, f, \mathbf{Z}_p) = r_s(\cdot, g, \mathbf{Z}_p)$ ,
- iii)  $\theta_s(\cdot, f, \mathbf{Q}_p) = \theta_s(\cdot, g, \mathbf{Q}_p)$ .

*Proof.* For any integer  $t \geq 1$  we consider the difference

$$\Delta r(n, f, p^t) := p^{(1-k)(t+1)} r(n, f, p^{t+1}) - p^{(1-k)t} r(n, f, p^t).$$

It is clear from the definitions that

$$r_s(n, f, \mathbf{Z}_p) = p^{-\delta(f)/2} \sum_{t \geq s} \Delta r(n, f, p^t).$$

If  $f$  and  $g$  are  $\mathbf{Q}_p$ -equivalent, then Proposition 3.2 implies that

$$p^{-\delta(f)/2} \theta(u, f, p^t) = p^{-\delta(g)/2} \theta(u, g, p^t),$$

for all  $u \in \mathbf{Z}_p^*$ ,  $t \geq s$ . Let  $n \in \mathbf{Z}_p$ , since

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{u \in (\mathbf{Z}/p^t\mathbf{Z})^*} p^{-t} \theta(u, f, p^t) \exp(-2\pi i n u p^{-t}) &= r(n, f, p^t) - p^{k-1} r(n, f, p^{t-1}) \\ &= p^{(k-1)t} \Delta r(n, f, p^{t-1}), \end{aligned}$$

we see at once that i)  $\Rightarrow$  ii). By Proposition 3.1, ii)  $\Rightarrow$  iii).

Assume now condition iii). Let  $t = s, s + 1$  and let  $u \in \mathbf{Z}_p^*$ ; from the equality  $\theta_s(up^{-t}, f, \mathbf{Q}_p) = \theta_s(up^{-t}, g, \mathbf{Q}_p)$  it follows, using Proposition 3.2, that  $[f]_p = [g]_p$  and  $\left(\frac{d_o(f)}{p}\right) = \left(\frac{d_o(g)}{p}\right)$ . Since the forms  $f$  and  $g$  have the same discriminant and Conway invariant, they are equivalent over  $\mathbf{Q}_p$ .  $\square$

Next we devote a few lines to  $\mathbf{R}$ -equivalence. We identify  $\mathbf{R}$  with its topological dual by defining  $\langle n, m \rangle = \chi_\infty(n, m) := \exp(-2\pi i n m)$ , for all  $n, m \in \mathbf{R}$ . We denote by  $dn, dx$  the Lebesgue measure on  $\mathbf{R}$  and  $\mathbf{R}^k$ , respectively.

Let  $f$  be a non-singular real quadratic form in  $k$  variables with signature  $(l, k-l)$ . Let  $A$  be the matrix of  $f$  and let  $C$  be any matrix satisfying:

$$C^T A C = D, \quad D = \left( \begin{array}{c|c} I_l & 0 \\ \hline 0 & -I_{k-l} \end{array} \right).$$

$P := (C C^T)^{-1}$  is called a *majorant* of  $f$ . Since  $P$  is positive definite, the function

$$\phi_\infty(x) = |\det f|^{1/2} \exp(-\pi(x^T P x))$$

is a Schwartz function on  $\mathbf{R}^k$ . On  $\mathbf{R}^*$  we define the functions

$$\begin{aligned} r(n, f, \mathbf{R}) &= \lim_{U \rightarrow \{n\}} \left( \int_{f^{-1}(U)} \phi_\infty(x) dx / \text{vol } U \right), \\ \theta(m, f, \mathbf{R}) &= \int_{\mathbf{R}^k} \phi_\infty(x) \langle f(x), m \rangle dx. \end{aligned}$$

We have seen at the end of Section 2 that  $r(\cdot, f, \mathbf{R})$  is a continuous function on  $\mathbf{R}^*$ , integrable on  $\mathbf{R}$  and that  $\theta(\cdot, f, \mathbf{R})$  is its Fourier transform. These functions do not depend on the chosen matrix  $C$ ; they depend only on the signature of  $f$ . In fact, since  $|\det C| = |\det f|^{-1/2}$ , if we make the change of variables  $x = Cy$  we obtain:

$$r(n, f, \mathbf{R}) = \lim_{U \rightarrow \{n\}} \left( \int_{d^{-1}(U)} \exp(-\pi(y^T y)) dy / dn(U) \right),$$

for all  $n \in \mathbf{R}^*$ , where we have denoted by  $d$  the quadratic form  $d(x) = x^T D x$ . It is also easy to check that for all  $m \in \mathbf{R}$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} \theta(m, f, \mathbf{R}) &= \int_{\mathbf{R}^k} \exp(-\pi(y^T y) + 2\pi m i(y^T D y)) dy \\ &= \left( \int_{\mathbf{R}} \exp(-\pi y^2(1 + 2im)) dy \right)^s \left( \int_{\mathbf{R}} \exp(-\pi y^2(1 - 2im)) dy \right)^{k-s} \\ &= (1 + 2im)^{s/2} (1 - 2im)^{(k-s)/2}. \end{aligned}$$

The following result is now clear:

**THEOREM 3.4.** *Let  $f, g$  be non-singular real quadratic forms in  $k$  variables. The following conditions are equivalent.*

- i)  $f \sim g$  over  $\mathbf{R}$ ,
- ii)  $r(\cdot, f, \mathbf{R}) = r(\cdot, g, \mathbf{R})$ ,
- iii)  $\theta(\cdot, f, \mathbf{R}) = \theta(\cdot, g, \mathbf{R})$ .  $\square$

#### § 4. ADELIC REPRESENTATION MASSES

Let  $\mathbf{A}$  be the ring of adèles over  $\mathbf{Q}$ . We identify  $\mathbf{A}$  with its topological dual by defining  $\langle n, m \rangle$ , where  $\chi$  is Tate's character

$$\chi(a) = \chi_\infty(a_\infty) \cdot \prod_p \chi_p(a_p),$$

for any  $a = (a_p) \in \mathbf{A}$ . Let  $dn$  be the restricted product measure of the local measures used in the preceding sections. As is well-known,  $dn$  is also a selfdual measure. Let  $dx$  be the Haar measure on  $\mathbf{A}^k$  naturally induced by  $dn$ .

A non-singular integral adelic quadratic form  $f$  in  $k$  variables with unit determinant can be identified to a collection  $(f_p)$  of non-singular integral  $p$ -adic quadratic forms in  $k$  variables such that  $p \nmid \det f_p$ , for almost all  $p$ .

Let  $\Phi$  be the Schwartz-Bruhat function on  $\mathbf{A}^k$  defined by

$$\Phi = \phi_\infty \cdot \prod_p 1_{(\mathbf{Z}_p)^k}.$$

Let  $\mathbf{A}_o := \mathbf{R} \times \prod_p \mathbf{Z}_p$ . We consider