

6. CONCLUDING REMARKS

Objektyp: **Chapter**

Zeitschrift: **L'Enseignement Mathématique**

Band (Jahr): **36 (1990)**

Heft 1-2: **L'ENSEIGNEMENT MATHÉMATIQUE**

PDF erstellt am: **09.08.2024**

Nutzungsbedingungen

Die ETH-Bibliothek ist Anbieterin der digitalisierten Zeitschriften. Sie besitzt keine Urheberrechte an den Inhalten der Zeitschriften. Die Rechte liegen in der Regel bei den Herausgebern.

Die auf der Plattform e-periodica veröffentlichten Dokumente stehen für nicht-kommerzielle Zwecke in Lehre und Forschung sowie für die private Nutzung frei zur Verfügung. Einzelne Dateien oder Ausdrucke aus diesem Angebot können zusammen mit diesen Nutzungsbedingungen und den korrekten Herkunftsbezeichnungen weitergegeben werden.

Das Veröffentlichen von Bildern in Print- und Online-Publikationen ist nur mit vorheriger Genehmigung der Rechteinhaber erlaubt. Die systematische Speicherung von Teilen des elektronischen Angebots auf anderen Servern bedarf ebenfalls des schriftlichen Einverständnisses der Rechteinhaber.

Haftungsausschluss

Alle Angaben erfolgen ohne Gewähr für Vollständigkeit oder Richtigkeit. Es wird keine Haftung übernommen für Schäden durch die Verwendung von Informationen aus diesem Online-Angebot oder durch das Fehlen von Informationen. Dies gilt auch für Inhalte Dritter, die über dieses Angebot zugänglich sind.

It follows that

$$(5.10) \quad I(V_m, a; V_n, b) = \sum_{j=0}^{\min\{m, n\}} \prod_{i=0}^{j-1} \frac{a - b + \frac{1}{2}(m+n) - i}{a - b - \frac{1}{2}(m+n) + i} P_j .$$

We summarize our results in the following theorem.

THEOREM 5.11. *The R-matrix associated to the representation*

$$V = V_{m_1}(a_1) \otimes \cdots \otimes V_{m_k}(a_k)$$

of Y is given by

$$R(a-b) = \left(\prod_{i,j=1}^k I(V_{m_i}, a + a_i; V_{m_j}, b + a_j) \right) \sigma ,$$

where the intertwining operators are given by equation (5.10) and σ is the switch map. The order of the factors in the product is such that the (i, j) -term appears to the left of the (i', j') -term iff

$$i > i' \quad \text{or} \quad i = i' \quad \text{and} \quad j < j' .$$

6. CONCLUDING REMARKS

Since we have discussed only the Yangian associated to \mathfrak{sl}_2 in this paper, it may be worth-while to indicate the extent to which the results above can be generalized to the Yangian $Y(\mathfrak{a})$ associated to an arbitrary finite-dimensional complex simple Lie algebra \mathfrak{a} .

The definition of $Y(\mathfrak{a})$ is precisely as in (1.1), except of course that $\{I_\lambda\}$ should be an orthonormal basis of \mathfrak{a} with respect to some invariant inner product. The formulae

$$\tau_a(x) = x, \quad \tau_a(J(x)) = J(x) + ax,$$

for $x \in \mathfrak{a}$, again define a one-parameter group of Hopf algebra automorphisms of $Y(\mathfrak{a})$, and the relation, discussed in section 5, between solutions of the quantum Yang-Baxter equation and intertwining operators between tensor products of representations of $Y(\mathfrak{a})$, which follows from the existence of the τ_a , is also valid in the general case.

The major complication which arises in the general case is that, except when $\mathfrak{a} = \mathfrak{sl}_2$, the assignment

$$x \rightarrow x, \quad J(x) \rightarrow 0$$

does not extend to a homomorphism of algebras

$$Y(\mathfrak{a}) \rightarrow U(\mathfrak{a}).$$

However, if $\mathfrak{a} = \mathfrak{sl}_n$, there is a substitute, namely

$$\varepsilon(x) = x, \quad \varepsilon(J(x)) = \frac{1}{4} \sum_{\lambda, \mu} \text{trace}(x(I_\lambda I_\mu + I_\mu I_\lambda)) I_\lambda I_\mu$$

(see [4]). One can now define evaluation representations of Y by pulling back representations of \mathfrak{sl}_n using the homomorphisms $\varepsilon \circ \tau_a$. We make the following conjecture, generalizing the case $n = 2$ proved above:

CONJECTURE. *Every finite-dimensional irreducible representation of $Y(\mathfrak{sl}_n)$ is isomorphic to a tensor product of evaluation representations.*

If $\mathfrak{a} \neq \mathfrak{sl}_n$ for any n , it turns out that there is no homomorphism of algebras $\varepsilon: Y(\mathfrak{a}) \rightarrow U(\mathfrak{a})$ such that $\varepsilon(x) = x$ for all $x \in U(\mathfrak{a})$ (see [4]), and there is no obvious analogue of the evaluation representations. However, there is a straightforward generalization of Theorem 2.4 classifying the finite-dimensional irreducible representations of $Y(\mathfrak{a})$ in terms of highest weights. Such representations are now in one-to-one correspondence with l -tuples of monic polynomials $\{P_i\}$, where $l = \text{rank } \mathfrak{a}$. It is natural to define a fundamental representation $V_{i,a}$ of $Y(\mathfrak{a})$ to be one corresponding to a set of polynomials of the form

$$\begin{aligned} P_j(u) &= 1 \quad \text{if } j \neq i, \\ P_i(u) &= u - a \end{aligned}$$

for some $a \in \mathbf{C}$. As in the case of semisimple Lie algebras, it can be shown that every finite-dimensional irreducible representation of $Y(\mathfrak{a})$ (for any \mathfrak{a}) is a subquotient of a tensor product of fundamental representations (see [3]), and understanding the structure of the fundamental representations $V_{i,a}$ is thus an important first step towards understanding the most general representation. The case where the i^{th} node of the Dynkin diagram is extremal (i.e. joined to only one other node), and also the case where the i^{th} fundamental representation of \mathfrak{a} is the adjoint representation, were discussed in [4], and the general case is dealt with in [3], except for a few nodes of E_7 and E_8 (some cases have also appeared without proof in the physics literature [10]).

As we have already mentioned, to any finite-dimensional irreducible representation of any Yangian $Y(\mathfrak{a})$ is associated a rational solution of the QYBE. Many examples of such R -matrices have been computed in the literature (see [10] for a recent summary), although these calculations are mainly restricted to the case of those fundamental representations which are irreducible as representations of \mathfrak{a} (the only exception seems to be [11], which considers the case where i corresponds to the spin representation(s) of $\mathfrak{a} = \mathfrak{so}_n$). In [3] we carry out the computations for most of the remaining fundamental representations, and also for the adjoint representation of $Y(\mathfrak{sl}_n)$. However, the computation of the R -matrix associated to an arbitrary representation of $Y(\mathfrak{a})$ remains a difficult open problem.