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all $k, l, m \in \mathbf{Z}_{+}$. If $u=u_{1} u_{2} \ldots u_{n}$ is any monomial in the generators of degree $n$, define its index

$$
\operatorname{ind}(u)=\sum_{i<j} \varepsilon_{i j}
$$

where

$$
\varepsilon_{i j}=\left\{\begin{array}{lll}
0 & \text { if } & u_{i} \prec u_{j} \\
1 & \text { if } & u_{j} \prec u_{i} .
\end{array}\right.
$$

Using Lemma 1.7, each monomial can be written as a sum of monomials of smaller degree, or smaller index, and hence, by an obvious induction, as a sum of monomials of index zero.

## 2. Highest weight representations

By analogy with the definition of highest weight representations of semisimple Lie algebras, one makes the following

Definition 2.1. A representation $V$ of the Yangian $Y$ is said to be highest weight if there is a vector $\Omega \in V$ such that $V=Y \Omega$ and

$$
x_{k}^{+} \Omega=0, \quad h_{k} \Omega=d_{k} \Omega, \quad k=0,1, \ldots
$$

for some sequence of complex numbers $\mathbf{d}=\left(d_{0}, d_{1}, \ldots\right)$. In this case, $\Omega$ is called a highest weight vector of $V$ and $\mathbf{d}$ its highest weight.

Remark. It follows immediately from Definition 1.1 that the assignment $x \mapsto x$ for $x \in \mathfrak{E l}_{2}$ extends to a homomorphism of algebras $\mathrm{t}: U\left(\mathfrak{E l}_{2}\right) \rightarrow Y$. By Proposition 2.5 below, t is injective. Thus, any representation of $Y$ can be restricted to give a representation of $\mathfrak{g l}_{2}$. In particular, we can speak of weights relative to $\mathfrak{E l}_{2}$ as well as relative to $Y$. It will always be clear from the context which type of weight is intended.

As in the case of semi-simple Lie algebras, there is a universal highest weight representation of $Y$ of any given highest weight:

Definition 2.2. Let $\mathbf{d}=\left(d_{0}, d_{1}, \ldots\right)$ be any sequence of complex numbers. The Verma representation $M(\mathbf{d})$ is the quotient of $Y$ by the left ideal generated by $\left\{x_{k}^{+}, h_{k}-d_{k} \cdot 1\right\}_{k \in \mathbf{Z}_{+}}$.

Proposition 2.3. The Verma representation $M(\mathbf{d})$ is a highest weight representation with highest weight $\mathbf{d}$, and every such representation is
isomorphic to a quotient of $M(\mathbf{d})$. Moreover, $M(\mathbf{d})$ has a unique irreducible quotient $\quad V(\mathbf{d})$.

Proof. Only the last statement requires proof. We consider $M(\mathbf{d})$
 $\left\{v \in M(\mathbf{d}): h_{0} . v=d_{0} v\right\}$ is one-dimensional, and spanned by the highest weight vector $1 \in M(\mathbf{d})$. Thus, if $M_{1}$ and $M_{2}$ are two proper subrepresentations of $M(\mathbf{d})$, then $M_{1}+M_{2}$ is also proper. It follows that $M(\mathbf{d})$ has a unique maximal proper subrepresentation.
The question of which highest weight representations are finite-dimensional was answered by Drinfel'd in [5, Theorem 2]. His result may be stated as follows.

THEOREM 2.4. (a) Every irreducible finite-dimensional representation of $Y$ is highest weight.
(b) The irreducible highest weight representation $V(\mathbf{d})$ of $Y$ is finitedimensional if and only if there exists a monic polynomial $P \in \mathbf{C}[u]$ such that

$$
\frac{P(u+1)}{P(u)}=1+\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} d_{k} u^{-k-1},
$$

in the sense that the right-hand side is the Laurent expansion of the left-hand side about $u=\infty$.

To construct examples of highest weight representations of $Y$, we need the following result, which is an immediate consequence of the defining relations (1.1).

Proposition 2.5. (a) The assignment $x \mapsto x, J(x) \mapsto 0$ extends to a homomorphism of algebras $\varepsilon_{0}: Y \rightarrow U\left(\mathfrak{g l}_{2}\right)$.
(b) For any $a \in \mathbf{C}$, the assignment $x \mapsto x, J(x) \mapsto J(x)+a x$ extends to an automorphism $\tau_{a}$ of $Y$.

By part (a), if $V$ is a representation of $\mathfrak{\xi l}_{2}$, one can pull it back by $\varepsilon_{0}$ to give a representation $V$ of $Y$. Pulling back this representation by $\tau_{a}$ then gives a one-parameter family of representations $V(a)$ of $Y$. Note that $V(a)$ is an irreducible representation of $Y$ because $\varepsilon_{0}$ is surjective.

Let $W_{m}$ be the ( $m+1$ )-dimensional irreducible representation of $\mathfrak{H I}_{2}, m \in \mathbf{Z}_{+}$. Then, $W_{m}(a)$ has a basis $\left\{e_{0}, \ldots, e_{m}\right\}$ on which the action of $Y$ is given by:

$$
x^{+} . e_{i}=(i+1) e_{i+1}, \quad x^{-} . e_{i}=(m-i+1) e_{i-1}, \quad \text { h. } e_{i}=(2 i-m) e_{i}
$$

the action of $J(h)$ (resp. $\left.J\left(x^{ \pm}\right)\right)$being $a$ times that of $h\left(r e s p . x^{ \pm}\right)$. To make contact with the theory of highest weight representations, we need:

Proposition 2.6. The action of the generators $h_{k}, x_{k}^{ \pm}$on $W_{m}(a)$ is given by:
(1) $x_{k}^{+} \cdot e_{i}=\left(a-\frac{1}{2} m+i+\frac{1}{2}\right)^{k}(i+1) e_{i+1}$;
(2) $x_{k}^{-} \cdot e_{i}=\left(a-\frac{1}{2} m+i-\frac{1}{2}\right)^{k}(m-i+1) e_{i-1}$;
(3)

$$
\begin{aligned}
& h_{k} \cdot e_{i}=\left\{\left(a-\frac{1}{2} m+i-\frac{1}{2}\right)^{k} i(m-i+1)\right. \\
& \left.-\left(a-\frac{1}{2} m+i+\frac{1}{2}\right)^{k}(i+1)(m-i)\right\} e_{i}
\end{aligned}
$$

Proof. It is straightforward to check, using the relations (1)-(3) in Theorem 1.2, that these formulas do define a representation of $Y$. It therefore suffices to check that they also give the correct action of the generators $h, J(h), x^{ \pm}, J\left(x^{ \pm}\right)$. This is another straightforward computation, using the isomorphism $\phi$ in (1.2).

COROLLARY 2.7. (a) $W_{m}(a)$ is a highest weight representation with highest weight $\mathbf{d}=\left(d_{0}, d_{1}, \ldots\right)$ given by

$$
d_{k}=m\left(a+\frac{1}{2} m-\frac{1}{2}\right)^{k}
$$

(b) The monic polynomial $P$ associated to $W_{m}(a)$ is given by

$$
P(u)=\left(u-a+\frac{1}{2} m-\frac{1}{2}\right)\left(u-a+\frac{1}{2} m-\frac{3}{2}\right) \ldots\left(u-a-\frac{1}{2} m+\frac{1}{2}\right) .
$$

Proof. (a) It is clear that $e_{m}$ is a highest weight vector for $W_{m}(a)$ relative to $Y$. The eigenvalues of the $h_{k}$ on $e_{m}$ are as stated.
(b) By Theorem 2.4(b), the polynomial $P$ is determined by

$$
\frac{P(u+1)}{P(u)}=1+\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} m\left(a+\frac{1}{2} m-\frac{1}{2}\right)^{k} u^{-k-1}
$$

$$
=\frac{\left(u-a+\frac{1}{2} m+\frac{1}{2}\right)}{\left(u-a-\frac{1}{2} m+\frac{1}{2}\right)} .
$$

The stated $P$ clearly satisfies this equation.
In section 4 we shall need to consider the duals of the evaluation representations $W_{m}(a)$. If $V$ is any finite-dimensional representation of $Y$, its dual $V^{*}$ is naturally a representation of $Y^{o p}$, the vector space $Y$ with the opposite multiplication:

$$
x . y\left(\text { in } Y^{o p}\right)=y . x(\text { in } Y) .
$$

Moreover, $Y^{o p}$ is a Hopf algebra with the same co-multiplication as $Y$.

Proposition 2.8. There is an isomorphism of Hopf algebras $\theta: Y \rightarrow Y^{o p}$ such that

$$
\theta(x)=-x, \quad \theta(J(x))=J(x)
$$

for all $x \in \mathfrak{E l}_{2}$.
Proof. It is sufficient to prove that the assignment $x \mapsto-x, J(x) \mapsto J(x)$ extends to a homomorphism of Hopf algebras $Y \rightarrow Y^{o p}$. The relations in $Y^{o p}$ are obtained by inserting a minus sign on the right-hand side of relations (1) and (3) in (1.1). The result is now clear.

Remark. The anti-homomorphism $\theta: Y \rightarrow Y$ is closely related to the antipode $S$ of $Y$, which is given by

$$
S(x)=-x, \quad S(J(x))=-J(x)+\frac{1}{4} c x,
$$

where $c$ is the eigenvalue of the Casimir operator in the adjoint representation of $\mathfrak{S l}_{2}$ (which depends of course on the choice of inner product (, ) on $\mathfrak{L l}_{2}$ ).

Thus, if $V$ is a finite-dimensional representation of $Y$, then $V^{*}$ is a representation of $Y$ with action

$$
(y . f)(v)=f(\theta(y) \cdot v),
$$

for $y \in Y, v \in V$ and $f \in V^{*}$. Moreover, the fact that $\theta$ preserves the comultiplication implies that $\left(V_{1} \otimes V_{2}\right)^{*} \cong V_{1}^{*} \otimes V_{2}^{*}$ for any two representations $V_{1}, V_{2}$ of $Y$.

COROLLARY 2.9. As representations of $Y$, we have

$$
W_{m}(a)^{*} \cong W_{m}(-a) .
$$

Proof. On $W_{m}(a), J(x)$ acts as $a x$. Therefore, on $W_{m}(a)^{*}, J(x)$ acts as - ax.

The following is a related result.

Proposition 2.10. Every evaluation representation $W_{m}(a)$ has a nondegenerate invariant symmetric bilinear form.

This means that there is a non-degenerate symmetric bilinear form $<,>$ on $W_{m}(a)$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle y \cdot v_{1}, v_{2}\right\rangle=\left\langle v_{1}, \omega(y) \cdot v_{2}\right\rangle \tag{2.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $y \in Y, v_{1}, v_{2} \in W_{m}(a)$.
Proof. It is well-known that the representation $W_{m}$ of $\mathfrak{g l} \mathscr{l}_{2}$ carries a form $<,>$ which satisfies (2.11) for all $y \in \mathfrak{\xi l} \mathfrak{l}_{2}$. Moreover, the form is unique up to a scalar multiple because $W_{m}$ is irreducible. To prove (2.11) in general, it suffices to check the case $y=x_{k}^{+}$, since the case $y=x_{k}^{-}$then follows because $<,>$ is symmetric, and $\omega\left(x_{k}^{+}\right)=x_{k}^{-}$. Since vectors of different weights are orthogonal, it is therefore enough to prove.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\left\langle x_{k}^{+} \cdot e_{i}, e_{i+k}\right\rangle=<e_{i}, x_{k}^{-} \cdot e_{i+k}\right\rangle \tag{2.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

(with the understanding that $e_{i}=0$ unless $0 \leqslant i \leqslant n$ ). This follows easily from Proposition 2.6 and the invariance of $<,>$ under $\mathfrak{E l}_{2}$.

## 3. A combinatorial Interlude

The form of the polynomial $P$ associated to the representation $W_{m}(a)$ in Corollary 2.7 (b) suggests the following definition.

Definition 3.1. A non-empty finite set of complex numbers is said to be a string if it is of the form $\{a, a+1, \ldots, a+n\}$ for some $a \in \mathbf{C}$ and some $n \in \mathbf{N}$. The centre of the string is $a+\frac{n}{2}$ and its length is $n+1$.

We shall also need:
Definition 3.2. Two strings $S_{1}$ and $S_{2}$ are said to be non-interacting if either

