

6. PRESENTATIONS III: \$K_2\$

Objektyp: **Chapter**

Zeitschrift: **L'Enseignement Mathématique**

Band (Jahr): **40 (1994)**

Heft 3-4: **L'ENSEIGNEMENT MATHÉMATIQUE**

PDF erstellt am: **12.07.2024**

Nutzungsbedingungen

Die ETH-Bibliothek ist Anbieterin der digitalisierten Zeitschriften. Sie besitzt keine Urheberrechte an den Inhalten der Zeitschriften. Die Rechte liegen in der Regel bei den Herausgebern.

Die auf der Plattform e-periodica veröffentlichten Dokumente stehen für nicht-kommerzielle Zwecke in Lehre und Forschung sowie für die private Nutzung frei zur Verfügung. Einzelne Dateien oder Ausdrucke aus diesem Angebot können zusammen mit diesen Nutzungsbedingungen und den korrekten Herkunftsbezeichnungen weitergegeben werden.

Das Veröffentlichen von Bildern in Print- und Online-Publikationen ist nur mit vorheriger Genehmigung der Rechteinhaber erlaubt. Die systematische Speicherung von Teilen des elektronischen Angebots auf anderen Servern bedarf ebenfalls des schriftlichen Einverständnisses der Rechteinhaber.

Haftungsausschluss

Alle Angaben erfolgen ohne Gewähr für Vollständigkeit oder Richtigkeit. Es wird keine Haftung übernommen für Schäden durch die Verwendung von Informationen aus diesem Online-Angebot oder durch das Fehlen von Informationen. Dies gilt auch für Inhalte Dritter, die über dieses Angebot zugänglich sind.

where v is the volume of a fundamental polygon in the upper half plane, and e_i denote the number of elliptic cycles of angles $2\pi/i$. For v , there is a formula due to Humbert. The e_i correspond to conjugacy classes of elements of order i in $PS\Gamma$, these in turn to classes of embeddings of fourth and sixth roots of unity into D ; there are formulae for these as well. For an updated presentation of all of this, we refer to [Vi].

Meanwhile, Eichler's somewhat breathtaking «tour de force» has been turned into a standard argument with the calculation of a Tamagawa number as its core. Here is a rough sketch. Denote by G the algebraic group (linear, semisimple, anisotropic) defined over \mathbf{Z} by the norm one elements of D^\times ; thus, $G(\mathbf{Z}) = S\Gamma$ and $G(\mathbf{R}) = SL_2(\mathbf{R})$. Let \mathbf{A} be the adèle ring of \mathbf{Q} and view $G(\mathbf{Q})$ and $G(\mathbf{Z})$ as subgroups of $G(\mathbf{A})$ via the diagonal embedding. Let

$$C = \prod_{p \text{ prime}} G(\mathbf{Z}_p) \quad \text{and} \quad U = G(\mathbf{R}) \times C .$$

Then

$$G(\mathbf{A}) = G(\mathbf{Q})U \quad \text{and} \quad G(\mathbf{Q}) \cap U = G(\mathbf{Z}) .$$

This induces a bijection of homogeneous spaces

$$G(\mathbf{A})/G(\mathbf{Q}) \cong U/G(\mathbf{Z}) ,$$

preserving the volumes with respect to the Tamagawa measure. Now the volume on the left is, by definition, the Tamagawa number, and equals 1, whence the equation

$$\text{vol}(G(\mathbf{R})/G(\mathbf{Z})) = (\text{vol } C)^{-1} .$$

Here, the volume on the right is easy and equals $\zeta(2)\varphi(d)d^{-1}$. The left side can be translated into the volume of a fundamental of $G(\mathbf{Z})$ in the upper half plane, and Gauss-Bonnet brings in the genus. The details can be found in [Vi, ch. IV].

6. PRESENTATIONS III: K_2

As a byproduct of their computations, Kirchheimer and Wolfart [KW] obtained a description of $K_2(R)$ for the rings R they treated. Conversely, if $K_2(R)$ happens to be known from another source, one can derive presentations of $SL_n(R)$, $n \geq 3$. This idea has been pursued in a series of papers by Hurrelbrink ([Hu 1]-[Hu 3]). The general argument runs as follows.

Let R be any commutative ring, $n \geq 3$, and for $r \in R$ let $e_{ij}(r)$ be the elementary matrix in $SL_n(R)$ having r in the $i - j$ -position ($i \neq j$). Then we have the "trivial" relations

$$(6) \quad \begin{cases} e_{ij}(s)e_{ij}(r) &= e_{ij}(s+r), \\ [e_{ij}(s), e_{jl}(r)] &= e_{il}(sr), i \neq l \\ [e_{ij}(s), e_{kl}(r)] &= 1, j \neq k, i \neq l. \end{cases}$$

Let $St_n(R)$ be the abstract group generated by elements $x_{ij}(s)$, $s \in R$, with relations as in (6). $St_n(R)$ is called the n -th Steinberg group, and there is an obvious surjective homomorphism

$$\varphi_n = St_n(R) \rightarrow E_n(R),$$

$E_n(R)$ denoting the subgroup of $SL_n(R)$ generated by the $e_{ij}(r)$. The kernel of φ_n is denoted $K_2(n, R)$. As for GL_2 we can form the direct limit

$$St(R) = \lim_{\rightarrow} St_n(R)$$

and obtain a surjection

$$\varphi = \lim \varphi_n : St(R) \rightarrow E(R) = \lim E_n(R)$$

with kernel

$$K_2(R) = \lim K_2(n, R).$$

Thus $K_2(R)$ codifies the nontrivial relations among elementary matrices over R of *all* sizes. Now let R be the integral domain of a number field. Here we have two stability results: Vaserstein [Va] showed that

$$E_n(R) = SL_n(R), \quad \text{for } n \geq 3,$$

and van der Kallen [Ka] that

$$K_2(n, r) = K_2(R), \quad \text{for } n \geq 3,$$

both under the hypothesis that R^\times is infinite, thus excluding $R = \mathbf{Z}$ and the imaginary quadratic case.

Consequently, if one knows generators of $K_2(R)$ in terms of the $x_{ij}(s)$, one can write down immediately presentations of $SL_n(R)$, $n \geq 3$. Now how can one possibly know something about $K_2(R)$ without knowing the matrix relations in advance? The miracle happens in form of the Birch-Tate conjecture: assume that $K = \text{Quot } R$ is totally real. Let $\zeta_K(s)$ be the Dedekind zeta function of K . The Birch-Tate conjecture predicts that

$$(7) \quad \# K_2(R) = w_2(K) |\zeta_K(-1)|,$$

where $w_2(K)$ is a natural number which is easily computed. It follows from the results of [MW] that the odd part of (7) is true if K is abelian. This makes it possible to calculate the odd part of $\#K_2(R)$ in concrete cases: by the Kronecker-Weber theorem, K is a subfield of a cyclotomic field. From this one derives that $\zeta_K(s)$ is a product of Dirichlet series the values of which at negative integers can be expressed by generalized Bernoulli numbers. Finally, the 2-part of $\#K_2(R)$ has been calculated in some real quadratic cases by Browkin and Schinzel [BrS]. Collecting these informations, one has, e.g.,

$$\#K_2(R) = 12 \text{ for } K = \mathbf{Q}(\sqrt{6}) ,$$

([Hu3], Th. 8). Now it is not too difficult to write down sufficiently many different elements of $K_2(R)$ (so-called Steinberg and Dennis-Stein symbols). Thus, one knows $K_2(R)$, and presentations of $SL_n(R)$, $n \geq 3$, drop out. In [Hu2], Hurrelbrink treats the integral domains of the real subfields of the 9-th and 15-th cyclotomic field, this time relying on the Birch-Tate conjecture for these fields. A generalization of this line of thought to cases involving skew fields seems to be out of sight at present.

I would like to mention here (although K -theory is not explicitly used) a purely algebraic method due to P.M. Cohn [C] which gives presentations of $SL_2(R)$ for certain subrings R of \mathbf{C} ; this method applies to the integral domains of the euclidean imaginary quadratic fields $\mathbf{Q}(\sqrt{-d})$, $d = 1, 2, 3, 7, 11$. The presentations involve *all* matrices

$$\begin{pmatrix} x & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \text{ and } \begin{pmatrix} y & 0 \\ 0 & y^{-1} \end{pmatrix}, \text{ } y \text{ a unit ,}$$

hence are, by genesis, not finite. In the cases in question it is however possible to reduce them to finite presentations. This is carried out in [F, p. 73 ff.].

7. COHOMOLOGY

We recall some notions from the cohomology theory of groups; ideal references for our purposes are the book [Br] by K. Brown and Serre's article [Se3].

A group Γ is said to have cohomological dimension n , $cd \Gamma = n$, if n is the maximal dimension for which there exists a Γ -module M such that $H^n(\Gamma, M) \neq 0$. If there is no such n , $cd \Gamma = \infty$. If $cd \Gamma < \infty$, then Γ is torsion free. It is known that $cd \Gamma = 1$ if and only if Γ is free. There is a virtual notion: $vcd \Gamma = n$ if Γ contains a torsion free subgroup Δ of finite index