

1. INTEGRAL AND ABSOLUTE CONTINUITY AND THE LOCAL PROBLEM

Objektyp: **Chapter**

Zeitschrift: **L'Enseignement Mathématique**

Band (Jahr): **41 (1995)**

Heft 3-4: **L'ENSEIGNEMENT MATHÉMATIQUE**

PDF erstellt am: **12.07.2024**

Nutzungsbedingungen

Die ETH-Bibliothek ist Anbieterin der digitalisierten Zeitschriften. Sie besitzt keine Urheberrechte an den Inhalten der Zeitschriften. Die Rechte liegen in der Regel bei den Herausgebern.

Die auf der Plattform e-periodica veröffentlichten Dokumente stehen für nicht-kommerzielle Zwecke in Lehre und Forschung sowie für die private Nutzung frei zur Verfügung. Einzelne Dateien oder Ausdrucke aus diesem Angebot können zusammen mit diesen Nutzungsbedingungen und den korrekten Herkunftsbezeichnungen weitergegeben werden.

Das Veröffentlichen von Bildern in Print- und Online-Publikationen ist nur mit vorheriger Genehmigung der Rechteinhaber erlaubt. Die systematische Speicherung von Teilen des elektronischen Angebots auf anderen Servern bedarf ebenfalls des schriftlichen Einverständnisses der Rechteinhaber.

Haftungsausschluss

Alle Angaben erfolgen ohne Gewähr für Vollständigkeit oder Richtigkeit. Es wird keine Haftung übernommen für Schäden durch die Verwendung von Informationen aus diesem Online-Angebot oder durch das Fehlen von Informationen. Dies gilt auch für Inhalte Dritter, die über dieses Angebot zugänglich sind.

1. INTEGRAL AND ABSOLUTE CONTINUITY AND THE LOCAL PROBLEM

DEFINITION 1.1. A bounded subset Ω of \mathbf{R}^n is called a Lipschitz domain if for any $a \in \Omega \setminus \overset{\circ}{\Omega}$, there exists an open neighborhood U of a in \mathbf{R}^n , a coordinate system (isometric to the canonical one) $(x', x_n) = ((x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}), x_n)$, and a Lipschitz continuous function $\varphi: \mathbf{R}^{n-1} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ such that

$$\Omega \cap U = \{(x', x_n); \varphi(x') \leq x_n\} \cap U.$$

Also, if the new coordinates are actually obtained by permuting the canonical ones, then Ω is called a simple Lipschitz domain.

Note that, the border of the domain Ω , $b\Omega := \Omega \setminus \overset{\circ}{\Omega}$, is either the empty set or a $(n - 1)$ -dimensional Lipschitz submanifold of \mathbf{R}^n (assumed with the standard induced orientation).

Let now Ω be a Lipschitz domain in \mathbf{R}^n and ω an open set in \mathbf{R}^{n-1} . A locally bi-Lipschitz mapping $\varphi: \omega \rightarrow \Omega$ is called Lipschitz embedding provided φ maps ω homeomorphically onto $\varphi(\omega)$. Furthermore, if S is a topological space, $h: S \times \omega \rightarrow \Omega$ is called a continuous family of Lipschitz embeddings if $h_s := h(s, \cdot)$ is a Lipschitz embedding for each fixed $s \in S$, and if the mappings

$$(1.1) \quad S \ni s \mapsto \frac{\partial h_s}{\partial x_i} \in L^\infty(\omega, \text{loc}), \quad i = 1, \dots, n - 1,$$

are continuous. Here $L^\infty(\omega, \text{loc})$ is endowed with the usual (Fréchet) topology given by uniform convergence on compact subsets of ω . Throughout this paper S will actually always be a locally closed subspace of some \mathbf{R}^k .

Let $L^1(\Omega, \text{loc})$ stand for the vector space of differential forms with locally integrable coefficients on Ω . We consider this space endowed with the usual (locally convex) topology.

DEFINITION 1.2. A complex-valued $(n - 1)$ -form u defined on Ω is called integrally continuous if:

- (1) the form u is locally $(n - 1)$ -integrable, i.e. φ^*u is locally integrable on ω for any Lipschitz embedding $\varphi: \omega \rightarrow \Omega$;
- (2) the mapping $S \ni s \mapsto h_s^*u \in L^1(\omega, \text{loc})$ is continuous, for any continuous family of Lipschitz embeddings $h: S \times \omega \rightarrow \Omega$.

EXAMPLES. Let $u = \sum_{i=1}^n (-1)^{i-1} u_i dx_1 \wedge \dots \wedge \widehat{dx}_i \wedge \dots \wedge dx_n$ be a $(n - 1)$ -form on Ω where, as usual, the symbol under the “hat” is omitted in the product.

(1) If for $1 \leq i \leq n$ the functions $u_i|_C$ are μ_{n-1} -integrable for any compact set $C \subset \Omega$ having $\mu_{n-1}(C) < +\infty$, then u is locally $(n-1)$ -integrable. In particular, this is the case if u_i are locally bounded.

(2) Suppose that there exists a set $A \subset \Omega$ of zero $(n-1)$ -dimensional Hausdorff measure such that $u_i|_{(\Omega \setminus A)}$ is continuous (in the induced topology) and $u_i|_{C \cap (\Omega \setminus A)}$ is μ_{n-1} integrable for any $1 \leq i \leq n$ and any compact set $C \subset \Omega$ having $\mu_{n-1}(C) < +\infty$. Then u is integrally continuous as well.

(3) For $n = 1$, a $(n-1)$ -form u is a function and, in this case, the form u is integrally continuous if and only if the function u is continuous.

Recall the usual exterior derivative operator d . The main result of this section is the following.

THEOREM 1.3. *Consider a Lipschitz domain Ω in \mathbf{R}^n . Let u be an integrally continuous $(n-1)$ -form on Ω and let f be a locally integrable n -form on Ω . The following are equivalent.*

- (1) *For any compact Lipschitz domain $K \subseteq \Omega$ we have $\int_{\partial K} u = \iint_K f$.*
- (2) *For any rectangle $Q \in \mathcal{R}(\Omega)$ we have $\int_{\partial Q} u = \iint_Q f$.*
- (3) *$du = f$ in the distribution sense on $\overset{\circ}{\Omega}$.*

Before we proceed with the proof of this theorem, we shall prove a lemma. To state it, we need some more notation. Let χ be a positive, smooth, function supported in the closed unit ball in \mathbf{R}^n and normalized such that $\iint_{\mathbf{R}^n} \chi dx = 1$. For $\varepsilon > 0$, set $\Omega_\varepsilon := \{x \in \mathbf{R}^n; \text{dist}(x, \partial\Omega) > \varepsilon\}$ and, for any $\Phi \in L^1(\overset{\circ}{\Omega}, \text{loc})$, set

$$\Phi_\varepsilon(x) := \iint_{\mathbf{R}^n} \Phi(x - \varepsilon y) \chi(y) dy, \quad x \in \Omega_\varepsilon.$$

It is a well-known fact that $\Phi_\varepsilon \in C^\infty(\Omega_\varepsilon)$ and that $\Phi_\varepsilon \rightarrow \Phi$ in $L^1(\overset{\circ}{\Omega}, \text{loc})$ as ε tends to zero. For a locally integrable form u on Ω , u_ε is defined componentwise.

LEMMA 1.4. *Let Ω be a Lipschitz domain in \mathbf{R}^n and let u be an integrally continuous $(n-1)$ -form on Ω . Then:*

- (1) $u \in L^1(\Omega, \text{loc})$;
- (2) $\varphi^* u_\varepsilon \rightarrow \varphi^* u$ in $L^1(\omega, \text{loc})$ as ε approaches zero, for any Lipschitz embedding $\varphi: \omega \rightarrow \overset{\circ}{\Omega}$.

Proof. For each sufficiently small $\varepsilon > 0$, fixed for the moment, consider the continuous family of Lipschitz embeddings

$$h: \Omega_\varepsilon \times \omega_\varepsilon \ni (x, t) \mapsto (x_1 + t_1, \dots, x_{n-1} + t_{n-1}, x_n),$$

where Ω_ε , defined above, stands for the space of parameters and ω_ε stands for a suitably small, open neighborhood of the cube $[-\varepsilon, \varepsilon]^{n-1}$. Obviously, $(h_x^* u)(t) = \Phi(x_1 + t_1, \dots, x_{n-1} + t_{n-1}, x_n) dt_1 \wedge \dots \wedge dt_{n-1}$, for some function Φ . Since u is integrally continuous, the function

$$\Phi^\varepsilon(x) := \varepsilon^{1-n} \int_{[-\varepsilon, \varepsilon]^{n-1}} \Phi(x_1 + t_1, \dots, x_{n-1} + t_{n-1}, x_n) dt_1 \wedge \dots \wedge dt_{n-1}$$

is continuous on Ω_ε . For any small, fixed x_n , the Lebesgue differentiation theorem yields that $\Phi^\varepsilon(\cdot, x_n) \rightarrow \Phi(\cdot, x_n)$, as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$, almost everywhere with respect to the $(n-1)$ -dimensional Lebesgue measure on $\{x' \in \mathbf{R}^{n-1}; (x', x_n) \in \overset{\circ}{\Omega}\}$. Using Fubini's theorem we infer that $\Phi^\varepsilon \rightarrow \Phi$, as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$, almost everywhere on Ω . Thus, Φ is λ_n -measurable.

Next, let $Q = Q' \times Q_n$ be a rectangle in $\mathbf{R}^{n-1} \times \mathbf{R}$ which is contained in $\overset{\circ}{\Omega}$, and consider the continuous family of Lipschitz embeddings

$$k: Q_n \times Q' \ni (x_n, x') \mapsto (x', x_n) \in \Omega.$$

Hence, $k_{x_n}^* u = \Phi(\cdot, x_n) dx_1 \wedge \dots \wedge dx_{n-1}$. As u is integrally continuous, the mapping

$$Q_n \ni x_n \mapsto \int_{Q'} |\Phi(x', x_n)| dx_1 \wedge \dots \wedge dx_{n-1}$$

is continuous. In particular, the iterated integral

$$\int_{Q_n} \int_{Q'} |\Phi(x', x_n)| dx' \wedge dx_n$$

is finite. By Fubini's theorem, it follows that Φ is integrable on Q .

Now, if $u = \sum_{i=1}^n (-1)^{i-1} u_i dx_1 \wedge \dots \wedge \widehat{dx}_i \wedge \dots \wedge dx_n$ the above reasoning gives that $u_1 = \Phi$ is integrable on Q . Likewise, u_2, \dots, u_n are integrable on Q , and u is thus locally integrable on $\overset{\circ}{\Omega}$.

To conclude the proof of (1) it suffices to show that any $a \in \Omega \setminus \overset{\circ}{\Omega}$ has a compact neighborhood K in \mathbf{R}^n such that u is integrable on $K \cap \Omega$. To see this, there is no loss of generality assuming that K is so that

$$K \cap \Omega = \{(x', x_n); x' \in Q', \varphi(x') \leq x_n \leq \varphi(x') + \varepsilon\},$$

where Q' is a rectangle in \mathbf{R}^{n-1} , $\varphi: \mathbf{R}^{n-1} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ is a Lipschitz function, and $\varepsilon > 0$ is some fixed, sufficiently small number. This time we take the continuous family of Lipschitz embeddings

$$h': [0, \varepsilon] \times Q' \ni (s, x') \mapsto (x', \varphi(x') + s) \in \Omega$$

and proceed as before. Hence, (1) follows.

To see (2), let $u = \sum_{i=1}^n (-1)^{i-1} u_i dx_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge \widehat{dx}_i \wedge \cdots \wedge dx_n$ in Ω , so that we have $\varphi^* u = (\sum_i (u_i \circ \varphi) \Phi_i) dt_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge dt_{n-1}$, where Φ_i are measurable functions, locally (essentially) bounded on ω . Similarly, $\varphi^* u_\varepsilon = (\sum_i ((u_i)_\varepsilon \circ \varphi) \Phi_i) dt_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge dt_{n-1}$.

Given a compact subset C of ω , we consider the continuous family of Lipschitz embeddings $(s, t) \mapsto \varphi(t) - s$, where t lies in an open neighborhood of C and s lies in a small open ball centered at the origin of \mathbf{R}^n . Let also $\theta > 0$ be an arbitrary, fixed number. By the integral continuity of u , there exists $\delta > 0$ such that

$$(1.2) \quad \int_C \left| \sum_{i=1}^n u_i(\varphi(t) - \varepsilon y) \Phi_i(t) - \sum_{i=1}^n u_i(\varphi(t)) \Phi_i(t) \right| \chi(y) dt < \theta$$

for all $|y| \leq 1$ and $0 < \varepsilon < \delta$. Since, by (1), the functions u_i are locally integrable on $\overset{\circ}{\Omega}$, the function

$$\varepsilon^{-n} \sum_i u_i(y) \Phi_i(t) \chi\left(\frac{\varphi(t) - y}{\varepsilon}\right), \quad \varepsilon > 0,$$

is locally integrable on $\omega \times \overset{\circ}{\Omega}$. Integrating (1.2) against dy over the closed unit ball in \mathbf{R}^n and then changing the order of integration, we obtain

$$\int_C |\varphi^* u_\varepsilon - \varphi^* u| d\mu_{n-1} \leq c_n \theta,$$

for some $c_n > 0$ depending only on n . Since $\theta > 0$ was arbitrary, the proof of the lemma is therefore complete. \square

Proof of Theorem 1.3. Obviously (1) implies (2). Next, assume that (2) holds and let Q be an arbitrary rectangle in \mathbf{R}^n contained in $\overset{\circ}{\Omega}$. It is then straightforward to see that, for a sufficiently small $\varepsilon > 0$,

$$\int_{\partial Q} u_\varepsilon = \iint_Q f_\varepsilon.$$

Since u_ε is smooth, the standard form of Stokes formula gives that $\iint_Q du_\varepsilon = \iint_Q f_\varepsilon$. As Q was arbitrarily chosen, we see that $du_\varepsilon = f_\varepsilon$ on Ω_ε and, hence, by letting ε go to zero, $du = f$ in the distribution sense on $\overset{\circ}{\Omega}$. Thus, (2) \Rightarrow (3).

Finally, we consider the implication (3) \Rightarrow (1). Using a smooth partition of unity, it is not difficult to see that matters can be reduced to verifying (1.1) in the following cases:

- (i) the support of u is included in the interior of K ;
- (ii) the domain K has the form

$$(1.3) \quad \{(x', x_n) \in [0, 1]^{n-1} \times [0, 1]; x_n \leq \varphi(x')\},$$

for some Lipschitz function $\varphi: \mathbf{R}^{n-1} \rightarrow (0, 1)$.

We present the proof in the second case, as the proof the first case goes along the same lines and is somewhat simpler. Let us first note that, if K_ε is as in (1.3) except that φ has been replaced by $\varepsilon\varphi$, with $0 < \varepsilon < 1$, on account of the integral continuity of u we have

$$\int_{\partial K} u = \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 1} \int_{\partial K_\varepsilon} u.$$

Hence, it suffices to prove the statement with K_ε in place of K or, in other words, assuming that the compact domain K from (1.2) is actually contained in $\overset{\circ}{\Omega}$. Furthermore, since by (1) $du_\varepsilon = f_\varepsilon$ on Ω_ε for all $\varepsilon > 0$, and since

$$\int_{\partial K} u_\varepsilon \rightarrow \int_{\partial K} u, \quad \iint_K f_\varepsilon \rightarrow \iint_K f$$

as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ (the first convergence utilizes the integral continuity of u), there is no loss in generality if we assume that u and f are smooth forms in a neighborhood of K .

Consider now the bi-Lipschitz homeomorphism

$$h: [0, 1]^n \ni (x', x_n) \mapsto (x', x_n \varphi(x')) \in K.$$

From the change of variable formula ([Fe3], Theorem 3.2.3, p. 243) we have

$$\int_{\partial K} u = \int_{\partial[0, 1]^n} h^* u.$$

Also, a routine calculation shows that

$$(h^* u)(x', x_n) = v(x', x_n) + \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} w_i(x', x_n) \partial_i \varphi(x') \right) dx_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge dx_{n-1},$$

where the coefficients of the $(n - 1)$ -form ν as well as $(w_i)_i$ are Lipschitz functions. Clearly, the usual Stokes formula on $[0, 1]^n$ holds for ν whereas, for $1 \leq i \leq n - 1$,

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{[0, 1]^{n-1}} (w_i(x', 1) - w_i(x', 0)) \partial_i \varphi(x') dx' \\ &= (-1)^{n-1} \int_0^1 \int_{[0, 1]^{n-1}} \frac{\partial w_i(x', x_n)}{\partial x_n} \partial_i \varphi(x') dx' \wedge dx_n. \end{aligned}$$

Consequently, the Stokes formula holds for h^*u on $[0, 1]^n$, so that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\partial K} u &= \int_{\partial[0, 1]^n} h^*u = \iint_{[0, 1]^n} d(h^*u) = \iint_{[0, 1]^n} h^*(du) \\ &= \iint_{[0, 1]^n} h^*f = \iint_K f \end{aligned}$$

and the proof is complete. \square

DEFINITION 1.5. *Let Ω be a Lipschitz domain in \mathbf{R}^n . An integrally continuous $(n - 1)$ -form u on Ω is called absolutely continuous on Ω if $d(u|_{\mathring{\Omega}})$, taken in the distribution sense, is integrable on \mathring{K} for any compact subset K of Ω .*

Note that if $u = \sum_{i=1}^n (-1)^{i-1} u_i dx_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge \widehat{dx}_i \wedge \cdots \wedge dx_n$ and u_i are, for instance, locally Lipschitz on Ω , then u is absolutely continuous on Ω .

A simple consequence of Theorem 1.3 and of the above definition is the next.

THEOREM 1.6. *If K is a compact Lipschitz domain in \mathbf{R}^n and u is an absolutely continuous $(n - 1)$ -form on K , then*

$$\int_{\partial K} u = \iint_{\mathring{K}} du.$$

2. CHARACTERIZATIONS OF THE PLURIDIMENSIONAL ABSOLUTE CONTINUITY

Theorem 1.3 suggests the possibility of characterizing pluridimensional absolute continuity of $(n - 1)$ -forms in a way similar to Lebesgue's definition of absolute continuity of functions on the real line (i.e. without involving the exterior derivative operator). This is made precise in the following theorem.