

6. The Rigidity Theorem of Witten

Objektyp: **Chapter**

Zeitschrift: **L'Enseignement Mathématique**

Band (Jahr): **42 (1996)**

Heft 3-4: **L'ENSEIGNEMENT MATHÉMATIQUE**

PDF erstellt am: **09.08.2024**

Nutzungsbedingungen

Die ETH-Bibliothek ist Anbieterin der digitalisierten Zeitschriften. Sie besitzt keine Urheberrechte an den Inhalten der Zeitschriften. Die Rechte liegen in der Regel bei den Herausgebern.

Die auf der Plattform e-periodica veröffentlichten Dokumente stehen für nicht-kommerzielle Zwecke in Lehre und Forschung sowie für die private Nutzung frei zur Verfügung. Einzelne Dateien oder Ausdrucke aus diesem Angebot können zusammen mit diesen Nutzungsbedingungen und den korrekten Herkunftsbezeichnungen weitergegeben werden.

Das Veröffentlichen von Bildern in Print- und Online-Publikationen ist nur mit vorheriger Genehmigung der Rechteinhaber erlaubt. Die systematische Speicherung von Teilen des elektronischen Angebots auf anderen Servern bedarf ebenfalls des schriftlichen Einverständnisses der Rechteinhaber.

Haftungsausschluss

Alle Angaben erfolgen ohne Gewähr für Vollständigkeit oder Richtigkeit. Es wird keine Haftung übernommen für Schäden durch die Verwendung von Informationen aus diesem Online-Angebot oder durch das Fehlen von Informationen. Dies gilt auch für Inhalte Dritter, die über dieses Angebot zugänglich sind.

$w_\alpha^L(z)$ on $N_\alpha \cap L$ which represents the cohomology class $\widehat{A}(N_\alpha \cap L)B(N_\alpha \cap L, z)$. Then $w_\alpha^L(z)$ is the form a_α^L given in the foliation Lefschetz theorem for z acting on the leafwise Spin complex, and it defines a smooth form $w_\alpha(z)$ on N_α . Thus for $z \in S^1$, z not a root of unity, we have

$$L(z) = \int_N w(z) = \sum_\alpha \int_{N_\alpha} w_\alpha(z).$$

Now notice that the right side of this equation defines a function $A(F, z)$ on the complex plane with values in the Haefliger forms of F . Also note that $A(F, z)$ has poles only at roots of unity and no pole at $z = \infty$, since $w_\alpha(z)$ has poles only at roots of unity and no pole at $z = \infty$. Because of the factor of $(z^d)^{1/2}$, $A(F, 0) = 0$. For $z \in S^1$, z not a root of unity, $A(F, z) = L(z)$. But $L(z)$ is defined for all $z \in S^1$ and by Theorem 5.5 it is continuous on S^1 . Thus $A(F, z)$ has no poles at all. Since it is analytic and bounded, it is constant and hence is identically zero. Therefore $L(z) = 0$ for all $z \in S^1$, but $L(1) = \widehat{A}(F)$ so we are done.

The compactness of G is essential, as in [HL 2], we give an example of an infinite discrete group acting by leaf preserving isometries on a compact oriented foliated manifold M, F and G preserves a Spin structure on F . The foliation F admits an invariant transverse measure which defines a map from the Haefliger zero forms of F to \mathbf{C} . The image of $\widehat{A}(F)$ under this map is non-zero, so $\widehat{A}(F) \neq 0$.

6. THE RIGIDITY THEOREM OF WITTEN

In 1986, Witten [W] predicted rigidity theorems for the indices of certain elliptic operators on manifolds with S^1 actions. The genesis for Witten's conjecture was his study of the Dirac operator on the free loop space $\mathcal{L}M$ (an infinite dimensional manifold) of a Spin manifold M . $\mathcal{L}M$ admits a natural S^1 action whose fixed point set is diffeomorphic to M . The sequences of bundles $R(q)$ and $R'(q)$ described below were derived from the normal bundle of M in $\mathcal{L}M$ and from the formal analogue on $\mathcal{L}M$ of the fixed point formula for the Dirac operator in the finite dimensional case.

Let $D : C^\infty(E_1) \rightarrow C^\infty(E_2)$ be an elliptic operator on a compact manifold M and suppose M admits an S^1 action preserving D . Then as noted above, $\text{Index}(D)$ is a virtual S^1 module and has a decomposition into a finite sum of irreducible complex one dimensional representations

$$\text{Index}(D) = \sum a_m L^m$$

where $z \in S^1$ acts on L^m by multiplication by z^m . D is called *rigid* if all the a_m for $m \neq 0$ are zero, i.e. if the representation L^m , $m \neq 0$ occurs in kernel D with multiplicity a then it occurs in cokernel D with the same multiplicity a .

Denote by $S^k(T)$ and $\lambda^k(T)$ the k th symmetric and exterior powers of $T = TM$ and set

$$S_a(T) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a^k S^k(T)$$

$$\lambda_a(T) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a^k \lambda^k(T).$$

Let R_n and R'_n be the sequences of bundles defined by the formal power series

$$R(q) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} q^n R_n = \bigotimes_{\ell=1}^{\infty} \lambda_{q^\ell}(T) \bigotimes_{m=1}^{\infty} S_{q^m}(T)$$

$$R'(q) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} q^{n/2} R'_n = \bigotimes_{\ell=\frac{1}{2}, \frac{3}{2}, \dots}^{\infty} \lambda_{q^\ell}(T) \bigotimes_{m=1}^{\infty} S_{q^m}(T)$$

Now suppose M is a $2n$ dimensional compact Riemannian Spin manifold and denote by D^+ the Dirac operator of M . For each n we may form the operators

$$D^+ \otimes (E^+ \oplus E^-) \otimes R_n \quad \text{and} \quad D^+ \otimes R'_n.$$

THEOREM 6.1. *These operators are rigid under any S^1 action on M by isometries, i.e. the induced action on the index of any of these operators is the trivial action.*

This is the theorem conjectured by Witten and first proven by Taubes [T]. A beautiful proof of it appears in [BT].

Roughly speaking Bott and Taubes' proof goes as follows. First they show that the Signature operator $d_S = D^+ \otimes (E^+ \oplus E^-)$ is rigid by an argument similar to that presented above. Combining this result with the power series $R(q)$ and interpreting $\text{ch}(\text{Index}(d_S \otimes R(q)))$ as a meromorphic function on the complex torus $T_{q^2} = \mathbf{C}^*/q^2$, they show that it has poles only at roots of unity and no poles on a certain circle $S^1 \subset T_{q^2}$. The Spin hypothesis then implies

that it has no poles at all and hence is constant. Thus the character of S^1 given by its action on $\text{Index}(d_S \otimes R(q))$ is constant, and so the action must be trivial as claimed. They then give separate arguments to extend this result to $D^+ \otimes R'_n$.

These results all extend in a straight forward way to S^1 actions preserving a foliation (see [HL 2]).

REFERENCES

- [AB] ATIYAH, M.F. and R. BOTT. The Lefschetz fixed point formula for elliptic complexes I and II. *Annals of Math.* 86 (1967), 374–407; 88 (1968), 451–491.
- [ABP] ATIYAH, M.F., R. BOTT and V.K. PATODI. On the Heat Equation and the Index Theorem. *Invent. Math.* 19 (1973), 279–330; errata *ibid* 28 (1975), 277–280.
- [ABS] ATIYAH, M.F., R. BOTT and A. SHAPIRO. Clifford Modules. *Topology* 3, Suppl. 1 (1964), 3–38.
- [AH] ATIYAH, M.F. and F. HIRZEBRUCH. Spin Manifolds and Group Actions. *Essays on Topology and Related Topics* (Mémoires dédiés à Georges de Rham), Springer Verlag, New York (1970), 18–27.
- [AS] ATIYAH, M.F. and I.M. SINGER. The index of elliptic operators: III, *Annals of Math.* 87 (1968), 546–604.
- [Be] BENAMEUR, M-T. Un théorème de Lefschetz longitudinal en K-théorie équivariante. *C.R.A.S. (Série I)* 317 (1993), N° 5, 491–494.
- [B] BISMUT, J.M. The Atiyah-Singer index theorem for families of Dirac operators: two heat equation proofs. *Invent. Math.* 83 (1986), 91–151.
- [BT] BOTT, R. and C. TAUBES. On the Rigidity Theorems of Witten. *Journal of AMS* 2 (1989), 137–186.
- [C] CONNES, A. Sur la Théorie Non-Commutative de l'Intégration, Algèbres d'Opérateurs. Springer Verlag, Berlin. *Lecture Notes in Math.* 725 (1979), 19–143.
- [G] GETZLER, E. A short proof of the local Atiyah-Singer Index Theorem. *Topology* 25 (1986), 111–117.
- [Gi] GILKEY, P. Invariance Theory, the Heat Equation, and the Atiyah-Singer Index Theorem. Publish or Perish Press, Wilmington, Del. *Math. Lec. Series 11* (1984).
- [Ha] HAEFLIGER, A. Some remarks on foliations with minimal leaves. *J. Diff. Geo.* 15 (1980), 269–284.
- [He] HEITSCH, J.L. Bismut superconnections and the Chern character for Dirac operators on foliated manifolds. *K-Theory* 9 (1995), 507–528.
- [HL 1] HEITSCH, J.L. and C. LAZAROV. A Lefschetz Theorem for Foliated Manifolds. *Topology* 29 (1990), 127–162.
- [HL 2] HEITSCH, J.L. and C. LAZAROV. Rigidity theorems for foliations by surfaces and spin manifolds. *Mich. J. Math.* 38 (1991), 285–297.