2.1. Reduction theory and geometry at infinity

Objekttyp: **Chapter**

Zeitschrift: **L'Enseignement Mathématique**

Band (Jahr): **42 (1996)**

Heft 3-4: **L'ENSEIGNEMENT MATHÉMATIQUE**

PDF erstellt am: **09.08.2024**

Nutzungsbedingungen
Die ETH-Bibliothek ist Anbieterin der digitalisierten Zeitschriften. Sie besitzt keine Urheberrechte an den Inhalten der Zeitschriften. Die Rechte liegen in der Regel bei den Herausgebern.
Die auf der Plattform e-periodica veröffentlichten Dokumente stehen für nicht-kommerzielle Zwecke in Lehre und Forschung sowie für die private Nutzung frei zur Verfügung. Einzelne Dateien oder Ausdrucke aus diesem Angebot können zusammen mit diesen Nutzungsbedingungen und den korrekten Herkunftsbezeichnungen weitergegeben werden.
Das Veröffentlichen von Bildern in Print- und Online-Publikationen ist nur mit vorheriger Genehmigung der Rechteinhaber erlaubt. Die systematische Speicherung von Teilen des elektronischen Angebots auf anderen Servern bedarf ebenfalls des schriftlichen Einverständnisses der Rechteinhaber.

Haftungsausschluss
Alle Angaben erfolgen ohne Gewähr für Vollständigkeit oder Richtigkeit. Es wird keine Haftung übernommen für Schäden durch die Verwendung von Informationen aus diesem Online-Angebot oder durch das Fehlen von Informationen. Dies gilt auch für Inhalte Dritter, die über dieses Angebot zugänglich sind.

Ein Dienst der *ETH-Bibliothek*
ETH Zürich, Rämistrasse 101, 8092 Zürich, Schweiz, www.library.ethz.ch

http://www.e-periodica.ch

2. An exhaustion of locally symmetric spaces

Let X be a Riemannian symmetric space of noncompact type and rank ≥ 2 and let Γ be a non-uniform, torsion-free lattice in the group of isometries of X. In this section we briefly describe the basic features of an exhaustion of the locally symmetric space $V = \Gamma\backslash X$ by Riemannian polyhedra, which was previously constructed in [L2].

The idea is to work with a *fundamental set* $\Omega \subset X$ for the discrete (arithmetic) group Γ. Such "coarse" fundamental domains are provided by *reduction theory*; they are finite unions of translates of so-called Siegel sets. We begin with reviewing some facts about linear algebraic groups and set up the notation. Roughly speaking, the lattice Γ determines a "$\mathbb{Q}$-structure" on the real Lie group of isometries of X such that Γ is given by integer matrices. The symmetric space X in turn inherits canonical parametrizations adopted to this structure (generalized horocyclic coordinates). Siegel sets are then defined with respect to such parametrizations.

2.1. Reduction theory and geometry at infinity

We denote by G the identity component of the group of isometries of X; it is a connected, semisimple Lie group with trivial center. We shall always assume in the following that the non-uniform lattice Γ is *irreducible* (see [R2] 5.20). Then, by the arithmeticity theorem of Margulis, there is a connected semisimple linear algebraic group $\mathbf{G}$ defined over $\mathbb{Q}$, $\mathbb{Q}$-embedded in a general linear group $\mathbf{GL}(N, \mathbb{C})$, and a Lie group isomorphism $p : G \longrightarrow \mathbf{G}(\mathbb{R})^0$ such that $p(\Gamma)$ is *arithmetic*, i.e. $p(\Gamma) \subset \mathbf{G}(\mathbb{Q}) \subset \mathbf{GL}(N, \mathbb{C})$ is commensurable with the group $\mathbf{G}(\mathbb{Z}) = \mathbf{G} \cap \mathbf{GL}(N, \mathbb{Z})$ (see [Z] 3.1.6 and 6.1.10). The symmetric space X can be recovered as the manifold of maximal compact subgroups of the identity component of the group $\mathbf{G}(\mathbb{R}) = \mathbf{G} \cap \mathbf{GL}(N, \mathbb{R})$ of $\mathbb{R}$-rational points of $\mathbf{G}$. For simplicity we will always identify G with $\mathbf{G}(\mathbb{R})^0$ and Γ with $p(\Gamma)$.

Let $\mathbf{S}$ (resp. $\mathbf{T}$) be a maximal $\mathbb{Q}$-split (resp. $\mathbb{R}$-split) algebraic torus of $\mathbf{G}$, i.e. a subgroup of $\mathbf{G}$ which is isomorphic over $\mathbb{Q}$ (resp. $\mathbb{R}$) to the direct product of q (resp. $r \geq q$) copies of $\mathbb{C}^*$. All such tori are conjugate under $\mathbf{G}(\mathbb{Q}) = \mathbf{G} \cap \mathbf{GL}(N, \mathbb{Q})$ $\big($resp. $\mathbf{G}(\mathbb{R})\big)$ and their common dimension q (resp. r) is called the $\mathbb{Q}$*-rank* (resp. $\mathbb{R}$*-rank*) of $\mathbf{G}$. The identity component of $\mathbf{S}(\mathbb{R})$ $\big($resp. $\mathbf{T}(\mathbb{R})\big)$ will be denoted by A (resp. A_0), the corresponding Lie algebras by $\mathfrak{a}$ (resp. $\mathfrak{a}_0$). The $\mathbb{R}$-rank of $\mathbf{G}$ coincides with the rank of the symmetric space X, i.e. the maximal dimension of totally geodesic flat subspaces. The choice of a maximal compact subgroup K of G

is equivalent to the choice of a base point x_0 of X. We can choose K with Lie algebra $\mathfrak{k}$ so that under the corresponding Cartan decomposition $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{k} \oplus \mathfrak{p}$ of the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ of G we have $\mathfrak{a} \subseteq \mathfrak{a}_0 \subset \mathfrak{p} \cong T_{x_0}X$. Here $\mathfrak{a}_0$ is maximal abelian in $\mathfrak{p}$, i.e. the tangent space at x_0 of the (maximal $\mathbb{R}$-) flat $A_0 \cdot x_0$ in X. The pair of Lie algebras $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{a}_0)$ gives rise to the root system ${}_{\mathbb{R}}\Phi$ of the symmetric space. Similarly there is a system of $\mathbb{Q}$-roots ${}_{\mathbb{Q}}\Phi$ associated to the pair $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{a})$ (see [B3] §21). It is always possible to choose orderings of ${}_{\mathbb{Q}}\Phi$ and ${}_{\mathbb{R}}\Phi$ such that the restrictions of simple $\mathbb{R}$-roots of ${}_{\mathbb{R}}\Phi$ to $\mathfrak{a}$ are either *simple* $\mathbb{Q}$-roots of ${}_{\mathbb{Q}}\Phi$, i.e. the elements of a basis $\Delta = {}_{\mathbb{Q}}\Delta$ of ${}_{\mathbb{Q}}\Phi$, or zero (see [BT] 6.8). The basis ${}_{\mathbb{R}}\Delta$ defines a closed $\mathbb{R}$-Weyl chamber $\overline{\mathfrak{a}_0^+}$ in $\mathfrak{a}_0$ and Δ then determines a closed $\mathbb{Q}$-*Weyl chamber* $\overline{\mathfrak{a}^+} := \{H \in \mathfrak{a} \mid \alpha(H) \geq 0, \text{ for all } \alpha \in \Delta\}$ in $\mathfrak{a}$. We set $\overline{A^+} = \exp \overline{\mathfrak{a}^+}$ (resp. $\overline{A_0^+} = \exp \overline{\mathfrak{a}_0^+}$). A $\mathbb{Q}$-*Weyl chamber in* X is a translate of the basic chamber $\overline{A^+} \cdot x_0 \subseteq \overline{A_0^+} \cdot x_0$. The elements of Δ are differentials of characters (defined over $\mathbb{Q}$) of the maximal $\mathbb{Q}$-split torus $\mathbf{S}$. It is convenient to identify the elements of Δ also with such characters. When restricted to A their values are denoted by $\alpha(a)$ $(a \in A, \alpha \in \Delta)$. Notice that $\overline{A^+} = \{a \in A \mid \alpha(a) \geq 1 \text{ for all } \alpha \in \Delta\}$.

A closed subgroup $\mathbf{P}$ of $\mathbf{G}$ defined over $\mathbb{Q}$ is a *parabolic* $\mathbb{Q}$-*subgroup* if $\mathbf{G}/\mathbf{P}$ is a projective variety (see [B3] §11). A *parabolic* $\mathbb{Q}$-*subgroup* P *of* $G = \mathbf{G}(\mathbb{R})^0$ is by definition the intersection of G with a parabolic $\mathbb{Q}$-subgroup of $\mathbf{G}$ (see [BS]). The conjugacy classes under $\mathbf{G}(\mathbb{Q})$ of parabolic $\mathbb{Q}$-subgroups are in one-to-one correspondence with the subsets Θ of the (chosen) set Δ of simple $\mathbb{Q}$-roots; they are represented by the *standard parabolic* $\mathbb{Q}$-subgroups $\mathbf{P}_\Theta$ of $\mathbf{G}$ (see [B3] §21.11). The corresponding standard parabolic $\mathbb{Q}$-subgroups of G are denoted by P_Θ. The minimal parabolic subgroup $P = P_\varnothing$ has a decomposition $P = UMA$, where U is unipotent and M is reductive; A centralizes M and normalizes U (see [B1]). This yields a (generalized) Iwasawa decomposition for G, i.e. $G = P \cdot K = UMAK$, which implies that P acts transitively on the symmetric space X. The intersection of the maximal compact subgroup K of G with M is maximal compact in M and the quotient $Z = M/(K \cap M)$ is (in general) the Riemannian product of a symmetric space of noncompact type by a (flat) Euclidean space. Let $\tau : M \longrightarrow Z$ be the natural projection. Then the "horocyclic coordinate map"

$$\mu : Y = U \times Z \times A \longmapsto X \quad ; \quad \big(u, \tau(m), a\big) \longmapsto uma \cdot x_0$$

is an isomorphism of analytic manifolds (see [BS] or [B2]).

A *generalized Siegel set* $\mathcal{S} = \mathcal{S}_{\omega,\tau}$ in X (relative to the $\mathbb{Q}$-Weyl chamber $\overline{A^+} \cdot x_0$) is a subset of X of the form $\mathcal{S}_{\omega,\tau} = \omega A_\tau \cdot x_0$ where ω is relatively compact in UM and, for $\tau > 0$, $A_\tau = \{a \in A \mid \alpha(a) \geq \tau \ , \ \alpha \in \Delta\}$. If we define $a_0 \in A$ by $\alpha(a_0) = \tau$ for all $\alpha \in \Delta$, then $A_\tau = A_1 a_0 = \overline{A^+} a_0$ and $\mathcal{C} = A_\tau \cdot x_0 \subset \mathcal{S}$ is a (translate of a) $\mathbb{Q}$-Weyl chamber in X. Siegel sets provide the building blocks for (approximate) fundamental domains for arithmetic groups. A subset $\Omega \subset X$ is called a *fundamental set* for an arithmetic group Γ if the following two conditions hold

(i) $X = \Gamma \cdot \Omega$;

(ii) for every $q \in \mathbf{G}(\mathbb{Q})$ the set $\{\gamma \in \Gamma \mid q\Omega \cap \gamma\Omega \neq \varnothing\}$ is finite.

The existence of fundamental sets is guaranteed by reduction theory for arithmetic groups (see [B1] §13 and §15).

PROPOSITION 2.1 (Borel, Harish-Chandra). *Let* $\mathbf{G}$ *be a semisimple algebraic group defined over* $\mathbb{Q}$ *with associated Riemannian symmetric space* $X = G/K$. *Let* $\mathbf{P}$ *be a minimal parabolic* $\mathbb{Q}$*-subgroup of* $\mathbf{G}$ *and let* Γ *be an arithmetic subgroup of* $\mathbf{G}(\mathbb{Q})$. *Then there exists a generalized Siegel set* $\mathcal{S} = \mathcal{S}_{\omega,\tau}$ *(with respect to* $\overline{A^+} \cdot x_0$*) such that, for a (fixed) set* $\{q_i \mid 1 \leq i \leq m\}$ *of representatives of the* finite *set of double cosets* $\Gamma\backslash\mathbf{G}(\mathbb{Q})/\mathbf{P}(\mathbb{Q})$, *the union* $\Omega = \bigcup_{i=1}^m q_i \cdot \mathcal{S}$ *is a fundamental set (of finite volume) for* Γ *in* X.

It will be useful in the sequel to dispose of geometric interpretations of the above algebraic concepts and assertions.

First recall that the symmetric space X, as a Riemannian manifold of nonpositive curvature, has an *ideal boundary at infinity* $\partial_\infty X$. The latter is defined as the set of equivalence classes of asymptotic geodesic rays (see [BGS]). In the same way one also defines the ideal boundary at infinity $\partial_\infty V$ of $V = \Gamma\backslash X$. If Γ is an arithmetic lattice in a group $\mathbf{G}$ of $\mathbb{Q}$-rank $q = 1$, the boundary $\partial_\infty V$ of the associated locally symmetric space consists of m points (corresponding to the cusps), where m is as in Proposition 2.1. For $\mathbb{Q}$-rank $q \geq 2$ it turns out that $\partial_\infty V$ is isomorphic to a finite simplicial complex $\Gamma\backslash|\mathcal{T}|$, a geometric realization of the Tits building of $\mathbf{G}$ modulo Γ (see [JM] and [L1]). We recall the construction of the latter.

Let $\mathcal{P}$ be the set of all parabolic $\mathbb{Q}$-subgroups of $\mathbf{G}$. The conjugacy classes of elements of $\mathcal{P}$ are in one-to-one correspondence with the subsets Θ of the set Δ of simple $\mathbb{Q}$-roots. Every conjugacy class has a standard representative denoted by $\mathbf{P}_\Theta$. One can show that the sets of double cosets $\Gamma\backslash\mathbf{G}(\mathbb{Q})/\mathbf{P}_\Theta(\mathbb{Q})$ are *finite* for all Θ (see [B1], §15.6). Let $\triangle = [e_1, \ldots, e_q] \subset \mathbb{R}^q$ denote a standard geometric $q-1$ simplex ($q = \mathbb{Q}$-rank of $\mathbf{G}$). If $\Delta = \{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_q\}$ and $\Delta - \Theta = \{\alpha_{i_1}, \dots, \alpha_{i_s}\}$ with $1 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_s \leq q$, we define the boundary simplex $\triangle(\Theta)$ of $\triangle$ as $\triangle(\Theta) := [e_{i_1}, \dots, e_{i_s}]$. Let $\mathbf{P}$ be a *minimal* parabolic $\mathbb{Q}$-subgroup of $\mathbf{G}$ and let the set $\Gamma\backslash\mathbf{G}(\mathbb{Q})/\mathbf{P}(\mathbb{Q})$ be represented by $\{q_1, \dots q_m\}$ (see Proposition 2.1). We take m copies $\triangle^j = [e^j_1, \dots, e^j_q]$ of $\triangle$ with faces $\triangle^j(\Theta)$ corresponding to Θ. The corresponding homeomorphisms $\triangle \simeq \triangle^j$ are denoted by φ_j. The simplicial complex $\Gamma\backslash|\mathcal{T}|$, which provides a geometric realization of the quotient of the Tits building of $\mathbf{G}$ modulo Γ, is constructed from the simplices $\triangle^1, \dots, \triangle^m$ through the following *incidence relations*:

Two simplices $\triangle^j$ and $\triangle^l$ are pasted together along the faces $\triangle^j(\Theta)$ and $\triangle^l(\Theta)$ by the homeomorphism $\varphi_j \circ \varphi_l^{-1}|_{\triangle^l(\Theta)}$ if and only if

$$\Gamma q_j \mathbf{P}_\Theta(\mathbb{Q}) = \Gamma q_l \mathbf{P}_\Theta(\mathbb{Q}) .$$

We remark that the points of $\Gamma\backslash|\mathcal{T}|$ are in one-to-one correspondence with equivalence classes of geodesic rays in the locally symmetric space $V = \Gamma\backslash X$ (see [Hat], [L1] and [JM]).

2.2. An exhaustion by polyhedra

We index the "edges" of the Weyl chamber $\overline{\mathfrak{a}^+}$ (or equivalently of $\overline{A^+}\cdot x_0$) by *simple* $\mathbb{Q}$-roots. More precisely, the edges of $\overline{A^+}\cdot x_0$ are given by geodesic rays $c_\alpha(t) = \exp(tH_\alpha)\cdot x_0$ where $H_\alpha \in \overline{\mathfrak{a}^+}$, $\|H_\alpha\| = 1$ and $\beta(H_\alpha) = 0$ for $\beta \neq \alpha$ $(\alpha, \beta \in \Delta)$. We further write $c_{k\alpha}$ for the edges $q_k a_0 c_\alpha$ of the chambers $q_k C$ in the fundamental set Ω (see Section 2.1 for the notation). If a geodesic ray c represents a point $z \in \partial_\infty X$ we write $z = c(\infty)$. The group G act naturally on $\partial_\infty X$ through $g\cdot c(\infty) = (g\cdot c)(\infty)$. For every $\alpha \in \Delta$ the isotropy group of $c_\alpha(\infty)$ under that action coincides with the (maximal) parabolic subgroups $P_{\Delta-\{\alpha\}}$ introduced above (see [L2] Lemma 1.2).

To a geodesic ray $c\colon [0,\infty) \longrightarrow X$ (parametrized by arc-length) which represents a point z in the ideal boundary $\partial_\infty X$ of X is associated a *Busemann function on* X *at* z given by

$$h_z\colon X \longrightarrow \mathbb{R} \quad ; \quad h_z(x) = \lim_{t\to\infty} \left[d\big(x, c(t)\big) - t\right] .$$

The level sets of a Busemann function are *horospheres*, which foliate the symmetric space. We denote the Busemann functions which correspond to the rays $c_{k\alpha}$ by $h_{k\alpha}$. Note that $h_{k\alpha}\big(c_{k\alpha}(t)\big)$ tends to $-\infty$ if the arc-length t of the geodesic $c_{k\alpha}$ tends to $+\infty$.

In contrast to an exact fundamental domain there are not only points on the boundary of a fundamental set Ω but possibly also interior points which are