

Zeitschrift: L'Enseignement Mathématique
Herausgeber: Commission Internationale de l'Enseignement Mathématique
Band: 43 (1997)
Heft: 1-2: L'ENSEIGNEMENT MATHÉMATIQUE

Artikel: THE LOCAL LINEARIZATION PROBLEM FOR SMOOTH $SL(n)$ -
ACTIONS
Autor: CAIRNS, Grant / Ghys, Étienne
Kapitel: 3. Preparatory results
DOI: <https://doi.org/10.5169/seals-63275>

Nutzungsbedingungen

Die ETH-Bibliothek ist die Anbieterin der digitalisierten Zeitschriften auf E-Periodica. Sie besitzt keine Urheberrechte an den Zeitschriften und ist nicht verantwortlich für deren Inhalte. Die Rechte liegen in der Regel bei den Herausgebern beziehungsweise den externen Rechteinhabern. Das Veröffentlichen von Bildern in Print- und Online-Publikationen sowie auf Social Media-Kanälen oder Webseiten ist nur mit vorheriger Genehmigung der Rechteinhaber erlaubt. [Mehr erfahren](#)

Conditions d'utilisation

L'ETH Library est le fournisseur des revues numérisées. Elle ne détient aucun droit d'auteur sur les revues et n'est pas responsable de leur contenu. En règle générale, les droits sont détenus par les éditeurs ou les détenteurs de droits externes. La reproduction d'images dans des publications imprimées ou en ligne ainsi que sur des canaux de médias sociaux ou des sites web n'est autorisée qu'avec l'accord préalable des détenteurs des droits. [En savoir plus](#)

Terms of use

The ETH Library is the provider of the digitised journals. It does not own any copyrights to the journals and is not responsible for their content. The rights usually lie with the publishers or the external rights holders. Publishing images in print and online publications, as well as on social media channels or websites, is only permitted with the prior consent of the rights holders. [Find out more](#)

Download PDF: 16.07.2025

ETH-Bibliothek Zürich, E-Periodica, <https://www.e-periodica.ch>

So, setting $h_l = \eta h_{l-1}$, we have that $T^l(h_l g h_l^{-1}) = D(g)$, for every $g \in SL(n, \mathbf{R})$. By induction, we have elements $h_l \in \widehat{\text{Diff}}(\mathbf{R}^m, 0)$ such that $T^l(h_l g h_l^{-1}) = D(g)$ for all $l > 0$. Finally set $h = \lim_{l \rightarrow \infty} h_l$. This makes sense in $\widehat{\text{Diff}}(\mathbf{R}^m, 0)$ and by construction, h formally linearizes the action Φ .

3. PREPARATORY RESULTS

First let us make some general comments:

REMARK 3.1. If a Lie group G acts on a topological manifold, then the restriction of the action to each orbit is a transitive G -action; that is, each orbit is a homogeneous space G/H for some closed subgroup $H \subset G$. In particular, transitive C^0 -actions of $SL(n, \mathbf{R})$ are conjugate to analytic $SL(n, \mathbf{R})$ -actions.

REMARK 3.2. Every non-trivial continuous action of $SL(n, \mathbf{R})$ is either faithful, or factors through a faithful action of $PSL(n, \mathbf{R})$. Indeed, not only is $SL(n, \mathbf{R})$ simple as a Lie group (that is, its proper normal subgroups are discrete), but when n is odd it is simple as an abstract group and when n is even $PSL(n, \mathbf{R}) = SL(n, \mathbf{R})/\{\pm 1\}$ is simple as an abstract group. In particular, if n is odd, every non-trivial continuous action of $SL(n, \mathbf{R})$ is faithful. If n is even, non-faithful $SL(n, \mathbf{R})$ -actions are common: see, for example, the adjoint action of $SL(n, \mathbf{R})$ for n even, or the irreducible $SL(2, \mathbf{R})$ -representation on \mathbf{R}^{2p+1} (see Section 5).

REMARK 3.3. Every non-trivial C^1 -action of $SL(n, \mathbf{R})$ on $(\mathbf{R}^n, 0)$ is faithful. Indeed, the differential at the origin defines a homomorphism $D: SL(n, \mathbf{R}) \rightarrow GL(n, \mathbf{R})$. In fact, since $SL(n, \mathbf{R})$ is a simple Lie group, the image of D is contained in $SL(n, \mathbf{R})$. By Thurston's stability theorem, D can't be trivial. So, for dimension reasons, D maps onto $SL(n, \mathbf{R})$. If an $SL(n, \mathbf{R})$ -action is not faithful, then by the previous Remark, n is even and the element -1 acts trivially. But then D defines a homomorphism from $PSL(n, \mathbf{R})$ onto $SL(n, \mathbf{R})$, which is impossible since $PSL(n, \mathbf{R})$ is simple.

REMARK 3.4. Suppose one has a C^1 -action of $SL(n, \mathbf{R})$ on $(\mathbf{R}^n, 0)$. By the previous Remark, the differential D defines an automorphism of $SL(n, \mathbf{R})$. Let σ be the automorphism of $SL(n, \mathbf{R})$ defined by $\sigma(g) = (g^{-1})^t$, and let τ the automorphism given by conjugation by the matrix

$$\begin{pmatrix} -1 & 0 \\ 0 & \text{Id}_{n-1} \end{pmatrix} \in GL(n, \mathbf{R}).$$

Recall (see [16, Theorem IX.5]) that the group of outer automorphisms of $SL(n, \mathbf{R})$ is generated by the involution σ if n is odd, and it is the group of order 4 generated by σ and τ if n is even — except when $n = 2$, in which case σ is the inner automorphism generated by conjugation by $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$. Hence, up to conjugacy by an element of $GL(n, \mathbf{R})$, we may assume that the differential D is either the identity or the map σ .

Part (a) of the following theorem is classical (see [30, Chap. VI, Theorem 2]). Parts (b) and (c) could be deduced from Dynkin's classification of maximal subgroups of semi-simple Lie groups [8]; we give a more direct proof. We treat the case $n = 2$ of Part (c) in Section 6 below.

THEOREM 3.5.

- (a) *There is no non-trivial C^0 -action of $SL(n, \mathbf{R})$ on any topological manifold of dimension $m < n - 1$.*
- (b) *Every non-trivial C^0 -action of $SL(n, \mathbf{R})$ on an $(n - 1)$ -dimensional connected topological manifold is transitive and is conjugate to the projective action of $SL(n, \mathbf{R})$ on either S^{n-1} or $\mathbf{R}P^{n-1}$.*
- (c) *For $n \geq 3$, every transitive C^0 -action of $SL(n, \mathbf{R})$ on a non-compact n -dimensional topological manifold is conjugate, after possibly pre-composing with some automorphism of $SL(n, \mathbf{R})$, to the canonical action of $SL(n, \mathbf{R})$ on $\mathbf{R}^n \setminus \{0\}$ or $(\mathbf{R}^n \setminus \{0\}) / \{\pm \text{Id}\} \cong \mathbf{R}P^{n-1} \times \mathbf{R}$.*

Proof. (a) Suppose that H is a closed subgroup of $SL(n, \mathbf{R})$ of codimension m . Consider the restricted $SO(n)$ -action. Choose any Riemannian metric on the smooth manifold $M = SL(n, \mathbf{R})/H$ and average it by the $SO(n)$ -action. Then $SO(n)$ acts isometrically, for the averaged metric. But the group of isometries of M has dimension at most $m(m + 1)/2$, by [19, Theorem II.3.1]. So

$$\dim SO(n) = \binom{n}{2} \leq \binom{m + 1}{2}.$$

Hence $n \leq m + 1$, as required.

(b) Suppose one has a non-trivial C^0 -action of $SL(n, \mathbf{R})$ on an $(n - 1)$ -dimensional connected topological manifold M . By (a), this action is transitive and $M = G/H$ for some closed subgroup $H \subset G$. Then the restricted $SO(n)$ -action gives a compact group of isometries of M of dimension $n(n - 1)/2$. It follows from [19, Theorem II.3.1] that M is the round sphere S^{n-1} , or projective space $\mathbf{R}P^{n-1}$, and the action is the canonical one.

(c) Consider a transitive C^0 -action of $SL(n, \mathbf{R})$ on an n -dimensional topological manifold M and let H denote the stabilizer of some point so that M can be identified with the homogeneous space $SL(n, \mathbf{R})/H$. We first deal with the case where H is connected, since the other cases can be reduced to this by taking a covering of the corresponding homogeneous space. We begin by showing that the linear action of $H \subset SL(n, \mathbf{R})$ on \mathbf{R}^n is reducible and fixes a line or a hyperplane.

Suppose first by contradiction that the complexified representation of the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{h} \otimes \mathbf{C} \subset \mathfrak{sl}(n, \mathbf{C})$ is irreducible, where \mathfrak{h} denotes the Lie algebra of H . By a well known theorem of Lie, the radical of $\mathfrak{h} \otimes \mathbf{C}$ preserves some line in \mathbf{C}^n and since we assume that $\mathfrak{h} \otimes \mathbf{C}$ is irreducible, the only possibility is that this radical is Abelian and acts by homotheties. In other words, $\mathfrak{h} \otimes \mathbf{C}$ is a reductive algebra. By taking suitable real forms, one would have a compact subgroup K in $SU(n)$ whose real codimension is n . Now, as before, one can consider $SU(n)$ as a group of isometries of the n -dimensional manifold $SU(n)/K$. This would imply that $\dim SU(n) = n^2 - 1 \leq n(n - 1)/2$ which is a contradiction.

On the other hand, if $\mathfrak{h} \otimes \mathbf{C} \subset \mathfrak{sl}(n, \mathbf{C})$ is a reducible representation, then $\mathfrak{h} \otimes \mathbf{C} \subset \mathfrak{sl}(n, \mathbf{C})$ is contained (up to conjugacy) in the algebra of matrices preserving $\mathbf{C}^p \times \{0\}$ (for some $0 < p < n$) which is of codimension $p(n - p)$. Therefore $p(n - p) \leq n$ so that $p = 1$ or $n - 1$. This means that there is a complex line or a complex hyperplane fixed by $\mathfrak{h} \otimes \mathbf{C}$. This line or hyperplane has to be invariant under complex conjugation; otherwise we would have an invariant complex subspace of dimension or codimension 2 and this is not possible since H has codimension exactly n . It follows that H fixes a line or a hyperplane.

If H fixes a hyperplane, replace it by $\sigma(H)$ where σ is the automorphism of $SL(n, \mathbf{R})$ defined by $\sigma(g) = (g^{-1})^t$. This amounts to changing the action of $SL(n, \mathbf{R})$ under consideration by pre-composing with σ . So we can assume that H is contained in the stabilizer H' of the radial half-line Δ^+ through the first vector e_1 of the canonical basis in \mathbf{R}^n . Moreover, H is a codimension one subgroup of H' .

By Lie [23] (see also [33, Part II, Chap. 6, Theorem 2.1]), the connected codimension one closed subgroups of H' are given by homomorphisms ψ from H' to \mathbf{R} , \mathbf{Aff} , or (some cover of) $PSL(2, \mathbf{R})$, where

$$\mathbf{Aff} = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ 0 & 1/a \end{pmatrix} : a > 0 \right\}$$

is the group of affine transformations of the line. More precisely, H is (the component of the identity of) the inverse image by ψ of a codimension one subgroup, which is trivial in the case of \mathbf{R} , the subgroup of homotheties ($b = 0$) in the case of \mathbf{Aff} and the upper triangular subgroup in the case of $PSL(2, \mathbf{R})$. It is easy to see that there are no non-trivial homomorphisms of H' to \mathbf{Aff} . There are no non-trivial homomorphisms of H' to (any cover of) $PSL(2, \mathbf{R})$, except in the case $n = 3$. In this special case $n = 3$, one finds that H is the restricted upper-triangular group

$$U = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a & b & c \\ 0 & d & e \\ 0 & 0 & f \end{pmatrix} : a > 0 \right\},$$

which gives the compact flag manifold $SL(3, \mathbf{R})/U \cong S^3$. Finally, up to a multiplicative constant, there is a unique homomorphism from H' to \mathbf{R} :

$$\psi: (A_{ij}) \in H' \mapsto \ln A_{11} \in \mathbf{R}.$$

Note that here $H = \ker \psi$ is precisely the stabilizer $\text{Stab}_{SL(n, \mathbf{R})}(e_1)$ of e_1 so that here $SL(n, \mathbf{R})/H$ is the homogeneous space $\mathbf{R}^n \setminus \{0\}$.

Thus we have dealt with the case where H is connected. Suppose that H is not connected, and let H_0 be its connected component of the identity. Now H_0 is a normal subgroup of H , and from above, by conjugation we may take H_0 to be either the group $\text{Stab}_{SL(n, \mathbf{R})}(e_1)$, or the group U . If $H_0 = \text{Stab}_{SL(n, \mathbf{R})}(e_1)$, notice that the normalizer of H_0 is the stabilizer H' of the radial half-line Δ^+ . It follows that H/H_0 is a discrete subgroup of \mathbf{R} . If H/H_0 is finite, then $H/H_0 = \pm 1$ and so the quotient space is $\mathbf{R}^n \setminus \{0\} / \{\pm \text{Id}\}$. If H/H_0 is infinite, then it is either infinite cyclic, or infinite cyclic cross $\mathbf{Z}/2\mathbf{Z}$, and in either case the quotient space is compact. If $H_0 = U$, the normalizer of H_0 is the full group \bar{U} of upper-triangular matrices: there are 3 possibilities here, but in each case we get a compact quotient space.

This completes the proof of the theorem. \square

We now describe a useful method of extending an action of a subgroup to an action of the larger group. This method is very general and variations of it

appear in various branches of mathematics: “induced module” in representation theory, “suspension” in dynamical systems, etc. In particular, it was used in an essential way in Schneider’s classification of analytic $SL(2, \mathbf{R})$ -actions on surfaces [37]. Suppose that H is a closed subgroup of a Lie group G and suppose that H acts continuously on a topological space F . So H acts diagonally on $G \times F$, where $g \in H \subset G$ acts on the first factor by right translation by g^{-1} . Let $E = (G \times F)/H$ denote the quotient space. So E fibres over the space G/H of left cosets of H , with fibre F . Now notice that G acts on $G \times F$ by left translation on the first factor, and this defines an action of G on E .

DEFINITION 3.6. The action of G on E just described is called the *suspension of the action of H on F* .

Notice that for such an action, there is a H -invariant subspace F' in E , which is H -equivariantly homeomorphic to F , and which has the property that $\text{Stab}_H(x) = \text{Stab}_G(x)$, for all $x \in F'$. Indeed, one can take $F' = \pi^{-1}(H)$, where $\pi: E \rightarrow G/H$ is the natural fibration. Given $f \in F$ and $g \in G$, let $[g, f]$ denote the image in E of (g, f) under the quotient map $G \times F \rightarrow E$. Then $\pi[g, f] = gH$, and $F' = \{[1, f] : f \in F\} (SL(n, \mathbf{R}))$.

Conversely, one has:

LEMMA 3.7. *Let H be a closed subgroup of a Lie group G . Suppose that G acts continuously on a topological space M and that there is a G -equivariant fibration $p: M \rightarrow G/H$. Then the G -action on M is conjugate to the suspension of the action of H on the fibre $F = p^{-1}(H)$. More precisely, if $E = (G \times F)/H$, then there is a G -equivariant homeomorphism from M to E which projects to the identity map on G/H .*

Proof. We define a function $\psi: M \rightarrow E$ as follows: for each $x \in M$ we set

$$\psi(x) = [g, g^{-1}(x)],$$

where $p(x) = gH$. Note that this makes sense since $g^{-1}(x) \in F$ and the definition of $\psi(x)$ doesn’t depend upon the choice of g . By construction, ψ is G -equivariant and projects to the identity map on G/H . Finally, it is easy to see that ψ is a homeomorphism. \square

By Remark 2.2, $SO(n)$ -actions of class C^0 on $(\mathbf{R}^m, 0)$ are not always linearizable. Despite this, we have the following result, which was proved for the cases $n \leq 3$ in [30, Chapter VI.6.5] and was conjectured therein for all n .

PROPOSITION 3.8. *Every faithful C^0 -action of $SO(n)$ on $(\mathbf{R}^n, 0)$ is globally conjugate to the canonical linear action.*

Proof. By the proof of Theorem 3.5(a), the orbits of the $SO(n)$ -action have dimension $\geq n - 1$. In fact, there cannot be any $SO(n)$ -orbit of dimension n , since otherwise it would be all of $\mathbf{R}^n \setminus \{0\}$, which is impossible, by the compactness of $SO(n)$. By the proof of Theorem 3.5(b), the only $SO(n)$ -orbits of dimension $n - 1$ are S^{n-1} and $\mathbf{R}P^{n-1}$, and the actions on them are conjugate to the canonical projective ones. In fact, for $n \geq 3$ there can be no orbit homeomorphic to $\mathbf{R}P^{n-1}$, because $\mathbf{R}P^{n-1}$ does not embed in \mathbf{R}^n [6, Theorem 10.12]. So each orbit of $SO(n)$ is a $(n - 1)$ -dimensional sphere or a fixed point. It then follows from [30, *ibid.*] that 0 is the unique fixed point and there is a continuous ray γ beginning at 0 which meets each $SO(n)$ -orbit exactly once.

First consider the $n = 2$ case. Note that the $SO(2)$ -action on $\mathbf{R}^2 \setminus \{0\}$ is free. Indeed, let $g \in SO(2)$ and suppose that $x \in \mathbf{R}^2 \setminus \{0\}$ belongs to the fixed point set $\text{Fix}(g)$ of the action of g on \mathbf{R}^2 . Then $\text{Fix}(g)$ contains 0 as well as the entire orbit of x by $SO(2)$. By Eilenberg's theorem [9], since g is orientation preserving, the action of g on \mathbf{R}^2 is topologically conjugate to a rotation. So, as g has more than one fixed point, we must have $\text{Fix}(g) = \mathbf{R}^2$. Hence, as the $SO(2)$ -action on \mathbf{R}^2 is faithful by hypothesis, we have $g = \text{Id}$, as claimed. Now define the map $\phi: \mathbf{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^2$ by setting

$$\phi(h\gamma(t)) = h \cdot \begin{pmatrix} t \\ 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

for all $t \in [0, \infty)$, $h \in SO(2)$, where h acts on the left via the given $SO(2)$ -action, and on the right by matrix multiplication. By construction, ϕ conjugates the given $SO(2)$ -action to the canonical linear action.

Now suppose $n > 2$. Let $\{e_1, \dots, e_n\}$ denote the canonical basis of \mathbf{R}^n . Then, as in the proof in [30, *ibid.*], one may choose the ray γ to be comprised of fixed points of the restricted $SO(n - 1)$ -action, where here $SO(n - 1)$ is the subgroup of $SO(n)$ which fixes the first basis vector e_1 . So for each $x \in \mathbf{R}^n$, there is a unique number $t \in [0, \infty)$ and an element $g \in SO(n)$ such that $x = g(\gamma(t))$. Moreover, for $x \in \mathbf{R}^n \setminus \{0\}$, the element g is unique modulo $SO(n - 1)$. Consider the fibration

$$p: x \in \mathbf{R}^n \setminus \{0\} \mapsto g \in SO(n)/SO(n - 1) \cong S^{n-1}.$$

Clearly p is $SO(n)$ -equivariant. Notice that $p^{-1}(SO(n - 1)) = \gamma \setminus \{0\} \cong \mathbf{R}$ and the $SO(n - 1)$ -action on this set is trivial. So, by Lemma 3.7, the action of $SO(n)$ on $\mathbf{R}^n \setminus \{0\}$ is conjugate to the action induced by the trivial action

of $SO(n-1)$ on \mathbf{R} . That is, it is conjugate to the canonical action of $SO(n)$ on $\mathbf{R}^n \setminus \{0\}$. It remains to put back the origin. This can obviously be done equivariantly: one merely needs to verify that it can be done continuously. However, by averaging the flat metric on \mathbf{R}^n by the original action of $SO(n)$, one may assume that the action is distance preserving. Thus, as t tends to 0, the $SO(n)$ -orbits through $\gamma(t)$ converge uniformly to 0. So the continuity of the conjugation is clear. \square

We will also need the following:

LEMMA 3.9. *Let $n \geq 3$ and suppose that one has a C^0 -action of $SL(n, \mathbf{R})$ on $(\mathbf{R}^n, 0)$ such that the restricted action of $SO(n)$ is the canonical linear action. Then locally the $SL(n, \mathbf{R})$ -action preserves the radial lines.*

Proof. The key point is that two points of \mathbf{R}^n lie in the same radial line if and only if they have the same stabilizer under the $SO(n)$ -action. Let $x, y \in \mathbf{R}^n$ lie in the same radial line and let $g \in SL(n, \mathbf{R})$. So $\text{Stab}_{SO(n)}(x) = \text{Stab}_{SO(n)}(y)$ and we want to show that

$$\text{Stab}_{SO(n)}(g(x)) = \text{Stab}_{SO(n)}(g(y)).$$

Since the restricted action of $SO(n)$ is the canonical linear action, each orbit of $SL(n, \mathbf{R})$ in $\mathbf{R}^n \setminus \{0\}$ is either a round sphere centred at 0 or a spherical shell centred at 0. Suppose that our $SL(n, \mathbf{R})$ -action on \mathbf{R}^n has two spherical orbits, S_1 and S_2 say. By Theorem 3.5(b), the $SL(n, \mathbf{R})$ -action on each sphere is the projective one. So there is an equivariant homeomorphism $\psi: S_1 \rightarrow S_2$. If $x \in S_1$ and $y = \psi(x) \in S_2$, we have $g(y) = \psi(g(x))$ and as it is equivariant, ψ respects the stabilizers of the $SO(n)$ -action. So $\text{Stab}_{SO(n)}(g(y)) = \text{Stab}_{SO(n)}(g(x))$, as required (and ψ is just \pm the radial projection of S_1 onto S_2).

By continuity, it remains to consider the case where x and y lie in the same open orbit of $SL(n, \mathbf{R})$; that is, suppose $y = h(x)$ for some $h \in SL(n, \mathbf{R})$. For all $f \in SL(n, \mathbf{R})$, one has $\text{Stab}_{SO(n)}(x) = \text{Stab}_{SO(n)}(f(x))$ if and only if $f \in \text{Norm}_{SL(n, \mathbf{R})}(\text{Stab}_{SO(n)}(x))$. So $h \in \text{Norm}_{SL(n, \mathbf{R})}(\text{Stab}_{SO(n)}(x))$ and we need to show that $ghg^{-1} \in \text{Norm}_{SL(n, \mathbf{R})}(\text{Stab}_{SO(n)}(g(x)))$. But if G is any group acting on a space X and H is a subgroup of G , then

$$\begin{aligned} g(\text{Norm}_G(\text{Stab}_H(x)))g^{-1} &= \text{Norm}_G(g(\text{Stab}_H(x)g^{-1})) \\ &= \text{Norm}_G(\text{Stab}_H(g(x))), \end{aligned}$$

for all $x \in X$ and $g \in G$, as we require.