$$\frac{1}{\epsilon} \sum_i Int(f_i) > \sum_i \#(f_i) = \#(\Delta) + \frac{1}{2} \sum_i Int(f_i),$$

whence $\frac{2-\epsilon}{2\epsilon} \sum_i Int(f_i) > \#(\Delta)$ or $\frac{2-\epsilon}{\epsilon} I(\Delta) > \#(\Delta)$. Since $\epsilon = 2\theta/(1 + \theta)$, we obtain $I(\Delta) > \theta\#(\Delta)$, which contradicts the θ-condition.

In fact, if the reduced diagram Δ is not simple, it is a union of simple diagrams linked by bridges. So each of its parts, which is a simple diagram, defines another reduced diagram (relative to another word), so the inequality holds for every part of Δ which is a simple diagram. We conclude by saying that increasing the number of external edges does not affect the inequality. □

Proof of 4.3. By Lemma 4.2, it is sufficient to prove that the (ϵ, x_0)-balanced and θ-conditions imply that every non trivial reduced word in $\mathbf{F}_X$ which vanishes in Γ contains at least one $x_0^{\pm 1}$.

Let us choose such a word ω and Δ a reduced diagram of ω. By Lemma 4.4, there exists a cell f with border equal to one $r \in R^*$, such that

$$Int(f) \leq \frac{2\theta}{1 + \theta} \#(f) = \frac{2\theta}{1 + \theta} |r| < \epsilon |r| \leq n_{x_0}(r),$$

because $\theta < \epsilon/(2 - \epsilon)$. As there are more occurences of x_0 or x_0^{-1} than the number of internal edges, it means that some occurrences of x_0 or x_0^{-1} will be external edges, i.e. will be in the border of Δ which is ω. □

We are now able to prove the main theorem.

Proof of theorem 1.1. By Proposition 4.3, for a finite presentation $\langle X | R \rangle$, we know that being (ϵ, x_0)-balanced and satisfying a θ-condition is sufficient to ensure that $X - \{x_0\}$ freely generates a free subgroup in Γ. But by Corollary 3.2 and [13, Theorem 2], these two conditions are generic and so is the conjunction of these two conditions. □

5. SPECTRAL ESTIMATES FOR ADJACENCY OPERATORS ON CAYLEY GRAPHS

The existence of a free subgroup generated by $X - \{x_0\}$ gives an upper bound for the spectral value of the adjacency operator on the Cayley graph of $\Gamma = \langle X | R \rangle$ associated with the symmetric generating system $S = X \cup X^{-1}$.

We briefly recall some definitions and notations. The Cayley graph $G(\Gamma, X)$ of Γ associated with S has its set of vertices in bijection with Γ and two

vertices g_1 and g_2 are linked by an edge if and only $g_1^{-1}g_2 \in S$. A graph is completely determined by its adjacency operator and, in the case of Cayley graphs, the adjacency operator h_S can be expressed in terms of the right regular representation ρ acting on $l^2(\Gamma)$ as

$$h_S = \frac{1}{\#S} \sum_{s \in S} \rho(s).$$

The spectral properties of h_S capture some information about the pair $(\Gamma, S = X \cup X^{-1})$. For example, Kesten proved

THEOREM (Kesten [10], [9]).   *Let Γ be a finitely generated group, let X be a finite generating system and set $S = X \cup X^{-1}$.*
a) The following are equivalent:
   i) $\|h_S\| = 1$;
   ii) Γ is amenable.
b) Assume that $\#X \geq 2$; then $\frac{\sqrt{2(\#X)-1}}{\#X} \leq \|h_S\|$. Equality holds if and only if Γ is isomorphic to the free group $\mathbf{F}_X$ generated by X.

This enables us to give an easy proposition which was pointed out to us by Pierre de la Harpe.

PROPOSITION 5.1.   *Let $\Gamma = \langle X \,|\, R \rangle$ be a finite presentation of a group Γ with $\#X \geq 2$. If $X \cap X^{-1} = \varnothing$ and if there exists $x_0 \in X$ such that $X - \{x_0\}$ generates a free subgroup in Γ then*

$$\frac{\sqrt{2(\#X)-1}}{\#X} \leq \|h_S\| \leq \frac{\sqrt{2(\#X)-3}+1}{\#X}.$$

Proof.   The first inequality is just Kesten's. To prove the second one, set $X' = X - \{x_0\}$, $S' = X' \cup (X')^{-1}$. Then we can write

$$(\#S)h_S = \rho(x_0) + \rho(x_0)^{-1} + \sum_{s \in S'} \rho(s).$$

As X' freely generates a free group, by Kesten's result, we obtain that

$$\left\| \sum_{s \in S'} \rho(s) \right\| = 2\sqrt{2(\#X')-1} = 2\sqrt{2(\#X)-3}.$$

So

$$\|(\#S)h_S\| \leq 2 + \left\| \sum_{s \in S'} \rho(s) \right\| = 2 + 2\sqrt{2(\#X)-3}.$$

And as $X \cap X^{-1} = \varnothing$, $\#S = 2\#X$ and we get

$$\|h_S\| \leq \frac{1 + \sqrt{2(\#X) - 3}}{\#X}.$$

$\square$

The last proposition and Theorem 1.1 permit us to give generic upper bounds for $\|h_S\|$. Note that this upper bound is non trivial only for $\#X \geq 3$.

COROLLARY 5.2.   *For a presentation* $\Gamma = \langle X \mid R \rangle$ *with* $\#X = k \geq 3$ *and* $\#R = m$ *fixed, the inequalities* $\frac{\sqrt{2(\#X)-1}}{\#X} \leq \|h_S\| \leq \frac{\sqrt{2(\#X)-3}+1}{\#X}$ *are generically true.*

Proof.   Let $x, y \in X$. Since $k \geq 3$, there exists $x_0 \in X$ distinct from x and y. By Theorem 1.1, the subgroup generated by $X - \{x_0\}$ is generically free; in particular $xy \neq e$ in Γ. This shows that, generically, $X \cap X^{-1} = \varnothing$. The corollary follows then by combining Theorem 1.1 with Proposition 5.1. $\square$

It was proved by Grigorchuk [7, Theorem 7.1] that for any fixed $\epsilon > 0$, any group satisfying the small cancellation hypothesis $C'(1/6)$ and such that the length of every relation is sufficiently large satisfies

$$\frac{\sqrt{2(\#X) - 1}}{\#X} < \|h_S\| < \frac{\sqrt{2(\#X) - 1}}{\#X} + \epsilon.$$

This corresponds to the intuitive idea that when the relations are long and do not cancel too much, the Cayley graph looks like a tree in some ball of large radius.

Champetier (in [4]) generalised this theorem, by replacing the small cancellation $C'(1/6)$, by a weaker condition defined by:

DEFINITION (Champetier).   A finite presentation $\langle x_1, \ldots, x_k \mid r_1, \ldots, r_m \rangle$ satisfies the $A(C)$ condition for $C > 0$, if for every word ω in $\mathbf{F}_X$ representing the identity in $\langle X \mid R \rangle$, there exists a diagram Δ representing ω such that, if there are l_i 2-cells contained in Δ having the relation r_i as border, then

$$\sum_{i=1}^{m} l_i |r_i| \leq C|\omega|.$$

With that definition, the precise statement of Champetier's theorem is:

THEOREM (Champetier). *Let C be a positive constant. For every $\epsilon > 0$, there exists an integer n_0 such that for every presentation $\Gamma = \langle X \,|\, R \rangle$, with $\#R = m$, satisfying $A(C)$ and $n_0 \leq \inf\{|r| \,|\, r \in R\}$, the following inequalities hold:*

$$\frac{\sqrt{2(\#X) - 1}}{\#X} \leq \|h_S\| \leq \left(1 + \frac{\epsilon}{2}\right) \frac{\sqrt{2(\#X) - 1}}{\#X}.$$

Assume the presentation satisfies a θ-condition: then $I(\Delta) < \theta\#(\Delta) = \theta(I(\Delta) + |\omega|)$, for any reduced diagram associated with ω. As

$$\sum_{i=1}^{m} l_i |r_i| = \sum_{2\text{-cell } f \subset \Delta} \#(f) \leq 2I(\Delta) + E(\Delta),$$

it is easy to see that the θ-condition implies $A(\frac{2\theta}{1-\theta} + 1)$. So Champetier's theorem and the genericity of the θ-condition imply:

COROLLARY 5.3. *For every $\epsilon > 0$, every fixed $\#X = k$ and every fixed $\#R = m$, $\|h_S\|$ is generically close to $\frac{\sqrt{2(\#X)-1}}{\#X}$.*

REFERENCES

[1] ARZHANTSEVA, G. and A. OL'SHANSKII. Generality of the class of groups in which subgroups with a lesser number of generators are free (Russian). *Mat. Zametki 59 no. 4* (1996), 489–496.

[2] BENDER, E. A. Central and local limit theorems applied to asymptotic enumeration. *J. Comb. Theory, Ser. A 15* (1973), 91–111.

[3] CHAMPETIER, C. *Introduction à la petite simplification.* Proceedings of the congress 'Cayley graphs', École Normale Supérieure de Lyon, France, 13–15 décembre 1993.

[4] —— Cocroissance des groupes à petite simplification. *Bull. London Math. Soc. 25* (1993), 438–444.

[5] —— Propriétés statistiques des groupes de présentation finie. *Adv. Maths. 116* (1995), 197–262.

[6] CHERIX, P.-A. Generic result for the existence of free semi-group. In: *Séminaire de théorie spectrale et géométrie, no. 13, Université de Grenoble I, Institut Fourier* (1994–1995), 123–133.

[7] GRIGORCHUK, R. Symmetrical random walks on discrete groups. Multicomponent random systems (1978), 285–325.

[8] GROMOV, M. Hyperbolic groups. In: *Essays in Group Theory*, S.M. Gersten Ed. *M.S.R.I. Publ. 8* (1987), 75–263.