

2. A COUPLE OF FACTS FROM COHOMOLOGY

Objekttyp: **Chapter**

Zeitschrift: **L'Enseignement Mathématique**

Band (Jahr): **45 (1999)**

Heft 3-4: **L'ENSEIGNEMENT MATHÉMATIQUE**

PDF erstellt am: **09.08.2024**

Nutzungsbedingungen

Die ETH-Bibliothek ist Anbieterin der digitalisierten Zeitschriften. Sie besitzt keine Urheberrechte an den Inhalten der Zeitschriften. Die Rechte liegen in der Regel bei den Herausgebern.

Die auf der Plattform e-periodica veröffentlichten Dokumente stehen für nicht-kommerzielle Zwecke in Lehre und Forschung sowie für die private Nutzung frei zur Verfügung. Einzelne Dateien oder Ausdrucke aus diesem Angebot können zusammen mit diesen Nutzungsbedingungen und den korrekten Herkunftsbezeichnungen weitergegeben werden.

Das Veröffentlichen von Bildern in Print- und Online-Publikationen ist nur mit vorheriger Genehmigung der Rechteinhaber erlaubt. Die systematische Speicherung von Teilen des elektronischen Angebots auf anderen Servern bedarf ebenfalls des schriftlichen Einverständnisses der Rechteinhaber.

Haftungsausschluss

Alle Angaben erfolgen ohne Gewähr für Vollständigkeit oder Richtigkeit. Es wird keine Haftung übernommen für Schäden durch die Verwendung von Informationen aus diesem Online-Angebot oder durch das Fehlen von Informationen. Dies gilt auch für Inhalte Dritter, die über dieses Angebot zugänglich sind.

COROLLARY 2. *Let Σ be a finite subset of places of \mathbf{Q} . Assume that*

- (a) *For all places $v \notin \Sigma$ the function $f \in K$ is a norm from $\mathbf{Q}_v L$ to $\mathbf{Q}_v(t)$.*
- (b) *For all $v \in \Sigma$ there exist $a_v, b_{i,v} \in \mathbf{Q}_v$ with*

$$f(a_v) = N(a_v, b_{1,v}, \dots, b_{d,v}) \in \mathbf{Q}_v^* .$$

Then f is a norm from L .

(In §5 we shall see that (a) is not sufficient in itself.) Colliot-Thélène has shown me a different proof of this corollary using the above-mentioned Faddeev exact sequence, actually removing the regularity assumption. The result reminds one of the work by Pourchet (see [Raj, Lemma 17.4]) and by Colliot-Thélène, Coray, Sansuc [CThCS, Prop. 1.3]. (For instance the last paper contains the proof that a *multiplicative* quadratic form over $k(t)$ represents f over $k(t)$ if and only if it represents f over $k_v(t)$ for all places v of k .)

The paper is organized as follows. In §2 we shall recall a few basics from cohomology. In §3 we shall prove the theorem and its corollaries. In §4 we shall discuss a simple counterexample to an analogous result when $\text{Gal}(L/K)$ is a four-group (similarly to the number-field case). In §5 we shall discuss how the assumptions for Corollary 2 are equivalent for large p both to the solvability of congruences $f \equiv N(g) \pmod{p}$ and to the existence of solutions over the completion of $\mathbf{Q}_p L$ under the Gauss norm. Incidentally, we shall prove that if a representation of f by N exists at all with the $x_i \in k(t)$, then some representation will have the x_i 's of degree bounded explicitly only in terms of $\deg f$ and genus and degree of $kL/k(t)$. This seems to have some interest in itself. These observations lead also to the construction of varieties satisfying the usual local-global principle. Finally, in §6 we shall discuss how to find effectively a possible representation of f by N .

2. A COUPLE OF FACTS FROM COHOMOLOGY

Let G be a finite group acting on an abelian group M . For a function $\xi: G \rightarrow M$, $\sigma \mapsto \xi_\sigma$ we denote (the usual coboundary operator)

$$\partial(\xi_\sigma) = \partial(\xi): G^2 \rightarrow M, \quad (\sigma, \tau) \mapsto \xi_\sigma + \sigma(\xi_\tau) - \xi_{\sigma\tau} .$$

With this notation (but writing M multiplicatively) we now recall Hilbert's Theorem 90:

Let k_1/k be a finite Galois extension with group G and let $\xi: G \rightarrow k_1^*$ be a function satisfying $\partial(\xi) = 1$. Then there exists $\alpha \in k_1^*$ such that $\xi_\sigma = \alpha/\sigma(\alpha)$ for all $\sigma \in G$.

The usual proof (see e.g. [CF, Prop. 3, p.124]) is simple and runs as follows: For $x \in k_1$ form the sum $\alpha = \sum_{\sigma \in G} \xi_\sigma \sigma(x)$. By a well-known elementary result of Artin, we may choose $x \in k_1$ such that $\alpha \neq 0$. A quick computation using the assumption on ξ then shows that α has the stated property.

An easy corollary (the original Hilbert's 90) is that, if G is cyclic generated by g , then every element $a \in k_1^*$ such that $N_k^{k_1}(a) = 1$ is of the form $b/g(b)$ for some $b \in k_1^*$. To derive this conclusion it suffices to apply the above statement to the function on G defined by $\xi_{g^m} = \prod_{i=0}^{m-1} g^i(a)$ (which is well defined).

In §6 on effectiveness we shall need a simple result on *permutation modules* for the action of a finite group G . Such a module is simply a free abelian group on which G acts, which moreover has a \mathbf{Z} -basis permuted by G . We have:

Let M be a permutation module and let $\xi: G \rightarrow M$ satisfy $\partial(\xi) = 0$. Then there exists $m \in M$ such that $\xi_\sigma = m - \sigma(m)$ for all $\sigma \in G$.

We give a short argument for completeness. We may write M as a direct sum of permutation modules, each of which has a \mathbf{Z} -basis which is a G -orbit. It suffices to prove the claim for each direct factor. Write the mentioned basis as $\{g(b)\}$ for a certain $b \in M$ and g running through a set of representatives for G/H , H being the stabilizer of b .

We sum the equations $\xi_{\sigma\tau} = \xi_\sigma + \sigma(\xi_\tau)$ over $\tau \in G$. Letting n be the order of G and putting $\mu := \sum_{g \in G} \xi_g \in M$, we get

$$n\xi_\sigma = \mu - \sigma(\mu).$$

Write $\mu = \sum_{g \in G/H} a_g g(b)$ for suitable $a_g \in \mathbf{Z}$. The displayed equation implies $\mu \equiv \sigma(\mu) \pmod{nM}$ for every $\sigma \in G$. This immediately gives the existence of $a \in \mathbf{Z}$ such that $a_g \equiv a \pmod{n}$ for all $g \in G/H$, so we write $a_g = a + nq_g$ where $q_g \in \mathbf{Z}$. Let $m := \sum_{G/H} q_g g(b) \in M$. Then $nm = \mu - a \sum_{G/H} g(b)$, where the last term is invariant by G . Hence $n\xi_\sigma = n(m - \sigma(m))$, whence $\xi_\sigma = m - \sigma(m)$, as required.