

Zeitschrift: L'Enseignement Mathématique
Band: 47 (2001)
Heft: 3-4: L'ENSEIGNEMENT MATHÉMATIQUE

Artikel: INVERTING RADON TRANSFORMS : THE GROUP-THEORETIC APPROACH
Kapitel: 6.1 SHIFTS
Autor: Rouvière, François
DOI: <https://doi.org/10.5169/seals-65436>

Nutzungsbedingungen

Die ETH-Bibliothek ist die Anbieterin der digitalisierten Zeitschriften. Sie besitzt keine Urheberrechte an den Zeitschriften und ist nicht verantwortlich für deren Inhalte. Die Rechte liegen in der Regel bei den Herausgebern beziehungsweise den externen Rechteinhabern. [Siehe Rechtliche Hinweise.](#)

Conditions d'utilisation

L'ETH Library est le fournisseur des revues numérisées. Elle ne détient aucun droit d'auteur sur les revues et n'est pas responsable de leur contenu. En règle générale, les droits sont détenus par les éditeurs ou les détenteurs de droits externes. [Voir Informations légales.](#)

Terms of use

The ETH Library is the provider of the digitised journals. It does not own any copyrights to the journals and is not responsible for their content. The rights usually lie with the publishers or the external rights holders. [See Legal notice.](#)

Download PDF: 19.10.2024

ETH-Bibliothek Zürich, E-Periodica, <https://www.e-periodica.ch>

6.1 SHIFTS

As before, let $X = G/K$ and $Y = G/H$ be two homogeneous spaces, with K compact, and

$$Ru(g \cdot y_o) = \int_H u(gh \cdot x_o) dh$$

be the corresponding Radon transform of $u \in C_c(X)$.

Let $t \in G$ be a "shift", fixed at first. Replacing the origin $y_o = H$ in Y by the shifted origin $y_t = t \cdot y_o$, with stabilizer subgroup $H_t = tHt^{-1} \subset G$, we obtain the new identification $Y = G/H_t$, and a new incidence relation between X and Y . A point $x = g \cdot x_o \in X$ is now incident to $y \in Y$ if and only if there exists $\gamma \in G$ such that

$$x = \gamma \cdot x_o \quad \text{and} \quad y = \gamma \cdot y_t = \gamma t \cdot y_o,$$

i.e.

$$y = gkt \cdot y_o,$$

for some $k \in K$. The corresponding *shifted dual transform* of $v \in C(Y)$ is

$$R_t^* v(g \cdot x_o) = \int_K v(gkt \cdot y_o) dk.$$

REMARK. We now have two double fibrations

$$\begin{array}{ccc} Z = G/(K \cap H) & & Z_t = G/(K \cap H_t) \\ \downarrow & \searrow & \downarrow & \searrow \\ X = G/K & & Y = G/H, & & X = G/K & & Y = G/H_t, \end{array}$$

and we are dealing with the Radon transform R given by the first and the dual transform R_t^* given by the second. The transform R_t associated with the second diagram is

$$R_t u(g \cdot y_o) = \int_H u(ght^{-1} \cdot x_o) dh;$$

but, excepting the proof of Proposition 12, it will not be used in the sequel.

LEMMA 11. Let $u \in C_c(X)$ and $g, t \in G$. Then

$$(R_t^* Ru)(g \cdot x_o) = (Ru_g)(t \cdot y_o),$$

where u_g is the K -invariant function on X defined by

$$u_g(x) = \int_K u(gk \cdot x) dk.$$

Proof. Immediate, since

$$(R_t^* Ru)(g \cdot x_o) = \int_{K \times H} u(gkth \cdot x_o) dk dh = \int_H u_g(th \cdot x_o) dh. \quad \square$$

Before proceeding we mention the following extension of Proposition 3 to shifted transforms. This result will not be used in the sequel.

PROPOSITION 12. *Let G and H be unimodular, K compact, $X = G/K$ and $Y = G/H$. For any $u \in C_c(X)$ and $t \in G$ we have*

$$R_t^* Ru = u * S_t$$

(convolution on X). Here S_t is the K -invariant distribution on X defined by $S = R_t^* R \delta$, and δ is the Dirac distribution at the origin $x_o = K$ of X , i.e.

$$\langle S_t, u \rangle = R^* R_t u(x_o) = \int_{K \times H} u(kht^{-1} \cdot x_o) dk dh.$$

Proof. The proof of Proposition 3 can be repeated here, with $R^* R_t$ as the dual of $R_t^* R$. The claim can also be checked directly, writing, for $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}(X)$,

$$\langle R_t^* Ru, \varphi \rangle = \int_{G \times H} u(gth \cdot x_o) \varphi(g \cdot x_o) dg dh,$$

and changing variables into $h' = h^{-1}$, $g' = gth$; the result follows easily, G and H being unimodular groups. Details are left to the reader. \square

6.2 RADON INVERSION BY SHIFTS

The elementary Lemma 11 can be used in the following way. Assume the transform R can be inverted at the origin for K -invariant functions on X , say

$$(13) \quad u(x_o) = \langle T_{(y)}, Ru(y) \rangle,$$

where T is some linear form on a space of functions on Y . Then, replacing u by the K -invariant function u_g in the lemma, we obtain

$$u(g \cdot x_o) = u_g(x_o) = \langle T, Ru_g \rangle.$$

The roles of g and t can now be interchanged by Lemma 11, whence

$$(14) \quad u(x) = \langle T_{(t)}, R_t^* Ru(x) \rangle,$$

for arbitrary $u \in \mathcal{D}(X)$ and $x \in X$. The notation $T_{(t)}$ means that T now acts on the shift variable t , or $t \cdot y_o$ to be precise. Since $R_{kth}^* Ru(x) = R_t^* Ru(x)$ for $k \in K$ and $h \in H$, this variable may actually be taken in $K \backslash G/H$.