**Zeitschrift:** L'Enseignement Mathématique

**Band:** 48 (2002)

**Heft:** 3-4: L'ENSEIGNEMENT MATHÉMATIQUE

Artikel: ON THE RATIONAL FORMS OF NILPOTENT LIE ALGEBRAS AND

LATTICES IN NILPOTENT LIE GROUPS

**Kapitel:** 4.3 Classification of rational forms for some 6-dimensional Lie

**ALGEBRAS** 

Autor: Semenov, Yu. S.

**DOI:** https://doi.org/10.5169/seals-66073

## Nutzungsbedingungen

Die ETH-Bibliothek ist die Anbieterin der digitalisierten Zeitschriften. Sie besitzt keine Urheberrechte an den Zeitschriften und ist nicht verantwortlich für deren Inhalte. Die Rechte liegen in der Regel bei den Herausgebern beziehungsweise den externen Rechteinhabern. Siehe Rechtliche Hinweise.

## Conditions d'utilisation

L'ETH Library est le fournisseur des revues numérisées. Elle ne détient aucun droit d'auteur sur les revues et n'est pas responsable de leur contenu. En règle générale, les droits sont détenus par les éditeurs ou les détenteurs de droits externes. <u>Voir Informations légales.</u>

#### Terms of use

The ETH Library is the provider of the digitised journals. It does not own any copyrights to the journals and is not responsible for their content. The rights usually lie with the publishers or the external rights holders. See Legal notice.

**Download PDF:** 16.10.2024

ETH-Bibliothek Zürich, E-Periodica, https://www.e-periodica.ch

Thus, the case of a free nilpotent Lie algebra  $f_c(p, \mathbb{C})$  (as a Lie algebra over  $\mathbb{R}$ ) on p generators differs from the case 2.2.

REMARK. All rational forms of  $\mathfrak{f}_2(2, \mathbb{C}) = \mathfrak{hei}_3(\mathbb{C})$  and  $\mathfrak{f}_2(2, \mathbb{R}) \oplus \mathfrak{f}_2(2, \mathbb{R}) = \mathfrak{hei}_3(\mathbb{R}) \oplus \mathfrak{hei}_3(\mathbb{R})$  are listed in Theorem 3.

COROLLARY 4.2. There are infinitely many non-commensurable (in any sense) lattices in the Lie groups of type  $F_c(p, \mathbf{R}) \times F_c(p, \mathbf{R})$  where  $F_c(p, \mathbf{R})$  is the free nilpotent Lie group on p free generators.

4.3 Classification of rational forms for some 6-dimensional Lie algebras

Let m be a rational number and  $A_m = \mathbf{Q}[x]/(x^2 - m)$ .  $A_m$  is a 2-dimensional commutative algebra over  $\mathbf{Q}$  which depends only on m modulo square factors. Thus there are four types of  $A_m$ :

- 1) if m = 1 then  $A_m \cong \mathbf{Q} \oplus \mathbf{Q}$ ;
- 2) if m > 1 is a positive square-free integer then  $A_m \cong \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{m})$  is a real quadratic field over  $\mathbb{Q}$ ;
- 3) if m = 0 then  $A_0$  is the algebra of dual numbers over  $\mathbf{Q}$ ;
- 4) if m is a negative square-free integer then  $A_m \cong \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{m})$  is an imaginary quadratic field over  $\mathbb{Q}$ .

Let  $\mathfrak{hei}_3(A_m)$  be a Heisenberg algebra over  $A_m$  considered over  $\mathbb{Q}$ . Then  $\mathfrak{hei}_3(A_m)$  is a rational form of either  $\mathfrak{hei}_3(\mathbb{R}) \oplus \mathfrak{hei}_3(\mathbb{R})$ , or  $\mathfrak{hei}_3(\mathbb{R}[x]/(x^2))$ , or  $\mathfrak{hei}_3(\mathbb{C})$ . More precisely,

THEOREM 3. Let  $\mathfrak{h}$  be a 6-dimensional nilpotent Lie algebra of class 2 over  $\mathbb{Q}$ . Suppose that  $[\mathfrak{h},\mathfrak{h}]$  coincides with the 2-dimensional centre of  $\mathfrak{h}$ . Then  $\mathfrak{h} \cong \mathfrak{hei}_3(A_m)$  for some  $m \in \mathbb{Q}$  as above.

Moreover,

- $1) \quad \mathfrak{h} \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}} \mathbf{R} \cong \mathfrak{hei}_3(\mathbf{R}) \oplus \mathfrak{hei}_3(\mathbf{R}) = \mathfrak{g}_+ \quad \textit{iff} \quad m > 0 \,,$
- 2)  $\mathfrak{h} \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}} \mathbf{R} \cong \mathfrak{hei}_3(\mathbf{R}[x]/(x^2)) = \mathfrak{g}_0$  iff m = 0,
- 3)  $\mathfrak{h} \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}} \mathbf{R} \cong \mathfrak{hei}_3(\mathbf{C}) = \mathfrak{g}_-$  iff m < 0,

and up to isomorphism there are no more rational forms for  $\mathfrak{g}_-$ ,  $\mathfrak{g}_0$ ,  $\mathfrak{g}_+$ . The Lie algebras  $\mathfrak{hei}_3(A_m)$  and  $\mathfrak{hei}_3(A_n)$  are isomorphic over  $\mathbf{Q}$  if and only if  $A_m$  and  $A_n$  are isomorphic.

*Proof.* Take some **Q**-basis  $x_1, \ldots, x_6$  of  $\mathfrak{h}$ . First of all, we may suppose that  $[x_1, x_2] = x_5$  (possibly after a change of basis). Thus  $x_5$  is central. We have to deal with two cases.

CASE 1. All brackets  $[x_1, x_j]$ ,  $[x_2, x_j]$   $(j \ge 3)$  are multiples of  $x_5$ . If  $[x_1, x_j] = a_j x_5$ ,  $[x_2, x_j] = b_j x_5$  then we set  $X_j = x_j - a_j x_2 + b_j x_1$  whence  $[x_1, X_j] = [x_2, X_j] = 0$ .

Since  $[\mathfrak{h},\mathfrak{h}]$  is 2-dimensional we conclude that some commutator, say  $[x_3,x_4]$ , is not a multiple of  $x_5$  (for convenience, we use lower-case 'x' instead of 'X'). Consider

$$[x_3, x_4] = ax_1 + bx_2 + cx_3 + dx_4 + ex_5 + fx_6.$$

Commuting  $[x_3, x_4]$  with  $x_1$ ,  $x_2$  we obtain that a = b = 0. Let us suppose that f = 0. Then

$$[x_3, x_4] = cx_3 + dx_4 + ex_5.$$

Recall that  $x_5$  and  $[x_3, x_4]$  in the form (4.2) span the 2-dimensional centre. Commuting  $cx_3 + dx_4 + ex_5$  from (4.2) with  $x_3$ ,  $x_4$  we get c = d = 0 and a contradiction. Thus  $f \neq 0$ . We may assume that  $[x_3, x_4] = x_6$  where  $x_6$  is central. Hence, we have the following multiplication table for  $\mathfrak{h}: [x_1, x_2] = x_5$ ,  $[x_3, x_4] = x_6$ , other brackets being equal to 0. Consequently,

$$\mathfrak{h} = \langle x_1, x_2, x_5 \rangle \oplus \langle x_3, x_4, x_6 \rangle \cong \mathfrak{hei}_3(\mathbf{Q}) \oplus \mathfrak{hei}_3(\mathbf{Q}).$$

CASE 2. Among the brackets  $[x_1, x_j]$ ,  $[x_2, x_j]$   $(j \ge 3)$  there is at least one which is not a multiple of  $x_5$ . In this case we may suppose (changing indices if necessary) that this bracket is  $[x_1, x_3]$ . Let

$$[x_1, x_3] = ax_1 + bx_2 + cx_3 + dx_4 + ex_5 + fx_6$$

and let us suppose that d = f = 0. Then

$$[x_1, x_3] = ax_1 + bx_2 + cx_3 + ex_5.$$

Commuting the right-hand term of (4.4) with  $x_1$  we get

$$0 = [x_1, [x_1, x_3]] = bx_5 + c[x_1, x_3] = cax_1 + cbx_2 + c^2x_3 + (ce + b)x_5.$$

Hence c = b = 0. By virtue of this a = 0 and we obtain a contradiction if we commute both sides of (4.4) with  $x_2$ . It follows that either  $d \neq 0$  or  $f \neq 0$ . In other words, we may suppose that  $[x_1, x_3]$  is equal to  $x_6$ .

Now

$$[x_1, x_2] = x_5, \ [x_1, x_3] = x_6$$

where  $x_5$ ,  $x_6$  span  $[\mathfrak{h},\mathfrak{h}]$ . Suppose that  $[x_2,x_3]=ax_5+bx_6$ . Adding if necessary some multiples of  $x_1$  to  $x_2$  and  $x_3$  we obtain  $[x_2,x_3]=0$ . In the same way we may suppose that  $[x_1,x_4]=0$ . Adding to  $x_4$  some multiple of  $x_1$  we also obtain a relation  $[x_2,x_4]=Cx_6$ . Moreover, after scaling  $x_4$  we get C=0 or C=1. Thus,  $\mathfrak{h}$  has a basis in which the *non-trivial* brackets are the following:

(4.6) 
$$[x_1, x_2] = x_5, [x_1, x_3] = x_6, [x_2, x_4] = Cx_6 (C = 0 mtext{ or } C = 1), [x_3, x_4] = Ax_5 + Bx_6.$$

In any case  $A^2 + B^2 + C^2 \neq 0$  because  $x_4$  cannot belong to the 2-dimensional centre of  $\mathfrak{h}$ .

We will show that we can always make C = 1 and B = 0 in (4.6).

SUBCASE 2.1. If C = 0 then the following basis transformation

(4.7) 
$$X_1 = x_1, X_2 = ax_2 + x_3, X_3 = Ax_2 + Bx_3, X_4 = x_4,$$

yields (a is any constant such that  $aB \neq A$ )

(4.8) 
$$[X_1, X_2] = ax_5 + x_6 = X_5, \qquad [X_1, X_3] = Ax_5 + Bx_6 = X_6, [X_2, X_4] = Ax_5 + Bx_6 = X_6, \qquad [X_3, X_4] = B(Ax_5 + Bx_6) = BX_6.$$

From now on we may suppose that C = 1 in (4.6) and we arrive at

SUBCASE 2.2: 
$$C = 1$$
,  $A = 0$ . Let  $X_1 = x_1 + ax_4$ ,  $X_2 = x_2 - ax_3$ ,  $X_3 = x_2 + dx_3$ ,  $X_4 = -x_1 + dx_4$ ,

where  $a, d, a + d \neq 0$ ,  $aB \neq 1$ ,  $dB \neq -1$ . Hence

$$[X_{1}, X_{2}] = x_{5} + (a^{2}B - 2a)x_{6} = X_{5},$$

$$[X_{1}, X_{3}] = x_{5} + (d - a - adB)x_{6} = X_{6},$$

$$[X_{2}, X_{4}] = x_{5} + (d - a - adB)x_{6} = X_{6},$$

$$[X_{3}, X_{4}] = x_{5} + (d^{2}B + 2d)x_{6} = \lambda X_{5} + (1 - \lambda)X_{6}.$$

Since a, d and a+d are all non-zero,  $X_5$  and  $X_6$  are linearly independent. Straightforward computations yield

$$\lambda = \frac{dB+1}{aB-1} \neq 0, 1.$$

Thus we have the following alternative.

SUBCASE 2.3.1: C = 1;  $A, B, 4A + B^2 \neq 0$ . Let now

(4.11) 
$$X_1 = x_1 + tx_4, \qquad X_2 = x_2 - tx_3, \\ X_3 = x_3, \qquad X_4 = x_4,$$

where t = -B/2A. Hence

$$[X_{1}, X_{2}] = (1 + t^{2}A)x_{5} + (t^{2}B - 2t)x_{6} = X_{5},$$

$$[X_{1}, X_{3}] = -tAx_{5} + (1 - tB)x_{6} = X_{6},$$

$$[X_{2}, X_{4}] = -tAx_{5} + (1 - tB)x_{6} = X_{6},$$

$$[X_{3}, X_{4}] = Ax_{5} + Bx_{6} = \alpha X_{5} = \frac{4A^{2}}{4A + B^{2}}X_{5}.$$

SUBCASE 2.3.2: C=1;  $A,B\neq 0$ ,  $4A+B^2=0$ . The same transformation (4.11) yields

$$[X_{1}, X_{2}] = 0,$$

$$[X_{1}, X_{3}] = -tAx_{5} + (1 - tB)x_{6} = X_{6},$$

$$[X_{2}, X_{4}] = -tAx_{5} + (1 - tB)x_{6} = X_{6},$$

$$[X_{3}, X_{4}] = Ax_{5} + Bx_{6} = X_{5}$$

and, after the transformation  $x_1 = X_3$ ,  $x_2 = X_4$ ,  $x_3 = X_1$ ,  $x_4 = X_2$ ,  $x_5 = X_5$ ,  $x_6 = -X_6$ , we obtain (4.12) with  $\alpha = 0$ . Anyway, we obtain the desired form of  $\mathfrak{h}$ 

$$(4.14) [x1, x2] = x5, [x1, x3] = x6, [x2, x4] = x6, [x3, x4] = Ax5.$$

Scaling  $x_3$ ,  $x_4$  by  $\lambda \neq 0$  we may suppose that A = m where m is a square-free integer as above.

In order to conclude the proof of the first part of the theorem we point out an isomorphism  $\rho: \mathfrak{h} \to \mathfrak{hei}_3(A_m)$ . Recall that  $A_m$  has a basis 1, x over  $\mathbb{Q}$  such that  $x^2 = m$ . Here are the matrices representing  $\rho(x_i)$  if  $m \neq 1$  (the case m = 1 is left to the reader as an easy exercise):

$$\rho(x_1) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \rho(x_2) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \rho(x_5) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

$$(4.15)$$

$$\rho(x_3) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & x \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \rho(x_4) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -x & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \rho(x_6) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & x \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Now it is evident that  $\mathfrak{h} \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}} \mathbf{R}$  is isomorphic to either  $\mathfrak{hei}_3(\mathbf{R}) \oplus \mathfrak{hei}_3(\mathbf{R})$ , or  $\mathfrak{hei}_3(\mathbf{R}[x]/(x^2))$ , or  $\mathfrak{hei}_3(\mathbf{C})$  depending on the sign of m. Thus, we have classified up to  $\mathbf{Q}$ -isomorphism all rational forms for these 3 real Lie algebras. By Theorem 2 these forms are non-isomorphic. The proof of the theorem is complete.  $\square$ 

REMARK. It is worth mentioning that the above three real Lie algebras are not pairwise isomorphic over  $\mathbf{R}$ . Indeed, the centralizer of any element in  $\mathfrak{g}_- = \mathfrak{hei}_3(\mathbf{C})$  is even dimensional over  $\mathbf{R}$  since this algebra can be viewed as a complex Lie algebra, whereas in both  $\mathfrak{g}_+ = \mathfrak{hei}_3(\mathbf{R}) \oplus \mathfrak{hei}_3(\mathbf{R})$  and  $\mathfrak{g}_0 = \mathfrak{hei}_3(\mathbf{R}[x]/(x^2))$  there are elements with 5-dimensional centralizers. In order to show that the last two algebras are not isomorphic we need some more information about elements with 5-dimensional centralizers.

The centralizer C(x) will not be changed if we scale x by any  $\lambda \neq 0$  or add to x any central element. This means that dimension of the centralizer is a well-defined function on the projective space  $\mathbf{P}(\mathfrak{g}/[\mathfrak{g},\mathfrak{g}])$  where  $\mathfrak{g}$  is either  $\mathfrak{g}_+$  or  $\mathfrak{g}_0$ . Straightforward computations show that in  $\mathbf{P}(\mathfrak{g}_0/[\mathfrak{g}_0,\mathfrak{g}_0])$  all points with 5-dimensional centralizer belong to a unique line whereas in  $\mathbf{P}(\mathfrak{g}_+/[\mathfrak{g}_+,\mathfrak{g}_+])$  the points under consideration form two disjoint lines.

## **REFERENCES**

- [1] BOURBAKI, N. Groupes et algèbres de Lie. Chap. 1, 3. Hermann, Paris, 1972.
- [2] CORWIN, L. J. and F. P. GREENLEAF. Representations of Nilpotent Lie Groups and their Applications. Part I. Cambridge Univ. Press, 1989.
- [3] DIXMIER, J. Sur les représentations unitaires des groupes de Lie nilpotents III. Canad. J. Math. 10 (1958), 321–348.
- [4] RAGHUNATHAN, M. Discrete Subgroups of Lie Groups. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1972.
- [5] MALCEV, A. I. On a class of homogeneous spaces. Amer. Math. Soc. Translation 39 (1951); Izvestiya Akad. Nauk SSSR. Ser. Mat. 13 (1949), 9–32.

(Reçu le 25 juillet 2001)

# Yu. S. Semenov

MIIT, division 'Applied Mathematics – 1'
Obraztsova 15
127994 Moscow
Russia

e-mail: yury\_semenov@hotmail.com