

4.2 THE DETERMINATION OF $\$W_4$ IN THE GENERAL CASE

Objekttyp: **Chapter**

Zeitschrift: **L'Enseignement Mathématique**

Band (Jahr): **48 (2002)**

Heft 3-4: **L'ENSEIGNEMENT MATHÉMATIQUE**

PDF erstellt am: **10.08.2024**

Nutzungsbedingungen

Die ETH-Bibliothek ist Anbieterin der digitalisierten Zeitschriften. Sie besitzt keine Urheberrechte an den Inhalten der Zeitschriften. Die Rechte liegen in der Regel bei den Herausgebern.

Die auf der Plattform e-periodica veröffentlichten Dokumente stehen für nicht-kommerzielle Zwecke in Lehre und Forschung sowie für die private Nutzung frei zur Verfügung. Einzelne Dateien oder Ausdrucke aus diesem Angebot können zusammen mit diesen Nutzungsbedingungen und den korrekten Herkunftsbezeichnungen weitergegeben werden.

Das Veröffentlichen von Bildern in Print- und Online-Publikationen ist nur mit vorheriger Genehmigung der Rechteinhaber erlaubt. Die systematische Speicherung von Teilen des elektronischen Angebots auf anderen Servern bedarf ebenfalls des schriftlichen Einverständnisses der Rechteinhaber.

Haftungsausschluss

Alle Angaben erfolgen ohne Gewähr für Vollständigkeit oder Richtigkeit. Es wird keine Haftung übernommen für Schäden durch die Verwendung von Informationen aus diesem Online-Angebot oder durch das Fehlen von Informationen. Dies gilt auch für Inhalte Dritter, die über dieses Angebot zugänglich sind.

addition, relation (3) holds, then [18] ensures that X will carry a smooth structure (compare Theorem A.4 of [24]), finishing the proof of Part ii). \square

4.2 THE DETERMINATION OF W_4 IN THE GENERAL CASE

We have a handle decomposition $W_0 \subset W_2 \subset W_4 \subset W_6 \subset X$ of X providing preferred bases \underline{b} of $H_2(X, \mathbf{Z})$ and \underline{c} of $H_4(X, \mathbf{Z})$, respectively. Let \underline{x} and \underline{y} be the dual bases of $H^2(X, \mathbf{Z})$ and $H^4(X, \mathbf{Z})$, respectively. Finally, let \underline{y}^* be the basis of $H^4(X, \mathbf{Z})$ which is dual to \underline{y} via γ_X .

We find $\partial W_2 \cong \#_{i=1}^b (S^2 \times S^5)$, and W_4 is determined by the ambient isotopy class of a framed link of 3-spheres in ∂W_2 with b' components. Let $f_k: S^3 \times D^4 \rightarrow \partial W_2$ be the k^{th} component of that link and $g_k := f_k|_{S^3 \times \{0\}}$, $k = 1, \dots, b'$. In the notation of Section 3.6, we write $[g_k] \in \pi_3(\partial W_2 \setminus \bigcup_{k \neq j} S_j)$ in the form $(l_i^k, i = 1, \dots, b, l_{ij}^k, 1 \leq i < j \leq b; \lambda_{kj}, j \neq k)$, $k = 1, \dots, b'$. To see the significance of the l_i^k and l_{ij}^k , note that, by Remark 3.4, $W_2 \cup H_k^4 \subset X$ is homotopy equivalent to $(\bigvee_{i=1}^b S^2) \cup_{g_k} D^4$. The cohomology ring of that complex has been computed in Proposition 3.11, so that the naturality of the cup product implies the following formulae for the cup products in X :

$$x_i \cup x_j = \sum_{k=1}^{b'} l_{ij}^k \cdot y_k^*, \quad i \neq j,$$

$$x_i \cup x_i = \sum_{k=1}^{b'} l_i^k \cdot y_k^*, \quad i = 1, \dots, b.$$

Therefore, the l_i^k and l_{ij}^k are determined by δ_X and γ_X (used to compute \underline{y}^*), in fact $l_i^k = \gamma_X(\delta(x_i \otimes x_i) \otimes y_k)$ and $l_{ij}^k = \gamma_X(\delta(x_i \otimes x_j) \otimes y_k)$.

To determine the λ_{ij} and the framings, we proceed as follows: Look at the embedding $\#_{i=1}^b (S^2 \times S^5) \hookrightarrow X$. There exist b embedded 2-spheres S_1^2, \dots, S_b^2 which represent the basis \underline{b} and which do not meet the given link. Finally, $\#_{i=1}^b (S^2 \times S^5)$ obviously possesses a regular neighborhood in X which is homeomorphic to $\#_{i=1}^b (S^2 \times S^5) \times D^1$. Thus, we can perform "surgery in pairs" as described in Section 3.1. The result is a 3-connected manifold X^* containing S^7 . It is by construction the manifold obtained from the framed link in S^7 derived from the given one in $\#_{i=1}^b (S^2 \times S^5)$ (cf. Section 4.1). We will be finished, once we are able to compare the invariants of X to those of X^* . To do so, we look at the *trace of the surgery*, i.e., at $Y = (X \times I) \cup H_1^5 \cup \dots \cup H_{b'}^5$, the 5-handles being attached along tubular neighborhoods of the $S_i \times \{1\}$ in $X \times \{1\}$. Then $\partial Y = X \sqcup \bar{X}^*$.

The Mayer-Vietoris sequence provides the isomorphisms

$$H_4(X, \mathbf{Z}) \cong H_4\left(X \setminus \bigsqcup_{i=1}^{b'} (S_i \times D^6), \mathbf{Z}\right) \cong H_4(X^*, \mathbf{Z}).$$

Set $H := H_4\left(X \setminus \bigsqcup_{i=1}^{b'} (S_i \times D^6), \mathbf{Z}\right)$. By Lefschetz duality ([5], (28.18)), there is for each $q \in \mathbf{N}$ a diagram (omitting \mathbf{Z} -coefficients)

$$(4) \quad \begin{array}{ccccccc} H^{q-1}(Y) & \longrightarrow & H^{q-1}(\partial Y) & \longrightarrow & H^q(Y, \partial Y) & \longrightarrow & H^q(Y) \\ \downarrow \cong & & \downarrow \cong & & \downarrow \cong & & \downarrow \cong \\ H_{10-q}(Y, \partial Y) & \longrightarrow & H_{9-q}(\partial Y) & \longrightarrow & H_{9-q}(Y) & \longrightarrow & H_{9-q}(Y, \partial Y) \end{array}$$

where the left square commutes up to the sign $(-1)^{q-1}$ and the other two commute. We first use it in the case $q = 5$. Look at the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} H & \xrightarrow{\cong} & H_4(X^*, \mathbf{Z}) \\ \downarrow \cong & & \downarrow \\ H_4(X, \mathbf{Z}) & \longrightarrow & H_4(Y, \mathbf{Z}), \end{array}$$

in which all arrows are injective, because $H_5(Y, X; \mathbf{Z}) = 0 = H_5(Y, X^*; \mathbf{Z})$ (cf. [17], p.198). Using the identification $H_4(\partial Y, \mathbf{Z}) = H \oplus H$, we find

$$(5) \quad \text{Im}(H_5(Y, \partial Y; \mathbf{Z})) = \{ (y, -y) \in H \oplus H \}.$$

Similar considerations apply to the case $q = 9$. Taking into account that X^* sits in Y with the reversed orientation, (4) shows that the forms γ_X and γ_{X^*} , both defined with respect to the preferred bases, coincide. In the same manner, the pullbacks of $p_1(Y)$ to $H^4(X, \mathbf{Z})$ and $H^4(X^*, \mathbf{Z})$, respectively, agree. Since X and X^* are the boundary components of Y , these pullbacks are $p_1(X)$ and $p_1(X^*)$, respectively, and we are done. \square

4.3 MANIFOLDS WITH GIVEN INVARIANTS

One might speculate, especially in view of the classification of E-manifolds in dimension 4 and 6, that the invariants δ_X , γ_X , and $p_1(X)$ might suffice to classify E-manifolds with $w_2(X) = 0$ in dimension 8. However,