

13.2 Free group endomorphisms and forest-maps

Objektyp: **Chapter**

Zeitschrift: **L'Enseignement Mathématique**

Band (Jahr): **49 (2003)**

Heft 3-4: **L'ENSEIGNEMENT MATHÉMATIQUE**

PDF erstellt am: **12.07.2024**

Nutzungsbedingungen

Die ETH-Bibliothek ist Anbieterin der digitalisierten Zeitschriften. Sie besitzt keine Urheberrechte an den Inhalten der Zeitschriften. Die Rechte liegen in der Regel bei den Herausgebern.

Die auf der Plattform e-periodica veröffentlichten Dokumente stehen für nicht-kommerzielle Zwecke in Lehre und Forschung sowie für die private Nutzung frei zur Verfügung. Einzelne Dateien oder Ausdrucke aus diesem Angebot können zusammen mit diesen Nutzungsbedingungen und den korrekten Herkunftsbezeichnungen weitergegeben werden.

Das Veröffentlichen von Bildern in Print- und Online-Publikationen ist nur mit vorheriger Genehmigung der Rechteinhaber erlaubt. Die systematische Speicherung von Teilen des elektronischen Angebots auf anderen Servern bedarf ebenfalls des schriftlichen Einverständnisses der Rechteinhaber.

Haftungsausschluss

Alle Angaben erfolgen ohne Gewähr für Vollständigkeit oder Richtigkeit. Es wird keine Haftung übernommen für Schäden durch die Verwendung von Informationen aus diesem Online-Angebot oder durch das Fehlen von Informationen. Dies gilt auch für Inhalte Dritter, die über dieses Angebot zugänglich sind.

by d_{Γ}^s , such a metric on Γ . We will call *mapping-telescope standard metric* any mapping-telescope d_{Γ}^s -metric on $\mathcal{C}(G_{\alpha})$.

LEMMA 13.5. *The mapping-torus group G_{α} of an injective free group endomorphism acts cocompactly, properly discontinuously and isometrically on the Cayley complex $\mathcal{C}(G_{\alpha})$ equipped with any mapping-telescope standard metric.*

Proof. We consider the usual action by left translations of the group on its Cayley graph. This action is extended in a natural way to a free action on the Cayley complex $\mathcal{C}(G_{\alpha})$. Let f denote the map giving the strata for the structure of forest-stack of $\mathcal{C}(G_{\alpha})$, see Lemma 13.3. For a mapping-telescope metric, all the strata $f^{-1}(r)$ and $f^{-1}(r+1)$ are isometric. And for a mapping-telescope standard metric all the strata $f^{-1}(n)$, $n \in \mathbf{Z}$, are equipped with the standard metric. This readily implies that the above action is isometric. \square

13.2 FREE GROUP ENDOMORPHISMS AND FOREST-MAPS

The main point of Lemma 13.6 below is the so-called ‘bounded-cancellation lemma’ of [7] for free group automorphisms, and of [10] for the injective free group endomorphisms.

LEMMA 13.6. *Let α be an injective free group endomorphism. Let F and $\tilde{\psi}$ be the forest and the forest-map on F given by Lemma 13.3. Then $\tilde{\psi}$ is a weakly bi-Lipschitz forest-map of F equipped with the standard metric d_F^s .*

Proof. If w is any element in $F_n = \langle x_1, \dots, x_n \rangle$, and $|\cdot|_{F_n}$ denotes the word-metric on F_n , then $|\alpha(w)|_{F_n} \leq (\max_{i=1, \dots, n} |\alpha(x_i)|_{F_n}) |w|_{F_n}$. By definition of the standard metric, and setting $\mu_0 = \max_{i=1, \dots, n} |\alpha(x_i)|_{F_n}$, the map $\tilde{\psi}$ satisfies $d_F^s(\tilde{\psi}(x), \tilde{\psi}(y)) \leq \mu_0 d_F^s(x, y)$ for any pair of vertices x, y . If x, y are not vertices, then they are joined in their stratum by a horizontal geodesic which is the concatenation of a path between two vertices, with two proper subsets of edges. By construction and simpliciality of $\tilde{\psi}$, proper subsets of edges are dilated by a bounded factor when applying $\tilde{\psi}$, so that the conclusion follows for the upper bound.

If w is any element in F_n then

$$|\alpha^{-1}(w)|_{F_n} \leq (\max_{i=1, \dots, n} |\alpha^{-1}(x_i)|_{F_n}) |w|_{F_n}.$$

Setting $\mu_1 = \max_{i=1, \dots, n} |\alpha^{-1}(x_i)|_{F_n}$ we get $|\alpha(w)|_{F_n} \geq \frac{1}{\mu_1} |w|_{F_n}$. Therefore $d_F^s(\tilde{\psi}(x), \tilde{\psi}(y)) \geq \frac{1}{\mu_1} d_F^s(x, y)$ for any pair of vertices x, y . The inequality

for all points x, y does not follow as easily as for the upper bound, since the map $\tilde{\psi}$ might identify points, and this could make the distance decrease sharply. However, assume the existence of a constant K_0 such that $\tilde{\psi}(x) = \tilde{\psi}(y) \Rightarrow d_F^s(x, y) \leq K_0$. Any geodesic in F is the concatenation of a geodesic between two vertices with two proper subsets of edges of F . Thus the inequality $d_F^s(\tilde{\psi}(x), \tilde{\psi}(y)) \geq \frac{1}{\mu_1} d_F^s(x, y) - 2K_0$ follows in a straightforward way from the preceding assertions. Injective free group endomorphisms satisfy the so-called ‘bounded-cancellation lemma’ (see [10], and [7] for the particular case of automorphisms), i.e. there exists $A_\alpha > 0$ such that $|\alpha(w_1 w_2)|_{F_n} \geq |\alpha(w_1)|_{F_n} + |\alpha(w_2)|_{F_n} - A_\alpha$ for any w_1, w_2 in F_n with $|w_1 w_2|_{F_n} = |w_1|_{F_n} + |w_2|_{F_n}$. This inequality gives a constant $K_0 = A_\alpha + 2$ as required above, i.e. such that, if $\tilde{\psi}(x) = \tilde{\psi}(y)$ then $d_F^s(x, y) \leq K_0$. Setting $\mu = \max(\mu_0, \mu_1)$ and $K = 2K_0$, we get Lemma 13.6. \square

LEMMA 13.7. *With the assumptions and notation of Lemma 13.6,*

- 1) *If α is hyperbolic then the forest-map is hyperbolic.*
- 2) *If α is hyperbolic and its image $\text{Im}(\alpha)$ is malnormal, then the forest-map is strongly hyperbolic.*

Proof. (1) is easy to check. Let us prove (2). The notation used is that introduced in Section 13 when defining the forest F and the map $\tilde{\psi}$. If the map is not strongly hyperbolic, there exists an infinite sequence of pairs of connected components (T_i, T'_i) such that T_i and T'_i are identified under $\tilde{\psi}$ along a geodesic g_i and the length of g_i tends to $+\infty$ as $i \rightarrow +\infty$. Thus there exists an infinite number of elements $(u_i, u'_i) \in F_n - \text{Im}(\alpha) \times F_n - \text{Im}(\alpha)$ such that some geodesic word $a_i w_i b_i$ (resp. $a'_i w_i b'_i$) connects two vertices associated to elements in $u_i \text{Im}(\alpha)$ (resp. in $u'_i \text{Im}(\alpha)$) where the length of the w_i 's tends to $+\infty$ as $i \rightarrow +\infty$.

Observe that in particular $a_i w_i b_i \in \text{Im}(\alpha)$, $a'_i w_i b'_i \in \text{Im}(\alpha)$, whereas $a_i w_i b'_i \notin \text{Im}(\alpha)$ and $a'_i w_i b_i \notin \text{Im}(\alpha)$ because they carry an element of $u_i \text{Im}(\alpha)$ (resp. $u'_i \text{Im}(\alpha)$) to an element of $u'_i \text{Im}(\alpha)$ (resp. of $u_i \text{Im}(\alpha)$). The lengths of the a_i, b_i, a'_i, b'_i can be assumed to be at most the maximum of the lengths of the images under α of the generators of F_n , which is finite. Since there are only a finite number of pairs of elements of bounded lengths, a same pair a_j, b_j (resp. a'_j, b'_j) appears an infinite number of times when listing the sequence of words $a_i w_i b_i$ (resp. $a'_i w_i b'_i$). The same finiteness argument then gives two words $\omega_1 \subsetneq \omega_2$ with $\omega_2 = \omega \omega_1$ such that $a_j \omega_j b_j \in \text{Im}(\alpha)$, $a'_j \omega_j b'_j \in \text{Im}(\alpha)$, $a_j \omega_j b'_j \notin \text{Im}(\alpha)$ and $a'_j \omega_j b_j \notin \text{Im}(\alpha)$, $j = 1, 2$.

Thus $a_I \omega_1 b_I b_I^{-1} \omega_1^{-1} \omega^{-1} a_I^{-1} \in \text{Im}(\alpha)$, $a'_I \omega_1 b'_I b'_I^{-1} \omega_1^{-1} \omega^{-1} a_I'^{-1} \in \text{Im}(\alpha)$, $a_I \omega_1 b'_I b_I^{-1} \omega_1^{-1} \omega^{-1} a_I'^{-1} \notin \text{Im}(\alpha)$. Now $(a_I \omega^{-1} a_I'^{-1})^{-1} a_I \omega^{-1} a_I^{-1} (a_I \omega^{-1} a_I'^{-1}) = a_I' \omega^{-1} a_I'^{-1} \in \text{Im}(\alpha)$, whereas $a_I \omega^{-1} a_I'^{-1} \notin \text{Im}(\alpha)$ and $a_I \omega^{-1} a_I^{-1} \in \text{Im}(\alpha)$. We thus get a contradiction to the malnormality of $\text{Im}(\alpha)$ in F_n . This completes the proof. \square

13.3 PROOF OF THEOREM 13.2

From Lemmas 13.6 and 13.7, the Cayley complex $\mathcal{C}(G_\alpha)$ is the mapping-telescope of a strongly hyperbolic forest-map, equipped with the standard metric. A Cayley complex is connected. Thus, from Theorem 12.4, $\mathcal{C}(G_\alpha)$ is a Gromov-hyperbolic metric space for any mapping-telescope standard metric. From Lemma 13.5 the group G_α acts cocompactly, properly discontinuously and isometrically on $\mathcal{C}(G_\alpha)$ equipped with a mapping-telescope standard metric. A classical lemma of geometric group theory (usually attributed to Effremovich, Svàrc, Milnor – see [19] or [17] for instance), applied to quasi geodesic metric spaces, tells us that G_α and $\mathcal{C}(G_\alpha)$ are quasi-isometric so that G_α is a hyperbolic group. \square

REMARK 13.8. Another way of stating our main theorem about ‘forest-stacks’, using the language of trees of spaces, goes roughly as follows: “An oriented \mathbf{R} -tree of \mathbf{R} -trees with the gluing-maps satisfying the conditions of hyperbolicity and strong hyperbolicity with uniform constants is Gromov-hyperbolic.” Here ‘oriented \mathbf{R} -tree’ means an \mathbf{R} -tree T equipped with an orientation going from the domain to the image of each attaching-map, and a surjective continuous map $f: T \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ respecting this orientation. As a corollary of our theorem, and in order to illustrate it, we chose to concentrate on mapping-telescopes. We could as well consider spaces similar to mapping-telescopes but where we allow the attaching-maps not to be the same at each step. Our only requirement is to have uniform constants of quasi-isometry, hyperbolicity and so on. Also, with respect to groups, a corollary could have been stated dealing with HNN-extensions rather than just semi-direct products.

Another result which easily follows from our work could be more or less stated as follows. “Let T be a tree of spaces X_i , $i = 0, 1, \dots$. Let $\psi: T \rightarrow T$ be a map of T such that the mapping-telescope of each X_i under ψ is Gromov-hyperbolic. If ψ induces a hyperbolic map on the tree resulting of the collapsing of each X_i to a point, then the mapping-telescope of the tree of spaces T under ψ is Gromov-hyperbolic.” We leave the precise statement of such corollaries to the reader. Together with [14] where a new proof of the