Zeitschrift: L'Enseignement Mathématique

Band: 49 (2003)

Heft: 1-2: L'ENSEIGNEMENT MATHÉMATIQUE

Artikel: LECTURES ON QUASI-INVARIANTS OF COXETER GROUPS AND THE CHEREDNIK ALGEBRA

Kapitel: 1.2 Elementary properties of Q_m

Autor: Etingof, Pavel / Strickland, Elisabetta

DOI: https://doi.org/10.5169/seals-66677

EXAMPLE 1.2. The group $W = \mathbf{Z}/2$ acts on $\mathfrak{h} = \mathbf{C}$ by $s(v) = -v$. In this case m is a non negative integer and $\Sigma = \{s\}$. So this definition says that q is in Q_m iff $q(x) - q(-x)$ is divisible by x^{2m+1}. It is very easy to write a basis of Q_m. It is given by the polynomials $\{x^{2i} \mid i \geq 0\} \cup \{x^{2i+1} \mid i \geq m\}$.

1.2 ELEMENTARY PROPERTIES OF Q_m

Some elementary properties of Q_m are collected in the following proposition.

PROPOSITION 1.3 (see [FV] and references therein).

1) $\mathbf{C}[\mathfrak{h}]^W \subset Q_m \subseteq \mathbf{C}[\mathfrak{h}]$, $Q_0 = \mathbf{C}[\mathfrak{h}]$, $Q_m \subset Q_{m'}$ *if* $m \geq m'$, $\bigcap_m Q_m = \mathbf{C}[\mathfrak{h}]^W$.
2) Q_m *is a graded subalgebra of* $\mathbf{C}[\mathfrak{h}]$.
3) *The fraction field of* Q_m *is equal to* $\mathbf{C}(\mathfrak{h})$.
4) Q_m *is a finite* $\mathbf{C}[\mathfrak{h}]^W$*-module and a finitely generated algebra.* $\mathbf{C}[\mathfrak{h}]$ *is a finite* Q_m*-module.*

Proof. 1) is immediate and has already been mentioned in 1.1.

2) Clearly Q_m is closed under addition. Let $p, q \in Q_m$. Let $s \in \Sigma$. Then

$$p(x)q(x) - p(sx)q(sx) = (p(x) - p(sx))q(x) + p(sx)(q(x) - q(sx)).$$

Since both $p(x) - p(sx)$ and $q(x) - q(sx)$ are divisible by $\alpha_s^{2m_s+1}$, we deduce that $p(x)q(x) - p(sx)q(sx)$ is also divisible by $\alpha_s^{2m_s+1}$, proving the claim.

3) Consider the polynomial

$$\delta_{2m+1}(x) = \prod_{s \in \Sigma} \alpha_s(x)^{2m_s+1}.$$

This polynomial is uniquely defined up to scaling. One has $\delta_{2m+1}(sx) = -\delta_{2m+1}(x)$ for each $s \in \Sigma$, hence $\delta_{2m+1} \in Q_m$. Take $f(x) \in \mathbf{C}[\mathfrak{h}]$. We claim that $f(x)\delta_{2m+1}(x) \in Q_m$. As a matter of fact,

$$f(x)\delta_{2m+1}(x) - f(sx)\delta_{2m+1}(sx) = (f(x) + f(sx))\delta_{2m+1}(x),$$

and by its definition $\delta_{2m+1}(x)$ is divisible by $\alpha_s(x)^{2m_s+1}$ for all $s \in \Sigma$. This implies 3).

4) By Hilbert's theorem on the finiteness of invariants, we get that $\mathbf{C}[\mathfrak{h}]^W$ is a finitely generated algebra over $\mathbf{C}$ and $\mathbf{C}[\mathfrak{h}]$ is a finite $\mathbf{C}[\mathfrak{h}]^W$-module and hence a finite Q_m-module, proving the second part of 4).

Now $Q_m \subset \mathbf{C}[\mathfrak{h}]$ is a submodule of the finite module $\mathbf{C}[\mathfrak{h}]$ over the Noetherian ring $\mathbf{C}[\mathfrak{h}]^W$. Hence it is finite. This immediately implies that Q_m is a finitely generated algebra over $\mathbf{C}$. □

REMARK. In fact, since W is a finite Coxeter group, a celebrated result of Chevalley says that the algebra $\mathbf{C}[\mathfrak{h}]^W$ is not only a finitely generated $\mathbf{C}$-algebra but actually a free (=polynomial) algebra. Namely, it is of the form $\mathbf{C}[q_1,\dots,q_n]$, where the q_i are homogeneous polynomials of some degrees d_i. Furthermore, if we denote by H the subspace of $\mathbf{C}[\mathfrak{h}]$ of harmonic polynomials, i.e. of polynomials killed by W-invariant differential operators with constant coefficients without constant term, then the multiplication map

$$\mathbf{C}[\mathfrak{h}]^W \otimes H \to \mathbf{C}[\mathfrak{h}]$$

is an isomorphism of $\mathbf{C}[\mathfrak{h}]^W$- and of W-modules. In particular, $\mathbf{C}[\mathfrak{h}]$ is a free $\mathbf{C}[\mathfrak{h}]^W$-module of rank $|W|$.

1.3 THE VARIETY X_m AND ITS BIJECTIVE NORMALIZATION

Using Proposition 1.3, we can define the irreducible affine variety $X_m = \mathrm{Spec}(Q_m)$. The inclusion $Q_m \subset \mathbf{C}[\mathfrak{h}]$ induces a morphism

$$\pi\colon \mathfrak{h} \to X_m\,,$$

which again by Proposition 1.3 is birational and surjective. (Notice that in particular this implies that X_m is singular for all $m \neq 0$.)

In fact, not only is π birational, but a stronger result is true.

PROPOSITION 1.4 (Berest, see [BEG]). *π is a bijection.*

Proof. By the above remarks, we only have to show that π is injective. In order to achieve this, we need to prove that quasi-invariants separate points of $\mathfrak{h}$, i.e. that if $z, y \in \mathfrak{h}$ and $z \neq y$, then there exists $p \in Q_m$ such that $p(z) \neq p(y)$. This is obtained in the following way. Let $W_z \subset W$ be the stabilizer of z and choose $f \in \mathbf{C}[\mathfrak{h}]$ such that $f(z) \neq 0$, $f(y) = 0$. Set

$$p(x) = \prod_{s\in\Sigma\,,sz\neq z} \alpha_s(x)^{2m_s+1} \prod_{w\in W_z} f(wx)\,.$$

We claim that $p(x) \in Q_m$. Indeed, let $s \in \Sigma$ and assume that $s(z) \neq z$.

We have by definition $p(x) = \alpha_s(x)^{2m_s+1}\tilde{p}(x)$, with $\tilde{p}(x)$ a polynomial. So

$$p(x) - p(sx) = \alpha_s(x)^{2m_s+1}\tilde{p}(x) - \alpha_s(sx)^{2m_s+1}\tilde{p}(sx) = \alpha_s(x)^{2m_s+1}(\tilde{p}(x) + \tilde{p}(sx))\,.$$

If on the other hand, $sz = z$, i.e. $s \in W_z$, then s preserves the set $W \setminus W_z$, and hence preserves $\prod_{s\in\Sigma\cap(W\setminus W_z)} \alpha_s(x)^{2m_s+1}$ (as it acts by -1 on the products $\prod_{s\in\Sigma} \alpha_s(x)^{2m_s+1}$ and $\prod_{s\in\Sigma\cap W_z} \alpha_s(x)^{2m_s+1}$). Since $\prod_{w\in W_z} f(wx)$ is