Zeitschrift:	L'Enseignement Mathématique
Band:	54 (2008)
Heft:	1-2
Artikel:	Homotopy invariance of almost flat Betti numbers
Autor:	Sauer, Roman / Schick, Thomas
DOI:	https://doi.org/10.5169/seals-109926

#### Nutzungsbedingungen

Die ETH-Bibliothek ist die Anbieterin der digitalisierten Zeitschriften. Sie besitzt keine Urheberrechte an den Zeitschriften und ist nicht verantwortlich für deren Inhalte. Die Rechte liegen in der Regel bei den Herausgebern beziehungsweise den externen Rechteinhabern. <u>Siehe Rechtliche Hinweise.</u>

### **Conditions d'utilisation**

L'ETH Library est le fournisseur des revues numérisées. Elle ne détient aucun droit d'auteur sur les revues et n'est pas responsable de leur contenu. En règle générale, les droits sont détenus par les éditeurs ou les détenteurs de droits externes. <u>Voir Informations légales.</u>

#### Terms of use

The ETH Library is the provider of the digitised journals. It does not own any copyrights to the journals and is not responsible for their content. The rights usually lie with the publishers or the external rights holders. <u>See Legal notice.</u>

**Download PDF:** 14.10.2024

ETH-Bibliothek Zürich, E-Periodica, https://www.e-periodica.ch

# 57

## HOMOTOPY INVARIANCE OF ALMOST FLAT BETTI NUMBERS

by Roman SAUER and Thomas SCHICK

In this note, we would like to present a question that belongs to the circle of analytical-topological problems around the Novikov conjecture.

The Novikov conjecture about the homotopy invariance of higher signatures has been a driving force for research in topology for many years. One of the approaches, which gives partial results, uses the signature operator twisted with almost flat bundles.

In this context Hilsum and Skandalis [2] prove the following result.

THEOREM 57.1. Let  $M_1$  and  $M_2$  be closed, oriented Riemannian manifolds, and  $f: M_1 \rightarrow M_2$  a homotopy equivalence. Then there is a constant c > 0 with the following property:

Let  $(E, \nabla)$  be a Euclidean vector bundle over  $M_2$ . Let  $s_2(E)$  denote the index of the signature operator on  $M_2$  twisted with E, and let  $s_1(f^*E)$ denote the index of the signature operator on  $M_1$  twisted with the pullback bundle  $f^*E$ .

If  $\|\nabla^2\| < c$ , i.e. if the curvature of the bundle  $(E, \nabla)$  is sufficiently small (where the norm is the supremum of the operator norm in the unit sphere bundle of  $\Lambda^2 TM_2$ ), then

$$s_2(E) = s_1(f^*E) \, .$$

In other words, the index of the twisted signature operator is a homotopy invariant for twisting bundles with sufficiently small curvature.

Hilsum and Skandalis prove this with a clever deformation argument (with a proof that evidently also covers the flat case). An alternative proof, which reduces the statement to the homotopy invariance for flat twisting bundles of  $C^*$ -algebra modules and bases on calculations in the *K*-theory of  $C^*$ -algebras, has been recently worked out by Bernhard Hanke and the second author [1].

The following question is motivated by the theorem above and arose from discussions with Paolo Piazza and Sara Azzali.

QUESTION 57.2. Retain the situation of the Theorem stated above. The kernels of the twisted signature operators are graded by the degree of differential forms. By taking their dimensions we obtain the so-called twisted Betti numbers of E and  $f^*E$ , which we denote by  $b_k(E)$  and  $b_k(f^*E)$ .

Is it true that, for sufficiently small c > 0 as in the theorem,  $\|\nabla^2\| < c$ implies  $b_k(E) = b_k(f^*E)$ ?

Note that this *is* the case for the corresponding Euler characteristic:

$$\sum_{k} (-1)^{k} b_{k}(E) = \sum_{k} (-1)^{k} b_{k}(f^{*}E)$$

for sufficiently small curvature  $\|\nabla^2\|$ , since the Euler characteristic is again an index. The proof for the invariance of the twisted Euler characteristic is actually considerably easier than the one for the invariance of the twisted signature.

We find the question interesting since a positive answer would imply that the  $\eta$ -invariants of twisted signature operators are much better behaved than one could expect *a priori*. This could open up the way to construct new "higher" homotopy invariants of smooth manifolds. The question grew out of the work of the second author and Paolo Piazza [3], where related results concerning rho-invariants are established.

It seems that there is no "standard" approach to answer the question. Analysts tend to think the statement should not be true. However, if we twist with a flat bundle, the answer to our question is yes — but requires the insight of the de Rham theorem. Analysis alone can be misleading dealing with such questions, because it suggests too many deformations that do not have a topological meaning.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] HANKE, B. and T. SCHICK. Enlargeability and index theory. J. Differential Geom. 74 (2006), 293–320.
- [2] HILSUM, M. and G. SKANDALIS. Invariance par homotopie de la signature à coefficients dans un fibré presque plat. J. Reine Angew. Math. 423 (1992), 73–99.

## R. SAUER AND TH. SCHICK

[3] PIAZZA, P. and T. SCHICK. Bordism, rho-invariants and the Baum–Connes conjecture. J. Noncommut. Geom. 1 (2007), 27–111.

# Roman Sauer

Thomas Schick

University of Chicago	Georg-August-Universität Göttingen
5734 S. University Avenue	Bunsenstr. 3
Chicago, Illinois 60637	D-37073 Göttingen
USA	Germany
e-mail: rsauer@math.uchicago.edu	e-mail: schick@uni-math.gwdg.de

164