Zeitschrift:	Helvetica Physica Acta
Band:	40 (1967)
Heft:	3
Artikel:	Photonic decay of hyperons and Suzuki-Sugawara Hamiltonian
Autor:	Iwao, S.
DOI:	https://doi.org/10.5169/seals-113765

Nutzungsbedingungen

Die ETH-Bibliothek ist die Anbieterin der digitalisierten Zeitschriften auf E-Periodica. Sie besitzt keine Urheberrechte an den Zeitschriften und ist nicht verantwortlich für deren Inhalte. Die Rechte liegen in der Regel bei den Herausgebern beziehungsweise den externen Rechteinhabern. Das Veröffentlichen von Bildern in Print- und Online-Publikationen sowie auf Social Media-Kanälen oder Webseiten ist nur mit vorheriger Genehmigung der Rechteinhaber erlaubt. <u>Mehr erfahren</u>

Conditions d'utilisation

L'ETH Library est le fournisseur des revues numérisées. Elle ne détient aucun droit d'auteur sur les revues et n'est pas responsable de leur contenu. En règle générale, les droits sont détenus par les éditeurs ou les détenteurs de droits externes. La reproduction d'images dans des publications imprimées ou en ligne ainsi que sur des canaux de médias sociaux ou des sites web n'est autorisée qu'avec l'accord préalable des détenteurs des droits. <u>En savoir plus</u>

Terms of use

The ETH Library is the provider of the digitised journals. It does not own any copyrights to the journals and is not responsible for their content. The rights usually lie with the publishers or the external rights holders. Publishing images in print and online publications, as well as on social media channels or websites, is only permitted with the prior consent of the rights holders. <u>Find out more</u>

Download PDF: 06.07.2025

ETH-Bibliothek Zürich, E-Periodica, https://www.e-periodica.ch

Photonic Decay of Hyperons and Suzuki-Sugawara Hamiltonian¹)

by S. Iwao

Institut für theoretische Physik der Universität Bern, Bern, Switzerland

(12. X. 66)

Abstract. Suzuki-Sugawara Hamiltonian of the weak hadronic decay is tested by the twobody photonic decay of hyperons. The S-matrix element of the photonic decay of hadrons associated with the weak interaction is proved to be proportional to the equal-time commutator of the weak Hamiltonian and electromagnetic current in a proper approximation. The weak-axial parameters are adjusted to those obtained from semi-leptonic decay of hyperons while those for the magnetic form factors of electromagnetic interaction are adjusted to SU(6) result.

Including the neutral weak currents the relative decay rate of $\Sigma^+ \rightarrow p\gamma$ to its total rate is found to be $2.7 \cdot 10^{-4}$ which is comparable with the experimental $(3.7 \pm 0.8) \cdot 10^{-4}$. The decay assymmetry parameter α for the longitudinal polarization is given by $\alpha = 0.14$.

The corresponding study has been made for five unobserved decays.

1. Introduction

SUGAWARA [1] and SUZUKI [2] (SS) have independently proposed that the weak hadronic decay should be described by the PC-even current-current type of the Cabibbo hadronic currents [3] with the Fermi coupling constants in the scheme of the algebra of currents [4], by the partially-conserved axial-vector current [5] and in the limit of soft-pion hypothesis [6]. Their work was extended by HARA, NAMBU and SCHECHTER [7] as to include the parity-conserving amplitude. The result of that paper was re-examined by BISWAS, KUMAR and SAXENA [8] including the decuplet-baryon contribution. Those calculations are in a reasonable agreement with the experimental [9] and some other information.

In this paper we want to show that the same Hamiltonian with additional neutral currents explains consistently the experimental decay rate [10] of $\Sigma^+ \rightarrow p\gamma$. If we neglect the neutral currents its rate is about ten times slower than the experimental one. In the approximation used here the contribution from the parity-violating weak Hamiltonian $H_{p.v.}$ is not zero but small compared with the one obtained from the conserving one $H_{p.c.}$. We find therefore a small longitudinal polarization of the decay proton which is consistent with SU(3) prediction [11] and perturbation calculations [12]. The decay rates of five unobserved two-body photonic decay of hyperons are also estimated in the same approach. In these estimates the weak axial parameters are adjusted to those obtained from the semi-leptonic decay of hyperons [13] while those for the magnetic form factors of the electromagnetic interaction are adjusted to the SU(6) result [14].

¹) This work is supported in part by the Swiss National Science Foundation.

Vol. 40, 1967 Photonic Decay of Hyperons and Suzuki-Sugawara Hamiltonian

We assumed an unsubtracted dispersion relation, additional neutral Cabibbo currents to SS-Hamiltonian and an octet dominance hypothesis in conformity with previous analyses $[7, 8]^2$).

In Sec. 2 we shall show that the S-matrix element of photonic decay associated with the weak hadronic decay can be described as an equal-time commutator of the weak Hamiltonian and electromagnetic current in a proper approximation and apply it to the decay processes discussed above in Sec. 3. In the last section we summarize our result.

2. Photonic Decay associated with Weak Interaction

The weak hadronic-decay Hamiltonian (density) of SUZUKI and SUGAWARA $H_w(x)$ is defined by

$$H_{w}(x) = \frac{G}{\sqrt{2}} \left(J_{\alpha}(x) \ J_{\alpha}^{*}(x) + J_{\alpha}^{*}(x) \ J_{\alpha}(x) \right), \qquad (1)$$

where $G = (1.03 \cdot 10^{-5} m_p^{-2})$ is the Fermi coupling constant and $J_{\alpha}(x)$ is a hadronic current, transforming as an octet Cabibbo current

and

$$J_{\alpha} = (J_{\alpha}^{1} + iJ_{\alpha}^{2} + J_{\alpha}^{3})\cos\theta + (J_{\alpha}^{4} + iJ_{\alpha}^{5} + J_{\alpha}^{6} + iJ_{\alpha}^{7})\sin\theta$$
$$J_{\alpha}^{i} = J_{\alpha}^{Vi} + J_{\alpha}^{Ai}.$$
(2)

Here superscripts *i* denote the SU(3) transformation property, *V* and *A* signify the vector and the axial-vector currents, and $\theta (= 0.26)$ is the Cabibbo angle. It is natural to exclude an iso-singlet neutral current in conformity with the success of the iso-triplet current hypothesis [16]. Substituting (2) into (1) the right-hand side splits into four parts $H_{p.c.}$, $H_{p.v.}$, $H_{p.c.}^0$ and $H_{p.v.}^0$ where the first two are strangeness-changing parity-conserving and parity-violating parts of the Hamiltonian, proportional to the product $\cos\theta \sin\theta$, and the last two are strangeness-non-changing parts. We are concerned with the first two parts in this paper.

Let us consider the decay of a strange particle β into a particle α and a photon γ

$$\beta \to \alpha \gamma$$
 (3)

induced by H_w . The S-matrix element may be written³) in the lowest order of weak and electromagnetic interaction $H_{\gamma}(x)$ as

$$\begin{split} \langle \alpha \, \gamma_{out} \mid \beta_{in} \rangle &= \frac{(-i)^2}{2!} \iint d^4x \, d^4x' \quad \langle \alpha \, \gamma_{in} \mid P(:H_w(x)::H_\gamma(x')':) \mid \beta_{in} \rangle \\ &= \frac{(-i)^3 \, e}{2} \iint d^4x \, d^4x' \quad \langle \alpha \, \gamma_{in} \mid T(:H_w(x)::J_\nu^V(x'):A_\nu(x')) \mid \beta_{in} \rangle \\ &= \frac{(-i)^3 \, ie}{2} \, \frac{1}{\sqrt{2 \, \omega}} \, e_{\mu}^{(\lambda)} \iint d^4x \, d^4x' \int d^3y \, e^{-iky} \, \overrightarrow{\partial}_{y_0} \\ &\cdot \langle \alpha_{in} \mid T(:H_w(x)::J_\nu^V(x'):A_\nu(x')) \cdot A_\mu(y)_{out} \mid \beta_{in} \rangle \, . \end{split}$$

²) The smallness of the decuplet contribution is largely due to the properties of the spin-projection operator of Rarita-Schwinger particle. A large cancellation was also noticed when it was treated as a virtual particle [15].

³) The notation used without explanation will be found in the standard text of Quantum Electrodynamics [17].

Here *e* is the electric charge, $e^{(\gamma)}$ is the polarization vector of the photon, ω is its energy, and use is made of $A_{\mu}(y)_{in} S = S A_{\mu}(y)_{out}$ (S is an S-matrix). Integrating over y_0 and dropping a non-contributing counter term we find

$$\langle \alpha \gamma_{out} \mid \beta_{in} \rangle = \frac{(-i)^2 e}{2} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2 \omega}} e^{(\lambda)}_{\mu}$$

$$\cdot \iint d^4x \ d^4x' \int d^4y \ \partial_{y_0} \Big\{ e^{-iky} \overleftrightarrow{\partial_{y_0}} \langle \alpha_{in} \mid T(:H_w(x): :J^V_\nu(x'):) \mid \beta_{in} \rangle \cdot \frac{1}{2} \delta_{\mu\nu} D_F(y-x') \Big\}$$

$$= \frac{ie}{2} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2 \omega}} e^{(\lambda)}_{\mu} \iint d^4x \ d^4y \ e^{-iky} \langle \alpha_{in} \mid T(:H_w(x): :J^V_\mu(y):) \mid \beta_{in} \rangle \cdot$$

$$(4)$$

Making use of the integral representation of $\theta(x)$ function and completeness relation in between H_w and J^V_{μ} we find

$$\langle \alpha \gamma_{out} \mid \beta_{in} \rangle = -\frac{e}{2} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2 \omega}} e^{(\lambda)}_{\mu} (2 \pi)^{\gamma} \delta^{4} (k + p_{\alpha} - p_{\beta})$$

$$\cdot \sum_{n} \left[\langle \alpha_{in} \mid H_{w}(0) \mid n \rangle \langle n \mid J^{V}_{\mu}(0) \mid \beta \rangle \frac{\delta (p_{n} - p_{\alpha})}{E_{\alpha} - E_{n}} \right]$$

$$- \langle \alpha_{in} \mid J^{V}_{\mu}(0) \mid n \rangle \langle n \mid H_{w}(0) \mid \beta \rangle \frac{\delta (p_{n} - p_{\beta})}{E_{n} - E_{\beta}} \right] .$$

$$(5)$$

We now calculate the matrix element of an equal-time commutator of H_w and J^{ν}_{μ} , following the method of FUBINI, FURLAN and ROSSETTI [18].

$$\langle \alpha \mid \left[\int d^3x \ H_w(x,0), \int d^3y \ J^V_\mu(y,0) \right] \mid \beta \rangle = \int d^3x \int d^4y \ \langle \alpha \mid \left[H_w(x,0), \frac{\partial \ J^V_\mu(y)}{\partial \ y_0} \right] \\ \mid \beta \rangle \theta \ (-y_0) \ .$$

Again making use of the completeness relation, performing the integration with respect to y and x, and replacing the energy differences arising from the numerators in the first and the second term of the commutator by the photon energy $E_n - E_{\alpha} = E_{\beta} - E'_n = + \omega$ we find finally

$$\langle \boldsymbol{\alpha} \mid \left[\int d^{3}x \ H_{w}(\boldsymbol{x}, 0), \int d^{3}y \ J_{\mu}^{V}(\boldsymbol{y}, 0) \right] \mid \boldsymbol{\beta} \rangle = (2 \ \pi)^{6} \ \delta(\boldsymbol{p}_{\alpha} - \boldsymbol{p}_{\beta}) \ \omega$$

$$\cdot \sum_{n} \left[\langle \boldsymbol{\alpha}_{in} \mid H_{w}(0) \mid n \rangle \langle n \mid J_{\mu}^{V}(0) \mid \boldsymbol{\beta} \rangle \frac{\delta \ (\boldsymbol{p}_{n} - \boldsymbol{p}_{\alpha})}{E_{\beta} - E_{n}} \right]$$

$$- \langle \boldsymbol{\alpha}_{in} \mid J_{\mu}^{V}(0) \mid n \rangle \langle n \mid H_{w}(0) \mid \boldsymbol{\beta} \rangle \frac{\delta \ (\boldsymbol{p}_{n} - \boldsymbol{p}_{\beta})}{E_{n} - E_{\alpha}} \right] .$$

$$(6)$$

Combining (5) and (6) and taking the limit $p_{\alpha} (= p_{\beta}) \rightarrow \infty$ we reach

$$-\frac{e}{2}\lim_{p_{\alpha}\to\infty}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\omega}}e_{\mu}^{(\lambda)}(2\pi)\,\delta\left(\omega+E_{\alpha}-E_{\beta}\right)\frac{\langle\alpha\mid\left[\int d^{3}x\;H_{\omega}(\mathbf{x},0),\int d^{3}yJ_{\mu}^{V}(\mathbf{y},0)\right]\mid\beta\rangle}{\delta\left(\mathbf{p}_{\alpha}-\mathbf{p}_{\beta}\right)}$$
$$=\lim_{p_{\alpha}\to\infty}\cdot\frac{\langle\alpha\;\gamma_{out}\mid\beta_{in}\rangle}{\delta\left(\mathbf{p}_{\alpha}+k-\mathbf{p}_{\beta}\right)},\qquad(7)$$

or approximately⁴)

$$\langle \alpha \gamma_{out} \mid \beta_{in} \rangle = -\frac{e}{(2 \omega)^{3/2}} e_{\mu}^{(\lambda)} \langle \alpha \mid \left[\int d^{3}x \ H_{w}(\mathbf{x}, 0), \int d^{3}y \ J_{\mu}^{V}(\mathbf{y}, :0) \mid \beta \rangle e^{-i\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{y}} \right]$$
$$\cdot 2 \pi \delta \left(\omega + E_{\alpha} - E_{\beta} \right). \tag{8}$$

3. Application

Let us define the matrix elements of the effective Hamiltonian, Eq. (8), for the two-body photonic decay of hyperons by $A(\beta \rightarrow \alpha \gamma)$ and $B(\beta \rightarrow \alpha \gamma)$ for $H_{p.c.}$ and $H_{p.v.}$ respectively. We have

$$A \ (\beta \to \alpha \gamma) = - \frac{e}{(2 \ \omega)^{3/2}} \ e^{(\lambda)}_{\mu} \langle \alpha \mid \left[\int d^3 x \ H_{p.c.} \ (\mathbf{x}, 0), \int d^3 y \ J^V_{\mu}(\mathbf{y}, 0) \right] \mid \beta \rangle \cdot e^{-i\mathbf{k}\mathbf{y}} .$$
(9)

Redefining the right-hand side similar to ref. 1) and 8) we find

$$\langle \boldsymbol{\alpha} \mid \left[\int d^{3}x \ H_{w}(\boldsymbol{x}, 0), \ d^{3}y \ J_{\mu}^{V}(\boldsymbol{y}, 0) \right] \mid \boldsymbol{\beta} \rangle = \langle B^{\nu_{1}} \mid [H_{p.c.}^{\nu_{4}\nu_{5}}(0), \ J_{\mu}^{V\nu_{3}}(0)] \mid B^{\nu_{2}} \rangle$$

$$= \sum_{n} \langle B^{\nu_{1}} \mid H_{p.c.}^{\nu_{4}\nu_{5}}(0) \mid B^{\nu n} \rangle \langle B^{\nu n} \mid J_{\mu}^{V\nu_{3}}(0) \mid B^{\nu_{2}} \rangle$$

$$- \sum_{n} \langle B^{\nu_{1}} \mid J_{\mu}^{V\nu_{3}}(0) \mid B^{\nu n} \rangle \langle B^{\nu n} \mid H_{p.c.}^{\nu_{4}\nu_{5}}(0) \mid B^{\nu_{2}} \rangle ,$$

$$(10)$$

where SU(3) indices are specified by v_i , B^{v_2} and B^{v_1} are the initial and the final baryons, and $J^{Vv_3}_{\mu}$ is the electromagnetic current. The summation goes only over octet baryons which satisfy the $|\Delta I| = 1$ and 1/2 for $|\Delta S| = 0$ and 1 respectively. The matrix element of $H_{p.c.}$ are evaluated by

$$\langle B^{\nu_{1}} \mid H_{p.c.}^{\nu_{4}\nu_{5}}(0) \mid B^{\nu n} \rangle = \frac{G}{\sqrt{2}} \cos\theta \sin\theta \cdot \left[\sum_{\nu} \binom{8 & 8}{\nu_{4} & \nu} \begin{vmatrix} 8f \\ \nu_{1} \end{pmatrix} \binom{8 & 8}{\nu_{5} & \nu_{n}} \begin{vmatrix} 8f \\ \nu_{2} \end{vmatrix} \langle B^{\nu_{1}} \mid | J_{\alpha}^{V\nu_{4}} \mid | B^{\nu} \rangle \langle B^{\nu} \mid | J_{\alpha}^{V\nu_{4}} \mid | B^{\nu} \rangle \langle B^{\nu} \mid | J_{\alpha}^{V\nu_{4}} \mid | B^{\nu n} \rangle + \sum_{\nu} \binom{8 & 8}{\nu_{5} & \nu} \begin{vmatrix} 8d + 8f \\ \nu_{1} \end{pmatrix} \binom{8 & 8}{\nu_{4} & \nu_{n}} \begin{vmatrix} 8d + 8f \\ \nu_{4} & \nu_{n} \end{vmatrix} \langle B^{\nu_{1}} \mid | J_{\alpha}^{A\nu_{5}} \mid | B^{\nu} \rangle \langle B^{\nu} \mid | J_{\alpha}^{A\nu_{4}} \mid | B^{\nu n} \rangle + \nu_{4} \leftrightarrow \nu_{5} \right], \quad (11)$$

and similarly for $\langle B^{\nu n} | H_{p.c.}^{\nu_{4}\nu_{5}} | B^{\nu_{2}} \rangle$. Here $\begin{pmatrix} 8 & 8 & | 8 \\ a & b & | c \end{pmatrix}$ is the SU(3) Clebsch-Gordan coefficient [20] and $\langle B^{c} || J_{\alpha}^{a} || B^{b} \rangle$ is the reduced matrix element. Those for the $J_{\mu}^{V\nu_{3}}$ are defined by

$$\langle B^{\nu n} \mid J^{V \nu_{3}}_{\mu}(0) \mid B^{\nu_{2}} \rangle = \begin{pmatrix} 8 & 8 \\ \nu_{3} & \nu_{2} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 8 d + 8 f \\ \nu_{n} \end{pmatrix} \langle B^{\nu n} \mid \mid J^{V \nu_{3}}_{\mu} \mid \mid B^{\nu_{2}} \rangle,$$
(12)

and similarly for $\langle B^{\nu_1} | J^{V\nu_3}(0) | B^{\nu n} \rangle$. The matrix element for $H_{p.\nu.}$, $B(\beta \to \alpha \gamma)$, can be calculated in a similar fashion by repeating the above procedure.

⁴) Notice that photon-energy dependence obtained here reproduces the main feature of the infrared spectra in the three-body photonic decay of hyperons in the normal perturbation treatment [19], although we used a completely different approach. A detailed study of the three-body photonic decay of hyperons based on the present formalism gives a further insight on the relevant hadronic decays, F. GHIELMETTI and S. IWAO (under preparation).

S. Iwao

$$\langle B(p_1) \mid \mid J^V_{\mu} + J^A_{\mu} \mid \mid B(p_2) \rangle = \overline{u}(p_1) \left[\gamma_{\mu} \left(F^V_1 + \gamma_5 F^A_1 \right) - \frac{\sigma_{\mu\nu} q_{\nu}}{2 m_1} F^V_2 + ic F^P_2 q_{\mu} \gamma_5 \right] u(p_2) , \quad (13)$$

where $q = p_1 - p_2$, and $F^{V, A, P}(q^2)$ are defined by [21, 22]

$$F^{V,A,P}(q^2) = \frac{1}{\left(1 - \frac{q^2}{b}\right)^2}, \quad b = 36.2 \ \mu_\pi^2, \tag{14}$$

and

$$\mid G_V c \mid pprox 7 \ G_A \ m_\mu^{-1}$$

Here $G_V = G\cos\theta$ is the vector constant, G_A is the axial constant, and m_{μ} is the muon mass. In addition to this momentum dependence we understood that the sign and size of the charge and the magnitude of the magnetic moment should be included in our definition of $F_{1,2}$ for the electromagnetic form factors. Assuming an unsubtracted dispersion relation the contribution from the octet baryon intermediate states can be estimated numerically. We find the relative decay rate of $\Sigma^+ \to p\gamma$ to its total rate as

$$\frac{\Gamma(\Sigma^+ \to p\gamma)}{\Gamma_{\text{tot}}(\Sigma^+)} = 2.6 \cdot 10^{-4} \ (+ \ 6.3 \cdot 10^{-6}) \ , \tag{15}$$

where numbers out and inside the parentheses are the contribution from $H_{p.c.}$ and $H_{p.v.}$ respectively. In this estimate the magnetic moment of Σ^+ was assumed to that of SU(6) theory [14], and both neutral (charge-non-changing) and charged (charge-changing) weak currents were considered. If we neglect the neutral current we get $3.1 \cdot 10^{-5}$ (+ $2.1 \cdot 10^{-7}$) corresponding to (15). These results can be understood physically.

In a type of unsubtracted dispersion integral met in our problem the important contribution comes from the low mass intermediate states. Since the charged current supplies a Σ^0 and a Λ while the neutral current is composed of a proton and a Σ^+ intermediate states the main contribution comes from the latter current. The experimental value [10] for the rate (15) is $(3.7 \pm 0.8) \cdot 10^{-4}$. We therefore conclude that

electromagnetic form factor

charge,
$$D_c = 0$$
, $-\frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}F_c = 1$.
magnetic, $+\frac{1}{\sqrt{15}}D_m = \frac{1}{3}$, $-\frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}F_m = \frac{2}{3}$.

weak form factor

vector,
$$D' = 0$$
, $\frac{1}{\sqrt{6}}F' = 1$.
axial, $-\sqrt{\frac{3}{10}}D = 0.74$, $+\frac{1}{\sqrt{6}}F = 0.44$.

⁵) In DE SWART sign convention [20] the SU(3) reduced matrix element D and F (for simplicity we represent them by D and F with proper indices) are normalized as follows:

Vol. 40, 1967 Photonic Decay of Hyperons and Suzuki-Sugawara Hamiltonian

the neutral current must be included in the SS Hamiltonian. The decay assymmetry parameter α is given by

$$\alpha = 0.14$$
. (16)

These results are consistent with previous investigations [11, 12].

	Relative Decay Rates of Two-body Photonic Decay of Hyperons						
Process	$\Sigma^+ \rightarrow p \gamma$	$\Xi^- \! ightarrow \Sigma^- \gamma$	$\Lambda \to n \gamma$	$\Sigma^0 \rightarrow n \gamma$	$\Xi^0 \rightarrow \Lambda \gamma$	$\Xi^0 \rightarrow \Sigma^0 \gamma$	
amplitude H _{p.c.}	-1.12	+1.12	.203	.351	.351	.203	
amplitude $H_{p.v.}$	020	007	0.02	.004	002	004	
relative rate $\Gamma/\Gamma_{ m tot}$	$2.7 \cdot 10^{-4}$	$2.2 \cdot 10^{-4}$	2.3 · 10 ⁻⁵	$< 1.0 \cdot 10^{-9}$	$2.2 \cdot 10^{-5}$	$1.4 \cdot 10^{-5}$	

Table 1

Similar calculations can be done directly, or by making use of SU(3) amplitude relations of Lo [11] by estimating a few more decay processes. The results of calculation thus estimated are tabulated in Table 1. The lines 2 and 3 in Table 1 give the relative amplitudes for $H_{p.c.}$ and $H_{p.v.}$ in a proper normalization. The condition $p_1 \cdot e^{(\lambda)} = p_2 \cdot e^{(\lambda)} = k \cdot e^{(\lambda)} = 0$ in the CM frame simplifies our results. We see that the decay assymmetry parameters are too small to be observed and it would even be difficult to observe the so far unobserved five decay processes.

4. Discussion

We find that the Suzuki-Sugawara Hamiltonian with the additional neutral currents can successfully explain the observed photonic decay rate of $\Sigma^+ \rightarrow p\gamma$. The other five decay processes seem to be difficult to observe but may supply an additional confirmation of the theory in the future.

According to the recent Berkeley conference [23] the non-existence of the neutral hadron current is not established so that the result presented in this paper may be of interest.

It seems to us that the phenomenological theory of the weak interaction in the scheme of current algebra has progressed rather successfully but it is desirable to have a further improvement of the theory.

Acknowledgement

The author is indebted to Professor A. MERCIER for the reading of the manuscript and for his encouragement. He also thanks to Professor R. GATTO and Professor A. ZICHICHI for their interests in this work.

References

[1] H. SUGAWARA, Phys. Rev. Letters 15, 870 (1965).

[2] M. SUZUKI, Phys. Rev. Letters 15, 986 (1965).

[3] N. CABIBBO, Phys. Rev. Letters 10, 531 (1963).

- [4] M. Gell-MANN, Phys. Rev. 125, 1067 (1962), Physics 1, 63 (1964).
- [5] M. GELL-MANN and M. LÉVY, Nuovo Cim. 16, 705 (1960).
- [6] Y. NAMBU and D. LURIÉ, Phys. Rev. 125, 1429 (1962); Y. NAMBU and E. SCHRAUNER, ibid. 128, 862 (1962).
- [7] Y. HARA, Y. NAMBU, and J. SCHECHTER, Phys. Rev. Lett. 16, 380 (1966).
- [8] S. N. BISWAS, A. KUMAR, and R. P. SAXENA, Phys. Rev. Lett. 17, 268 (1966).
- [9] R. H. DALITZ, *Properties of the Weak Interactions*, International School of Physics "Enrico Fermi", 32nd course p. 205 (1964).
- [10] A. H. ROSENFELD, A. BARBARO-GALTIERI, W. H. BARKAS, P. L. BASTIEN, J. KIRZ, und M. ROOS, Rev. mod. Phys. 37, 633 (1965).
- [11] Y. HARA, Phys. Rev. Lett. 12, 378 (1964); S. Lo, Nuovo Cim. 37, 753 (1965).
- [12] R. E. BEHRENDS, Phys. Rev. 111, 1691 (1958); G. CALCUCCI and G. FURLAN, Nuovo Cim. 21, 679 (1961); J. C. PATI, Phys. Rev. 130, 2097 (1963).
- [13] W. WILLIS, H. COURANT, H. FILTHUTH, P. FRANZINI, A. MINGUZZI-RANZI, A. SEGAR, R. ENGELMANN, V. HEPP, E. KLUGGE, R. A. BURNSTEIN, T. B. DAY, R. G. GLASSER, A. J. HERZ, B. KEHOE, B. SECHI-ZORN, N. SEEMANN, and G. A. SNOW, Phys. Rev. Lett. 13, 291 (1964).
- [14] M. A. B. Bég, B. W. LEE, and A. PAIS, Phys. Rev. Lett. 13, 514 (1964); B. SAKITA, Phys. Rev. Lett. 13, 643 (1964).
- [15] S. MINAMI, T. NAKANO, K. NISHIJIMA, H. OKONOGI, and E. YAMADA, Prog. theor. Phys. 8, 531 (1952); and a private communication from K. NISHIJIMA at that time. See, also, S. M. BERMAN and M. VELTMAN, Nuovo Cim. 38, 993 (1965).
- [16] S. S. GERSTEIN and J. B. ZELDOVICH, translation: Soviet Phys. JETP 2, 576 (1957); R. FEYNMAN and M. GELL-MANN, Phys. Rev. 109, 193 (1958).
- [17] See, for instance, G. Källén, Quantum Electrodynamics, Handbuch der Physik, Vol. 5, Part 1, Springer-Verlag (1958).
- [18] S. FUBINI, G. FURLAN, and C. ROSSETTI, Nuovo Cim. 40, 1171 (1965).
- [19] S. BARSHAY and R. E. BEHRENDS, Phys. Rev. 114, 931 (1959); S. IWAO and J. LEITNER, Nuovo Cim. 22, 904 (1961).
- [20] J. J. DE SWART, Rev. mod. Phys. 35, 916 (1963); P. MCNAMEE and F. CHILTON, Rev. mod. Phys. 36, 1005 (1964).
- [21] L. H. CHAN, K. W. CHEN, J. R. DUNNING, JR., N. F. RAMSEY, J. K. WALKER, and R. WIL-SON, Phys. Rev. 141, 1298 (1966).
- [22] T. D. LEE and C. S. WU, Ann. Rev. Nuc. Sci. 15, 381 (1965).
- [23] N. CABIBBO, *Weak Interactions*, A Report based on XIII Intern. Conf. on High Energy Energy Physics (TH. 711), and discussions at CERN (Oct. 1966).