

**Zeitschrift:** Helvetica Physica Acta  
**Band:** 43 (1970)  
**Heft:** 8

**Artikel:** Solid state reactions and defects in Verneuil laser rubies. II  
**Autor:** Ballmer, P. / Blum, H. / Borer, W.J.  
**DOI:** <https://doi.org/10.5169/seals-114195>

### **Nutzungsbedingungen**

Die ETH-Bibliothek ist die Anbieterin der digitalisierten Zeitschriften. Sie besitzt keine Urheberrechte an den Zeitschriften und ist nicht verantwortlich für deren Inhalte. Die Rechte liegen in der Regel bei den Herausgebern beziehungsweise den externen Rechteinhabern. [Siehe Rechtliche Hinweise.](#)

### **Conditions d'utilisation**

L'ETH Library est le fournisseur des revues numérisées. Elle ne détient aucun droit d'auteur sur les revues et n'est pas responsable de leur contenu. En règle générale, les droits sont détenus par les éditeurs ou les détenteurs de droits externes. [Voir Informations légales.](#)

### **Terms of use**

The ETH Library is the provider of the digitised journals. It does not own any copyrights to the journals and is not responsible for their content. The rights usually lie with the publishers or the external rights holders. [See Legal notice.](#)

**Download PDF:** 21.12.2024

**ETH-Bibliothek Zürich, E-Periodica, <https://www.e-periodica.ch>**

# Solid State Reactions and Defects in Verneuil Laser Rubies II

by P. Ballmer<sup>1)</sup>, H. Blum, W. J. Borer<sup>2)</sup>, K. Eigenmann and Hs. H. Günthard

Swiss Federal Institute of Technology, Laboratory of Physical Chemistry,  
Universitätsstrasse 22, 8006 Zürich, Switzerland

(10. VI. 70)

*Abstract.* Results of new computations of crystal field spectra of Cr<sup>+3</sup> in the interstitial site of the  $\alpha$ -Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> structure are presented. The results are used to support an assignment of the absorption band at 315 nm ascribed to a typical defect in Verneuil grown laser rubies.

## 1. Introduction

In a recent paper, W. Borer et al. [1] reported crystal field analysis results of a defect observed in Verneuil laser rubies. This defect is characterized by an absorption band near 315 nm and has been assigned to transitions between crystal field levels of Cr<sup>+3</sup> at the interstitial site *b* in the  $\alpha$ -Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> structure [2]. In the meantime extensive crystal field calculations for transition metal ions have been made at this laboratory, based on  $\alpha$ -Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> crystal structure data given by Newnham [3], whereas earlier calculations were based on data published by Pauling et al. [4]. The use of the newer data led to significant changes of the crystal constants  $c_{lm}$  at sites *b*, *c* and *e*. In this paper we wish to report the corrected values for this constants and the energy levels for Cr<sup>+3</sup> at site *b*.

## 2. Calculation of Crystal Field Constants

Using the same assumptions for the calculation of the crystal field parameters  $c_{lm}$  as in I, but basing on Newnham's crystal structure data for  $\alpha$ -Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>, the results collected in Table I are obtained. With respect to the crystallographic data it should be mentioned, that Newnham's data were first transformed to rhombohedral axes<sup>3)</sup>. In these axes system the structural parameters for  $\alpha$ -Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> are<sup>4)</sup>

$$a = 5.128 \pm 0.0034 \text{ \AA} ,$$

$$\alpha = 55^\circ 17' \pm 3' 8'' .$$

<sup>1)</sup> Address: Djévahirdjian S.A., Monthey, Switzerland.

<sup>2)</sup> Present address: Dept. of Electrical Engineering, University of Rhode Island, Kingston, Rhode Island 02881, USA.

<sup>3)</sup> Loc. cit. [2], p. 20, c.f. Figure 2.5.3.

<sup>4)</sup> Error derived from Newnham's data.

The following remarks concerning the crystal field coefficients listed in Table I seem to be in order

- the coefficients  $c_{0,0}$  are uncertain to the limits given owing to the well known convergence difficulties for the unipole terms,
- the coefficients  $c_{+4,-3}$ ,  $c_{4,3}$  of sites  $b$  and  $c$  and  $c_{1,\pm 1}$ ,  $c_{2,\pm 2}$  of site  $e$  show considerable differences to earlier published values<sup>5)</sup>. In part the discrepancies originate from the new crystallographic data in part from the change in phase of the angle  $\varphi$  originating from the new choice of crystallographic axis and in part however from errors in the earlier computations. Since all coefficients  $c_{lm}$ ,  $m \neq 0$ , depend on the choice of phase of the angle  $\varphi$ , the choice adapted for this paper is shown in Figure 1.

Table I  
Lattice potentials at the various sites of the corundum structure.

Site	Rhombohedral coordinates	$l$	$m$	Coefficient real part	$c_{lm}$ <sup>a)b)c)</sup> imag. part
$c$	-0.148, -0.148, -0.148	0	0 <sup>d)</sup>	$-8.628 \pm 0.009$	0
		2	0	0.06717	0
		4	-3	+0.235078	0.044656
		4	0	0.188189	0
		4	+3	-0.235078	0.044656
$b$	0, 0, 0	0	0 <sup>d)</sup>	$-0.349 \pm 0.008$	0
		2	0	0.91569	0
		4	-3	-0.2044556	-0.05278977
		4	0	0.463771	0
		4	+3	0.2044556	-0.05278977
		0	0 <sup>d)</sup>	$6.878 \pm 0.009$	0
		1	-1	-0.07824	0
		1	0	0	0
$e$	0.055998, -0.555998, 0.250001	1	+1	+0.07824	0
		2	-2	+0.0759626	0
		2	-1	0	-0.475755
		2	0	0.0743679	0
		2	+1	0	-0.475755
		2	+2	0.0759626	0

a)  $c_{lm}$  are the coefficients of the expansion  $V(r, \vartheta, \varphi) = e_0 \sum_{l,m} c_{lm} r^l Y_l^m(\vartheta, \varphi)$  for the lattice potential. Their dimension is  $\text{\AA}^{-(l+1)}$ .

b) Only those coefficients are given, which are necessary for a certain site symmetry and a certain electron configuration.

c) The lattice sums were carried out over a nearly spherical region of 50  $\text{\AA}$  radius.

d) The confidence interval given in this table for  $c_{00}$  represents the standard deviation of the constant calculated for twenty statistically selected values of  $r$  within 47.5 and 50  $\text{\AA}$ .

### 3. Crystal Field Energy Levels of $\text{Cr}^{+3}$ in the Interstitial Site $b$

Using the same technique for the computation of the crystal field levels as in I the results shown graphically in Figure 2 are obtained. Only few comments should be made

<sup>5)</sup> Loc. cit. [1], Table 6.




Figure 1  
Rhombohedral unit cell of  $\alpha\text{-Al}_2\text{O}_3$ . The definitions of the spherical coordinates used in this work are given. Notation of sites is as given in Ref. [2].

- (i) the general pattern of the crystal field levels shows marked differences to that obtained in *I*,
- (ii) the newly obtained levels fulfil the Kramers degeneracy to within better  $0.1\text{ cm}^{-1}$ . In *I* much larger discrepancies were obtained.

#### 4. Assignment of the Defect Absorption Bands

Basing on Figure 2, the absorption band of the defect near  $315\text{ nm}$  ( $31750\text{ cm}^{-1}$ ) may be related to the transitions collected in Table 2.

Table 2

Calculated transitions in the UV/VIS region, which explain the observed  $315\text{ nm}$  band [ $31746\text{ cm}^{-1}$ ]

Energy of level [ $\text{cm}^{-1}$ ] <sup>a)</sup>	Assignment to free ion levels
33042.2	$4 F - 4 P$
33138.9	$4 F - 4 P$
33237.1	$4 F - 4 P$
33336.8	$4 F - 4 P$

<sup>a)</sup> Every level is doubly degenerate, according to Kramers theorem.

The results may be commented upon as follows:

- (i) the transitions assigned are both symmetry and spin allowed (in the free ion picture),
- (ii) the assignment proposed in *I* is not changed by the newly calculated crystal field levels for  $\text{Cr}^{+3}(b)$ .




Figure 2  
Energy level diagram for interstitial  $\text{Cr}^{+3}$  ion (at the right). For comparison the levels of free  $\text{Cr}^{+3}$  ion are given at the left.

### Acknowledgment

We wish to express our gratitude to the ETH Computation Center for generous granting computer time.

### REFERENCES

- [1] W. J. BORER, Hs. H. GÜNTHARD and P. BALLMER, *Helv. phys. Acta* **43**, 74 (1970). This paper is hereafter referred to I.
- [2] *International Tables for X-Ray Crystallography* **1**, 274 (1952) (The Kynoch Press, Birmingham).
- [3] R. E. NEWNHAM and Y. M. DE HAAN, *Z. Krist.* **117**, 235 (1962).
- [4] L. PAULING and S. P. HENDRICKS, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **47**, 781 (1925). In I erroneously has been stated, that Newnham's data had been used.