

# A note on symmetry operations in quantum mechanics

Autor(en): **Hunziker, Walter**

Objektyp: **Article**

Zeitschrift: **Helvetica Physica Acta**

Band (Jahr): **45 (1972)**

Heft 2

PDF erstellt am: **08.08.2024**

Persistenter Link: <https://doi.org/10.5169/seals-114380>

## **Nutzungsbedingungen**

Die ETH-Bibliothek ist Anbieterin der digitalisierten Zeitschriften. Sie besitzt keine Urheberrechte an den Inhalten der Zeitschriften. Die Rechte liegen in der Regel bei den Herausgebern.

Die auf der Plattform e-periodica veröffentlichten Dokumente stehen für nicht-kommerzielle Zwecke in Lehre und Forschung sowie für die private Nutzung frei zur Verfügung. Einzelne Dateien oder Ausdrucke aus diesem Angebot können zusammen mit diesen Nutzungsbedingungen und den korrekten Herkunftsbezeichnungen weitergegeben werden.

Das Veröffentlichen von Bildern in Print- und Online-Publikationen ist nur mit vorheriger Genehmigung der Rechteinhaber erlaubt. Die systematische Speicherung von Teilen des elektronischen Angebots auf anderen Servern bedarf ebenfalls des schriftlichen Einverständnisses der Rechteinhaber.

## **Haftungsausschluss**

Alle Angaben erfolgen ohne Gewähr für Vollständigkeit oder Richtigkeit. Es wird keine Haftung übernommen für Schäden durch die Verwendung von Informationen aus diesem Online-Angebot oder durch das Fehlen von Informationen. Dies gilt auch für Inhalte Dritter, die über dieses Angebot zugänglich sind.

# A Note on Symmetry Operations in Quantum Mechanics

by **Walter Hunziker**

Seminar für Theoretische Physik, ETH Hönggerberg,  
8049 Zürich, Switzerland

(6. I. 72)

## 1. Introduction

In the analysis of the structure of quantum mechanics, Wigner's theorem [1] on symmetry operations plays a fundamental role: from the postulated invariance of transition probabilities it derives that symmetry operations act as *linear* (or *antilinear*) *transformations in Hilbert space* (superposition principle). Another characterization of symmetry operations is due to Kadison [2]: it states that a symmetry operation (acting on the states of a quantum mechanical system) commutes with the operation of *mixing*. This is a necessary condition for any operation describing the kinematical or dynamical behaviour of a system.

Unfortunately, Kadison's work (and a related paper by Roberts and Roepstorff [3]) is written for experts in  $C^*$ -algebras and obscures to others the quite elementary nature of the theorem. As a teacher I have tried to find a proof using tools available to physics students. The result is presented in this note, which I dedicate to Markus Fierz as a contribution to our discussions on the teaching of quantum mechanics.

## 2. Statement of the Theorems

Let  $\mathcal{H}$  and  $\mathcal{H}'$  be complex Hilbert spaces of dimensions  $\geq 2$ .  $\Pi(\mathcal{H})$  denotes the set of all one-dimensional projections  $\pi$  on  $\mathcal{H}$ . The set of all finite convex combinations of elements  $\pi \in \Pi(\mathcal{H})$  is called  $E(\mathcal{H})$ . The theorems state the equivalence of the following definitions:

- I. A symmetry operation is a linear or antilinear isometry  $U$  of  $\mathcal{H}$  into  $\mathcal{H}'$ .
- II. A symmetry operation is a mapping  $S: \pi \rightarrow \pi'$  of  $\Pi(\mathcal{H})$  into  $\Pi(\mathcal{H}')$  such that ( $\text{Tr} = \text{trace}$ )

$$\text{Tr } \pi'_1 \pi'_2 = \text{Tr } \pi_1 \pi_2. \quad (1)$$

- III. A symmetry operation is a one-to-one mapping  $S: A \rightarrow A'$  of  $E(\mathcal{H})$  into  $E(\mathcal{H}')$  such that for  $0 \leq a \leq 1$  and all  $A_1, A_2 \in E(\mathcal{H})$

$$(aA_1 + (1-a)A_2)' = aA'_1 + (1-a)A'_2. \quad (2)$$

The equivalence I  $\sim$  II (Wigner's theorem) and I  $\sim$  III (Kadison's theorem, adapted to ordinary quantum mechanics) is to be understood in the sense

$$\pi' = U\pi U^{-1} \quad \text{and} \quad A' = UAU^{-1}.$$

In both cases,  $U$  is determined by  $S$  up to a complex factor of modulus 1 (phase). In quantum mechanics,  $\mathcal{H}$  and  $\mathcal{H}'$  are coherent subspaces and  $S$  is required to be a mapping onto. Then  $U$  is unitary or antiunitary. We now turn to the proof of Kadison's theorem.

### 3. Preliminary Remarks

$\Pi(\mathcal{H})$  is the set of the extremal elements of the convex set  $E(\mathcal{H})$ . Therefore, since  $S$  is one-to-one,  $S$  maps  $\Pi(\mathcal{H})$  into  $\Pi(\mathcal{H}')$ .  $S$  has a unique linear extension to the real-linear span  $\bar{E}(\mathcal{H})$  of  $E(\mathcal{H})$  (or of  $\Pi(\mathcal{H})$ ), which is the real vector space of all symmetric operators of finite rank. This extended mapping  $A \rightarrow A'$  has the properties:

$$\begin{aligned} A' &\neq 0 && \text{if } A \neq 0, \\ A' &\geq 0 && \text{if } A \geq 0, \\ \text{Tr } A' &= \text{Tr } A. \end{aligned} \tag{3}$$

Later we shall choose between the (unique) linear or antilinear further extension of  $S$  to the complex-linear span  $\bar{\bar{E}}(\mathcal{H})$  of  $E(\mathcal{H})$ , which is the algebra of all operators of finite rank. Then we have

$$(A')^* = (A^*)', \tag{4}$$

$$\text{Tr } A' = \begin{cases} \text{Tr } A & \text{for the linear extension,} \\ \overline{\text{Tr } A} & \text{for the antilinear extension.} \end{cases} \tag{5}$$

### 4. Proof for $\dim \mathcal{H} = \dim \mathcal{H}' = 2$

We identify  $\mathcal{H}$  and  $\mathcal{H}'$  with  $C^2$  by introducing an orthonormal basis in  $\mathcal{H}$  and  $\mathcal{H}'$ .  $S$  then becomes a one-to-one mapping of  $E(C^2)$  into itself satisfying (2). The elements  $\pi \in \Pi(C^2)$  are the  $2 \times 2$ -matrices

$$\pi = \frac{1}{2}(1 + \vec{e} \cdot \vec{\sigma})$$

with  $\vec{e} \in R^3$ ,  $|\vec{e}| = 1$ , where  $\vec{\sigma} = (\sigma_1, \sigma_2, \sigma_3)$  is the set of Pauli matrices:

$$\sigma_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \sigma_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -i \\ i & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \sigma_3 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

$\bar{\bar{E}}(C^2)$  is the space of all hermitian  $2 \times 2$ -matrices

$$A = \frac{1}{2}(a_0 1 + \vec{a} \cdot \vec{\sigma}), \quad a = (a_0, \vec{a}) \in R^4.$$

$S$  therefore extends to a linear mapping of  $R^4$  onto itself which leaves the planes  $\text{Tr } A = a_0 = \text{const.}$  invariant and which maps, in the plane  $a_0 = 1$ , the sphere  $|\vec{a}| = 1$  onto itself. It follows that  $S$  has the form:

$$S: (a_0, \vec{a}) \rightarrow (a_0, R\vec{a}) \quad \text{with } R \in O(3).$$

From the theory of spin 1/2, we know that this implies

$$A' = UAU^{-1},$$

where  $U$  is unitary (if  $\det R = \det S = +1$ ) or antiunitary (if  $\det S = -1$ ), and is determined by  $S$  up to a phase. Note that our definition of  $\det S$  is *intrinsic*, i.e. independent of the choice of the basis in  $\mathcal{H}$  and  $\mathcal{H}'$ .

### 5. Reduction to Wigner's Theorem

**Lemma 1.** *Let  $M$  be a 2-dimensional subspace of  $\mathcal{H}$ . Then  $S$  maps  $E(M)$  onto  $E(M')$ , where  $M'$  is a 2-dimensional subspace of  $\mathcal{H}'$ .*

*Proof:* If  $P(M)$  denotes the projection onto  $M$ , the statement  $A \in \bar{E}(M)$  is equivalent to the two conditions  $\pm A \leq cP(M)$  for some  $c \geq 0$ . Let  $P(M) = \pi_1 + \pi_2, \pi_i \in \Pi(\mathcal{H})$ . Then it follows from (3) that  $\pm A' \leq c(\pi'_1 + \pi'_2) \leq 2cP(M')$ , where  $M'$  is the subspace of  $\mathcal{H}'$  spanned by the ranges of  $\pi'_1$  and  $\pi'_2$ . Hence  $A' \in \bar{E}(M')$ , and since  $\dim M' \leq 2$  and  $S$  is nonsingular, we have  $\dim M' = 2$ .

*Corollary:* The restriction  $S(M)$  of  $S$  to  $\bar{E}(M)$  is of the form

$$A \rightarrow A' = U(M)AU(M)^{-1}, \tag{6}$$

where  $U(M)$  is determined up to a phase as a unitary/antiunitary mapping of  $M$  onto  $M'$  if  $\det S(M) = +1/-1$ . In particular,

$$\pi'_i = U(M)\pi_i U(M)^{-1} \tag{7}$$

for any pair  $\pi_1, \pi_2 \in \Pi(\mathcal{H})$ , where  $M$  is a 2-dimensional subspace containing the ranges of  $\pi_1$  and  $\pi_2$ . Therefore (1) is satisfied.

\*

Having thus reduced Kadison's theorem to Wigner's theorem, we could now refer the reader to Bargmann's proof [4], for example. But since we already have the tools at hand, we complete the proof.

**Lemma 2.**  *$\det S(M)$  is independent of  $M$  (and from now on denoted by  $D(S)$ ).*

*Proof:* It suffices to show that  $\det S(M_1) = \det S(M_2)$  for  $M_1 \cap M_2 \neq \{0\}$ . Then we can rotate  $M_1$  continuously into  $M_2$  in the at most 3-dimensional subspace  $N$  spanned by  $M_1$  and  $M_2$ . Since  $S$  is linear, it is continuous on  $\bar{E}(N)$ , therefore  $M_1$  is rotated continuously into  $M_2$ . It follows that  $\det S(M_1)$  is continuous under this rotation and therefore constant.

*Definition:*  $S$  is now defined on  $\bar{\bar{E}}(\mathcal{H})$  by linear/antilinear extension if  $D(S) = +1/-1$ .

**Lemma 3.** *For all  $A_1, A_2 \in \bar{\bar{E}}(\mathcal{H})$  we have  $(A_1 A_2)' = A'_1 A'_2$ .*

*Proof:* Since  $S$  is linear or antilinear, it suffices to consider the case  $A_i = \pi_i \in \Pi(\mathcal{H})$ . By (7) we have

$$\pi'_1 \pi'_2 = U(M)\pi_1 \pi_2 U(M)^{-1}.$$

On the other hand, (6) extends to all  $A \in \bar{\bar{E}}(M)$  since both sides are either linear or antilinear in  $A$ . Therefore,  $(\pi_1 \pi_2)' = \pi'_1 \pi'_2$ .

## 6. Construction of U

1. Choose a fixed  $\pi \in \Pi(\mathcal{H})$  and unit vectors  $e, e'$  in the ranges of  $\pi, \pi'$ .
2. Let  $a \in \mathcal{H}$  be arbitrary. If  $a = ce$  ( $c$  complex), define

$$U(a) = a' = \begin{cases} ce' & \text{if } D(S) = +1 \\ \bar{c}e' & \text{if } D(S) = -1. \end{cases}$$

Otherwise, let  $M$  be the 2-dimensional subspace spanned by  $e$  and  $a$ . Define (the phase of)  $U(M)$  by  $U(M)e = e'$  and then  $U(a)$  by

$$U(a) = a' = U(M)a.$$

By construction,  $U$  is isometric and reduces to  $U(M)$  on any 2-dimensional subspace  $M$  containing  $e$ . By Lemma 3,  $S$  preserves orthogonality of projections, therefore  $U$  preserves orthogonality of vectors. It follows that

$$A' = UAU^{-1} \tag{8}$$

for all  $A \in \bar{E}(M)$ ,  $M$  being any 2-dimensional subspace containing  $e$ . In particular,

$$\pi' = U\pi U^{-1}$$

for all  $\pi \in \Pi(\mathcal{H})$ . It remains to show that  $U$  is linear or antilinear, or equivalently, that

$$(a'_1, a'_2) = \begin{cases} (a_1, a_2) & \text{if } D(S) = +1 \\ \overline{(a_1, a_2)} & \text{if } D(S) = -1 \end{cases}$$

for all  $a_1, a_2 \in \mathcal{H}$ . Let  $M_i$  be the subspace spanned by  $e$  and  $a_i$ . Then the linear operator  $A_i \in \bar{E}(M_i)$  defined by

$$A_i u = \begin{cases} a_i & \text{for } u = e \\ 0 & \text{for } (u, e) = 0 \end{cases}$$

satisfies (8). Therefore,

$$A'_i u' = \begin{cases} a'_i & \text{for } u' = e' \\ 0 & \text{for } (u', e') = 0, \end{cases}$$

and it follows from (4), (5) and Lemma 3 that

$$(a'_1, a'_2) = (A'_1 e', A'_2 e') = \text{Tr} (A'_1)^* A'_2 = \begin{cases} \text{Tr} A_1^* A_2 = (a_1, a_2) & \text{if } D(S) = +1 \\ \overline{\text{Tr} A_1^* A_2} = \overline{(a_1, a_2)} & \text{if } D(S) = -1. \end{cases}$$

Finally, it is clear that  $U$  is determined by  $S$  up to a phase, since this is true for the restriction of  $U$  to any 2-dimensional subspace.

## REFERENCES

- [1] E. P. WIGNER, *Group Theory* (Academic Press Inc., New York 1959), p. 233–236.
- [2] R. V. KADISON, *Topology* 3, Suppl. 2, 177–198 (1965).
- [3] J. E. ROBERTS and G. ROEPSTORFF, *Comm. Math. Phys.* 11, 321–338 (1969).
- [4] V. BARGMANN, *J. Math. Phys.* 5, 862–868 (1964).