

# On a recent paper of Amrein, Georgescu and Jauch

Autor(en): **Chandler, Colston / Gibson, A.G.**

Objektyp: **Article**

Zeitschrift: **Helvetica Physica Acta**

Band (Jahr): **45 (1972)**

Heft 5

PDF erstellt am: **13.09.2024**

Persistenter Link: <https://doi.org/10.5169/seals-114409>

## **Nutzungsbedingungen**

Die ETH-Bibliothek ist Anbieterin der digitalisierten Zeitschriften. Sie besitzt keine Urheberrechte an den Inhalten der Zeitschriften. Die Rechte liegen in der Regel bei den Herausgebern.

Die auf der Plattform e-periodica veröffentlichten Dokumente stehen für nicht-kommerzielle Zwecke in Lehre und Forschung sowie für die private Nutzung frei zur Verfügung. Einzelne Dateien oder Ausdrucke aus diesem Angebot können zusammen mit diesen Nutzungsbedingungen und den korrekten Herkunftsbezeichnungen weitergegeben werden.

Das Veröffentlichen von Bildern in Print- und Online-Publikationen ist nur mit vorheriger Genehmigung der Rechteinhaber erlaubt. Die systematische Speicherung von Teilen des elektronischen Angebots auf anderen Servern bedarf ebenfalls des schriftlichen Einverständnisses der Rechteinhaber.

## **Haftungsausschluss**

Alle Angaben erfolgen ohne Gewähr für Vollständigkeit oder Richtigkeit. Es wird keine Haftung übernommen für Schäden durch die Verwendung von Informationen aus diesem Online-Angebot oder durch das Fehlen von Informationen. Dies gilt auch für Inhalte Dritter, die über dieses Angebot zugänglich sind.

## On a Recent Paper of Amrein, Georgescu and Jauch

by **Colston Chandler**

Department of Physics and Astronomy, University of New Mexico,  
Albuquerque, New Mexico 87106, USA

and **A. G. Gibson**

Department of Mathematics and Statistics, University of New Mexico,  
Albuquerque, New Mexico 87106, USA

(14. II. 72)

*Abstract.* Condition (D) of a recent paper of Amrein, Georgescu and Jauch is shown to be a consequence of their conditions ( $\theta$ ) and (A).

In a recent paper [1] on quantum scattering theory W. O. Amrein, V. Georgescu and J. M. Jauch were forced to introduce an objectionable assumption. They conjectured that their assumption, which they called condition (D), is in fact a consequence of their conditions ( $\theta$ ) and (A). The purpose of this paper is to prove that their conjecture is true.

Suppose, therefore, that  $\mathcal{H}$  denotes a Hilbert space and that the following assumptions are true.

( $\theta$ )  $H_0$  and  $H = H_0 + V$  are self-adjoint operators on a common dense domain

$$D_H = D_{H_0} \equiv \mathcal{D}$$

and  $V$  is symmetric on a domain  $D_V \supset \mathcal{D}$ .

(A) For all  $\psi \in \mathcal{H}$  the strong limits

$$\text{s-lim}_{t \rightarrow \mp \infty} V_t^* U_t \psi = \psi_{\pm} \equiv \Omega_{\pm} \psi$$

exist where  $V_t = e^{-iHt}$ ,  $U_t = e^{-iH_0 t}$ .

From these assumptions two preliminary results follow.

**Lemma 1.** *If conditions ( $\theta$ ) and (A) are satisfied, the equation*

$$\Omega_{+} \psi = \text{s-lim}_{\epsilon \downarrow 0} \Omega_{\epsilon} \psi,$$

where

$$\Omega_{\epsilon} \psi \equiv \epsilon \int_{-\infty}^0 dt e^{\epsilon t} V_t^* U_t \psi,$$

is true for every  $\psi \in \mathcal{H}$ .

*Proof.* This is proved in Theorem 0 of [1]. Q.E.D.

**Lemma 2.** *If condition  $(\theta)$  is satisfied, then for every  $z \in \mathbb{C}$ ,  $\text{Im} z \neq 0$ , the operators  $H(z - H)^{-1}$ ,  $H(z - H_0)^{-1}$ ,  $H_0(z - H)^{-1}$  and  $H_0(z - H_0)^{-1}$  are defined and bounded on  $\mathcal{H}$ .*

*Proof.* This is an easy consequence of Lemma 1 of [1]. Q.E.D.

Using these two results the following theorem can be proved.

**Theorem 1.** *If conditions  $(\theta)$  and  $(A)$  are satisfied, then for every  $\epsilon > 0$  the operators  $\Omega_+$  and  $\Omega_\epsilon$  map  $\mathcal{D}$  into  $\mathcal{D}$ . Moreover, there exist non-negative constants  $\alpha$ ,  $\beta$  and  $\gamma$  such that the inequality*

$$\|V(\Omega_+ - \Omega_\epsilon)\psi\| \leq \alpha\|H_0\psi\| + (\beta + \epsilon\gamma)\|\psi\| \tag{1}$$

is true for all  $\psi \in \mathcal{D}$ . Finally, the equation

$$s\text{-}\lim_{\epsilon \downarrow 0} V(\Omega_+ - \Omega_\epsilon)\psi = 0 \tag{2}$$

is true for all  $\psi \in \mathcal{D}$ .

*Proof.* Let  $\psi$  be an arbitrary, but fixed, vector in  $\mathcal{D}$ .

Because  $H_0$  and  $U_t = e^{-iH_0t}$  commute on  $\mathcal{D}$ , the equation

$$\Omega_\epsilon H_0 \psi \equiv \epsilon \int_{-\infty}^0 dt e^{\epsilon t} V_t^* U_t H_0 \psi = \epsilon \int_{-\infty}^0 dt e^{\epsilon t} V_t^* H_0 U_t \psi \tag{3}$$

holds for all  $\epsilon > 0$ . Existence of the Bochner integral in equation (3) is implied (Theorem 3.7.4 of [2]) by the strong continuity and boundedness of the operator  $V_t^* U_t$ .

It is also true that  $\Omega_\epsilon \psi \in \mathcal{D}$  and that the equation

$$H\Omega_\epsilon \psi = \epsilon \int_{-\infty}^0 dt e^{\epsilon t} V_t^* H U_t \psi \tag{4}$$

holds for all  $\epsilon > 0$ . To prove this one observes that  $U_t \psi \in \mathcal{D}$  and hence that the vector  $V_t^* H U_t \psi = H V_t^* U_t \psi$  is well defined. If  $z$  is a fixed complex number with nonzero imaginary part, this vector can be written in the form

$$V_t^* H U_t \psi = V_t^* B(z)(z - H_0) U_t \psi = V_t B(z) U_t (z - H_0) \psi,$$

where  $B(z) \equiv H(z - H_0)^{-1}$ . The operator  $B(z)$  is, by Lemma 2, defined and bounded on all of  $\mathcal{H}$ . It follows that the operator  $V_t^* B(z) U_t$  is continuous and bounded on  $\mathcal{H}$  and hence (Theorem 3.7.4 of [2]) that the Bochner integral in equation (4) exists. Theorem 3.7.12 of [2] and the fact that  $H$  is closed now imply that  $\Omega_\epsilon \psi \in \mathcal{D}$  and that equation (4) is true.

Equations (3) and (4) imply that the equation

$$(H\Omega_\epsilon - \Omega_\epsilon H_0) = \epsilon \int_{-\infty}^0 dt e^{\epsilon t} V_t^* (H - H_0) U_t \psi \tag{5}$$

is true for all  $\epsilon > 0$ . A consequence of Lemma 2 of [1] is that this integral can be evaluated by partial integration, with the result that

$$(H\Omega_\epsilon - \Omega_\epsilon H_0) \psi = i\epsilon(\Omega_\epsilon - I) \psi,$$

where  $I$  is the identity. It now follows from the boundedness of  $\Omega_\epsilon$ ,  $\|\Omega_\epsilon \psi\| \leq \|\psi\|$ , that the inequality

$$\|(H\Omega_\epsilon - \Omega_\epsilon H_0) \psi\| < 2\epsilon \|\psi\| \tag{6}$$

holds for all  $\epsilon > 0$ .

Lemma 1 implies that the vectors  $\Omega_\epsilon H_0 \psi$  converge to  $\Omega_+ H_0 \psi$  as  $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$ . Inequality (6) therefore implies that  $H\Omega_\epsilon \psi$  converges to the same vector  $\Omega_+ H_0 \psi$  as  $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$ . Because (by Lemma 1) the vectors  $\Omega_\epsilon \psi$  converge to  $\Omega_+ \psi$ , it now follows from the fact that  $H$  is a closed operator that  $\Omega_+ \psi \in \mathcal{D}$  and that the intertwining relation  $(H\Omega_+ - \Omega_+ H_0) \psi = 0$  is true.

Because  $(\Omega_+ - \Omega_\epsilon) \psi$  is now known to lie in  $\mathcal{D}$  for every  $\epsilon > 0$ , one can speak of the vector  $V(\Omega_+ - \Omega_\epsilon) \psi = (H - H_0)(\Omega_+ - \Omega_\epsilon) \psi$ . If  $\zeta$  is a fixed complex number with nonzero imaginary part, this vector can be written in the form

$$V(\Omega_+ - \Omega_\epsilon) \psi = C(\zeta) (\zeta - H)(\Omega_+ - \Omega_\epsilon) \psi.$$

The operator  $C(\zeta) \equiv V(\zeta - H)^{-1} = (H - H_0)(\zeta - H)^{-1}$  is, by Lemma 2, defined and bounded on  $\mathcal{H}$ . Hence, there is a positive constant  $B$ , independent of  $\epsilon$ , such that the inequality

$$\|V(\Omega_+ - \Omega_\epsilon) \psi\| \leq B \|(\zeta - H)(\Omega_+ - \Omega_\epsilon) \psi\| \tag{7}$$

is true for all  $\epsilon > 0$ .

The intertwining property implies that

$$(\zeta - H)(\Omega_+ - \Omega_\epsilon) \psi = (\Omega_+ - \Omega_\epsilon)(\zeta - H_0) \psi + (H\Omega_\epsilon - \Omega_\epsilon H_0) \psi$$

and hence that (using inequalities (6) and (7))

$$\|V(\Omega_+ - \Omega_\epsilon) \psi\| \leq B \{ \|(\Omega_+ - \Omega_\epsilon)(\zeta - H_0) \psi\| + 2\epsilon \|\psi\| \}. \tag{8}$$

The fact that for all  $\epsilon > 0$  the vectors  $\Omega_+ \psi$  and  $\Omega_\epsilon \psi$  lie in  $\mathcal{D}$  has been established. Inequality (8), together with the previously used inequality  $\|\Omega_\epsilon \psi\| \leq \|\psi\|$ , implies inequality (1) with  $\alpha = 2B$ ,  $\beta = 2B|\zeta|$  and  $\gamma = 2B$ . Equation (2) is implied by inequality (8) and Lemma 1. The theorem has thus been proved for a fixed  $\psi$ . Since  $\psi$  was arbitrary, the proof is complete. Q.E.D.

The final result of this paper is the following (cf. Theorem 9(a) of [1]).

**Theorem 2.** *If the conditions ( $\theta$ ) and (A) are satisfied, then for all  $\epsilon_1 > 0$  the equation*

$$s\text{-}\lim_{\epsilon_2 \downarrow 0} \int_0^\infty dt e^{-\epsilon_1 t} [U_t^* V(\Omega_+ - \Omega_{\epsilon_2}) U_t + U_t V(\Omega_+ - \Omega_{\epsilon_2}) U_t^*] \psi = 0 \tag{9}$$

*is true for every  $\psi \in \mathcal{D}$ .*

*Proof.* Inequality (1) of Theorem 1 implies that the integrand in equation (9) is continuous and bounded in norm by the function  $2e^{-\epsilon_1 t}[\alpha\|H_0\psi\| + (\beta + \epsilon_2\gamma)\|\psi\|]$ . This function is Lebesgue integrable for all  $\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2 > 0$ , with the consequence that the Bochner integral in equation (9) exists (Theorem 3.7.4 of [2]) for all  $\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2 > 0$ . Equation (2) of Theorem 1 implies further that for every  $t \in [0, \infty)$  the strong limit as  $\epsilon_2 \rightarrow 0$  of the integrand is zero. The Lebesgue-dominated convergence theorem for Bochner integrals (Theorem 3.7.9 of [2]) therefore applies, with the result that equation (9) is true for all  $\epsilon_1 > 0$  and all  $\psi \in \mathcal{D}$ . Q.E.D.

Equation (9) is precisely the condition (D) of [1]. The conjecture of Amrein, Georgescu and Jauch that conditions ( $\theta$ ) and (A) imply condition (D) is thus proved.

A generalization of [1] and this paper to multichannel scattering is relatively straightforward. A detailed report on these calculations, as well as some new results, appears in [3].

#### REFERENCES

- [1] W. O. AMREIN, V. GEORGESCU and J. M. JAUCH, *Helv. phys. Acta* **44**, 407 (1971).
- [2] E. HILLE and R. S. PHILLIPS, *Functional Analysis and Semigroups*, Am. Math. Soc. Coll. Pub. **31** (1957).
- [3] C. CHANDLER and A. G. GIBSON, *Transition from Time-Dependent to Time-Independent Multichannel Quantum Scattering Theory* (University of New Mexico preprint, 1972).