

Zeitschrift: Helvetica Physica Acta
Band: 46 (1973)
Heft: 2

Erratum: Erratum
Autor: [s.n.]

Nutzungsbedingungen

Die ETH-Bibliothek ist die Anbieterin der digitalisierten Zeitschriften. Sie besitzt keine Urheberrechte an den Zeitschriften und ist nicht verantwortlich für deren Inhalte. Die Rechte liegen in der Regel bei den Herausgebern beziehungsweise den externen Rechteinhabern. [Siehe Rechtliche Hinweise.](#)

Conditions d'utilisation

L'ETH Library est le fournisseur des revues numérisées. Elle ne détient aucun droit d'auteur sur les revues et n'est pas responsable de leur contenu. En règle générale, les droits sont détenus par les éditeurs ou les détenteurs de droits externes. [Voir Informations légales.](#)

Terms of use

The ETH Library is the provider of the digitised journals. It does not own any copyrights to the journals and is not responsible for their content. The rights usually lie with the publishers or the external rights holders. [See Legal notice.](#)

Download PDF: 15.10.2024

ETH-Bibliothek Zürich, E-Periodica, <https://www.e-periodica.ch>

Erratum

Freeman J. Dyson – *Quaternion determinants*, *Helv. Phys. Acta*, 45, 289 (1972)

The rings R considered in this paper are assumed to have two properties as stated on page 292, the commuting scalar property and the scalar product property. In fact the second property is not independent but is a trivial consequence of the first.

Proof: for any element q in R , the element $(q + q^\dagger)$ is a scalar. The commuting scalar property then implies

$$[q, r] = -[q^\dagger, r]$$

for every element r . But then also

$$[q^\dagger, r^\dagger] = -[q^\dagger, r],$$

and so

$$qr + r^\dagger q^\dagger = rq + q^\dagger r^\dagger,$$

which is the scalar product property. The results stated in the paper, in particular Lemma 1 and Theorem 2, remain true with the scalar product property omitted from their hypotheses.

In connection with the open question I stated on page 301, Dr. Carl Faith has kindly called my attention to a paper *Rings with involution* by S. A. Amitsur, *Israel Jour. Math.* 6, 99 (1968), which seems to imply that the answer to the question should be affirmative.