

Comment on a paper of Amrein, Martin, and Misra

Autor(en): **Zachary, W.W.**

Objektyp: **Article**

Zeitschrift: **Helvetica Physica Acta**

Band (Jahr): **46 (1973)**

Heft 3

PDF erstellt am: **05.07.2024**

Persistenter Link: <https://doi.org/10.5169/seals-114487>

Nutzungsbedingungen

Die ETH-Bibliothek ist Anbieterin der digitalisierten Zeitschriften. Sie besitzt keine Urheberrechte an den Inhalten der Zeitschriften. Die Rechte liegen in der Regel bei den Herausgebern. Die auf der Plattform e-periodica veröffentlichten Dokumente stehen für nicht-kommerzielle Zwecke in Lehre und Forschung sowie für die private Nutzung frei zur Verfügung. Einzelne Dateien oder Ausdrucke aus diesem Angebot können zusammen mit diesen Nutzungsbedingungen und den korrekten Herkunftsbezeichnungen weitergegeben werden. Das Veröffentlichen von Bildern in Print- und Online-Publikationen ist nur mit vorheriger Genehmigung der Rechteinhaber erlaubt. Die systematische Speicherung von Teilen des elektronischen Angebots auf anderen Servern bedarf ebenfalls des schriftlichen Einverständnisses der Rechteinhaber.

Haftungsausschluss

Alle Angaben erfolgen ohne Gewähr für Vollständigkeit oder Richtigkeit. Es wird keine Haftung übernommen für Schäden durch die Verwendung von Informationen aus diesem Online-Angebot oder durch das Fehlen von Informationen. Dies gilt auch für Inhalte Dritter, die über dieses Angebot zugänglich sind.

Comment on a Paper of Amrein, Martin, and Misra

by W. W. Zachary

Naval Research Laboratory, Washington, D.C., USA

(12. II. 73)

Abstract. We point out a gap in the proof of a proposition in a paper of Amrein, Martin and Misra and give a proof of their result.

The paper by Amrein, Martin and Misra [1] is an interesting and important contribution to the literature of time-dependent scattering theory. In this note we point out a gap in the proof of their Proposition 1 and furnish a proof of this result.

The scattering theory of AMM is based upon three conditions which they call (A1), (A2), and (A3). Using their notation we define the unitary groups $V_t = \exp(-iHt)$ and $U_t = \exp(-iH_0t)$ ($-\infty < t < \infty$) which respectively describe the total evolution and free evolution of the scattering system, and the von Neumann algebra \mathcal{A}_0 consisting of all bounded linear operators on the Hilbert space $\mathcal{H} = L^2(R^3)$ that commute with all spectral projections of the positive self-adjoint operator H_0 . We will be concerned with the following two conditions of AMM:

(A1) There exists a projection operator P on \mathcal{H} such that

a) $[P, V_t] = 0, t \in (-\infty, \infty),$

b) for every operator $A \in \mathcal{A}_0$ there exist two operators A_{\pm} such that

$$s\text{-}\lim_{t \rightarrow \pm\infty} V_t^* A V_t P = A_{\pm} = \mu_{\pm}(A), \quad (1)$$

c) $[P, A_{\pm}] = 0.$

(A3) For every vector $f \in \mathcal{H}$ there exists a vector $g \in P\mathcal{H}$ such that for all $A \in \mathcal{A}_0$

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow -\infty} (g, V_t^* A V_t g) = (f, Af).$$

In their Proposition 1 AMM want to prove that the images $\mu_{\pm}(\mathcal{A}_0)$ of the mappings defined by (1) are von Neumann algebras by assuming only their first condition (A1). After establishing that μ_{\pm} are *-homomorphisms they quote a theorem of Feldman and Fell [2] thereby arriving at the conclusion that μ_{\pm} are ultraweakly continuous on \mathcal{A}_0 . However, the theorem of Feldman and Fell does not apply in this case, because, as one easily proves, \mathcal{A}_0 is not properly infinite. This result was also discovered by AMM subsequent to the publication of their paper [3].

It is possible to prove that $\mu_-(\mathcal{A}_0)$ is a von Neumann algebra by making use of (A3) as well as (A1). W. O. Amrein has informed the author that such a proof has been discussed in detail by Mourre [4]. However, in order to use this method to prove that $\mu_+(\mathcal{A}_0)$ is a von Neumann algebra it is necessary to require the validity of (A3) also in the limit $t \rightarrow +\infty$. Call this new condition (A3)₊. Alternatively, (A3)₊ can be derived by assuming the validity of (A1), (A2) and (A3) and that the scattering system is time reversal invariant [1]. With the additional condition (A3)₊ the scattering operator S is necessarily unitary when it exists, as is noted in [1]. Thus, this method of proof seems to be too restrictive (at least to the author).

In view of the above remarks it would appear to be useful to have a proof of the proposition in question assuming only the validity of (A1). We will give such a proof.

Proposition. Assume the validity of (A1). Then μ_{\pm} are ultraweakly continuous on \mathcal{A}_0 .

Once this much has been proved, the results stated by AMM as their Proposition 1 can be obtained by, for example, following the procedure in the latter part of their proof. In the proof given below we will use freely the result of AMM that μ_{\pm} are *-homomorphisms on \mathcal{A}_0 without proving it again. We note that Lavine [5] proved that μ_{\pm} are *-isomorphisms on a certain operator algebra under more restrictive assumptions. In the present situation μ_{\pm} are not necessarily isomorphisms as in the cases discussed by Mourre [4] and Lavine [5].

Proof. We write \mathcal{A}_0 as the direct sum of finite and properly infinite von Neumann algebras $(\mathcal{A}_0)_{\mathcal{G}}$ and $(\mathcal{A}_0)_{I-\mathcal{G}}$ ([6], Proposition 8, p. 98), and consider the finite summand $(\mathcal{A}_0)_{\mathcal{G}}$ by assuming that \mathcal{A}_0 is finite.

Let A denote an arbitrary non-zero element of \mathcal{A}'_0 . Then A is a bounded normal operator and consequently has a polar decomposition

$$A = U|A|, \quad |A| \in \mathcal{A}'_0, \tag{2}$$

where U is unitary and $|A|$ is bounded, positive, and self-adjoint. It follows that $A \in \text{Ker } \mu_{\pm}$ if and only if $|A| \in \text{Ker } \mu_{\pm}$.

Since $|A|$ is bounded and self-adjoint, and the spectrum of H_0 is the non-negative real axis [1], we can write,

$$(\Psi, |A|\Psi) = \int_0^{\infty} f(\lambda) d(\Psi, E_0(\lambda)\Psi), \quad \Psi \in \mathcal{H}, \quad f \in \mathcal{B}, \tag{3}$$

where E_0 denotes the resolution of the identity of H_0 and \mathcal{B} the class of all real-valued bounded Borel measurable functions on $[0, \infty)$. It follows that

$$F(\delta) = E_0(f^{-1}(\delta)) \tag{4}$$

for every Borel set δ of the spectrum of $|A|$, where F denotes the resolution of the identity of $|A|$ ([7], Corollary X.2.10).

Now suppose $A \in \text{Ker } \mu_{\pm}$. From (1) and (2) one finds

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \pm\infty} \|V_t^* |A| V_t g\| = 0, \quad \text{all } g \in P\mathcal{H}. \tag{5}$$

Since $V_t^* F V_t$ is the resolution of the identity of $V_t^* |A| V_t$, we find, using (4), (5) and ([7], Corollary X.7.3), that

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \pm\infty} \|V_t^* E_0(f^{-1}(\delta)) V_t g\| = 0 \tag{6}$$

for all $g \in P\mathcal{H}$ and all Borel sets δ of the spectrum of $|A|$.

Take f to be the characteristic function of a Borel set Δ of $[0, \infty)$, $f = \chi_{\Delta}$. Then $f \in \mathcal{B}$ and we find from (3), $|A| = E_0(\Delta)$, which is non-zero by assumption. The set $\delta = \{0, 1\}$ is a Borel set of the spectrum of this operator so that

$$E_0(f^{-1}(\delta)) = E_0([0, \infty)) = I = \text{identity operator}$$

and we obtain a contradiction with (6). Consequently,

$$E_0(\Delta) \notin \text{Ker } \mu_{\pm} \tag{7}$$

for all Borel sets Δ of $[0, \infty)$ such that $E_0(\Delta) \neq 0$.

Let f_n denote a simple function,

$$f_n = \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i \chi_{\Delta_i}, \quad n < \infty, \tag{8}$$

where the α_i are non-zero real numbers and $\{\Delta_i\}$ is a sequence of disjoint Borel sets of $[0, \infty)$. Then $f_n \in \mathcal{B}$ and the corresponding operator is obtained from (3),

$$B_n = \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i E_0(\Delta_i).$$

Such operators will be called simple. Since μ_{\pm} are linear we find

$$\mu_{\pm}(B_n) = \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i \mu_{\pm}(E_0(\Delta_i)). \tag{9}$$

From the disjointness of the sequence $\{\Delta_i\}$ and the standard properties of a spectral measure one finds that $\{E_0(\Delta_i)\}$ is a sequence of pairwise orthogonal projections. The homomorphisms μ_{\pm} preserve this property so that $\{\mu_{\pm}(E_0(\Delta_i))\}$ are also sequences of this type. If $B_n \neq 0$ then there is at least one Borel set Δ_k occurring in the sequence $\{\Delta_i\}$ of (8) such that $E_0(\Delta_k) \neq 0$ so that (7) obtains. Take $\Psi_{\pm} \neq 0$ in the range of $\mu_{\pm}(E_0(\Delta_k)) \neq 0$ so that (9) yields

$$\mu_{\pm}(B_n) \Psi_{\pm} = \alpha_k \Psi_{\pm} \neq 0,$$

and thus $\mu_{\pm}(B_n) \neq 0$ or

$$B_n \notin \text{Ker } \mu_{\pm} \tag{10}$$

for all non-zero simple operators.

It follows from (3) that for each positive operator $|A| \neq 0$ there exists a Borel set Δ_0 of $[0, \infty)$ such that f assumes only positive values on Δ_0 and $E_0(\Delta_0) \neq 0$. We then find that, since $f\chi_{\Delta_0}$ belongs to \mathcal{B} and is non-negative, there exists an increasing sequence of non-negative simple functions $\{f_n\}$ which converges pointwise to $f\chi_{\Delta_0}$ [8]. Because $f\chi_{\Delta_0}$ majorizes f_n for each n we find that $f_n \in \mathcal{B}$ for all n and that the simple operators B_n corresponding to f_n by (3) are positive and bounded. Moreover, each f_n vanishes outside the Borel set Δ_0 and for a sufficiently large value of n (n_0 say) $f_{n_0} > 0$ on Δ_0 . It follows that $B_{n_0} \neq 0$ so that we obtain from (10),

$$\mu_{\pm}(B_{n_0}) > 0 \tag{11}$$

because μ_{\pm} preserve positivity. Since $f\chi_{\Delta_0} - f_{n_0} \in \mathcal{B}$ is non-negative we find that the operator $|A|E_0(\Delta_0) - B_{n_0}$ to which this function corresponds by (3) is positive. We

now again use the fact that μ_{\pm} preserve positivity coupled with (11) to show that

$$|A|E_0(\Delta_0) \notin \text{Ker } \mu_{\pm} \quad \text{for } |A| \neq 0,$$

from which $|A| \notin \text{Ker } \mu_{\pm}$ immediately follows.

Thus, we have shown that $\text{Ker } \mu_{\pm} \cap \mathcal{A}'_0 = \{0\}$. Then, since \mathcal{A}_0 has been assumed finite, one finds $\text{Ker } \mu_{\pm} = \{0\}$ ([6], Corollaire 1 of Proposition 2, p. 256). Hence, μ_{\pm} are injective on the finite summand $(\mathcal{A}_0)_G$. It follows that the restrictions of μ_{\pm} to $(\mathcal{A}_0)_G$ are direct summand representations in the sense of Fell [9] and are consequently ultraweakly continuous because $(\mathcal{A}_0)_G$ is of type I [2, 9].

We can now follow AMM and invoke the theorem of Feldman and Fell [2] to deduce that the restrictions of μ_{\pm} to the properly infinite summand $(\mathcal{A}_0)_{I-G}$ are ultraweakly continuous. Finally, we use the linearity of μ_{\pm} , the ultraweak continuity of their restrictions to the two summands, and the characterization of ultraweakly continuous homomorphisms in [2] to prove that μ_{\pm} are ultraweakly continuous on \mathcal{A}_0 .

W. O. Amrein has recently informed the author that the result of this note has also been proved by V. Georgescu (unpublished) by a different method.

Acknowledgment

The author wishes to thank Dr. W. O. Amrein for illuminating correspondence concerning his results subsequent to the publication of [1] and especially for information regarding the paper [4].

REFERENCES

- [1] W. O. AMREIN, PH. A. MARTIN and B. MISRA, *Helv. Phys. Acta* **43**, 313 (1970). This paper will hereafter be referred to as AMM.
- [2] J. FELDMAN and J. M. G. FELL, *Ann. Math.* **65**, 241 (1957), Theorem 1. See also, H. TAKEMOTO, *Tôhoku Math. J.* **21**, 152 (1969); **22**, 210 (1970).
- [3] W. O. AMREIN, private communication.
- [4] E. MOURRE, *Quelques résultats dans la théorie algébrique de la diffusion*, preprint (Centre de Physique Théorique, C.N.R.S., Marseille 1971).
- [5] R. B. LAVINE, *J. Func. Anal.* **5**, 368 (1970).
- [6] J. DIXMIER, *Les Algèbres d'opérateurs dans l'espace Hilbertien (algèbres de von Neumann)*, 2nd edition (Gauthier-Villars, Paris 1969).
- [7] N. DUNFORD and J. T. SCHWARTZ, *Linear Operators Part II: Spectral Theory* (Interscience, New York-London 1963).
- [8] P. R. HALMOS, *Measure Theory* (Van Nostrand, Princeton, N.J. 1950), Theorem 20B, p. 85.
- [9] J. M. G. FELL, *Math. Ann.* **133**, 118 (1957).