

Artemis' fourth throw (Call. Dian. 121f.)

Autor(en): **Massimilla, Giulio**

Objektyp: **Article**

Zeitschrift: **Museum Helveticum : schweizerische Zeitschrift für klassische Altertumswissenschaft = Revue suisse pour l'étude de l'antiquité classique = Rivista svizzera di filologia classica**

Band (Jahr): **59 (2002)**

Heft 1

PDF erstellt am: **06.08.2024**

Persistenter Link: <https://doi.org/10.5169/seals-46001>

Nutzungsbedingungen

Die ETH-Bibliothek ist Anbieterin der digitalisierten Zeitschriften. Sie besitzt keine Urheberrechte an den Inhalten der Zeitschriften. Die Rechte liegen in der Regel bei den Herausgebern.

Die auf der Plattform e-periodica veröffentlichten Dokumente stehen für nicht-kommerzielle Zwecke in Lehre und Forschung sowie für die private Nutzung frei zur Verfügung. Einzelne Dateien oder Ausdrucke aus diesem Angebot können zusammen mit diesen Nutzungsbedingungen und den korrekten Herkunftsbezeichnungen weitergegeben werden.

Das Veröffentlichen von Bildern in Print- und Online-Publikationen ist nur mit vorheriger Genehmigung der Rechteinhaber erlaubt. Die systematische Speicherung von Teilen des elektronischen Angebots auf anderen Servern bedarf ebenfalls des schriftlichen Einverständnisses der Rechteinhaber.

Haftungsausschluss

Alle Angaben erfolgen ohne Gewähr für Vollständigkeit oder Richtigkeit. Es wird keine Haftung übernommen für Schäden durch die Verwendung von Informationen aus diesem Online-Angebot oder durch das Fehlen von Informationen. Dies gilt auch für Inhalte Dritter, die über dieses Angebot zugänglich sind.

Artemis' fourth throw (Call. *Dian.* 121f.)

By Giulio Massimilla, Potenza

In vv. 119–123 of the Hymn to Artemis, Callimachus asks the goddess how many times she tested her silver bow. In answering his own question, Callimachus mentions the four throws whereby Artemis made trial of her weapon. Here is the text, as in Pfeiffer's edition:

ποσσάκι δ' ἀργυρέοιο, θεή, πειρήσαο τόξου;
120 πρῶτον ἐπὶ πετέλην, τὸ δὲ δεύτερον ἦκας ἐπὶ δροῦν,
τὸ τρίτον αὖτ' ἐπὶ θῆρα. τὸ τέτρατον οὐκέτ' †ἐπὶ δροῦν†,
ἀλλὰ †μιν εἰς ἀδίκων ἔβαλες πόλιν, οἳ τε περὶ σφέας
οἳ τε περὶ ξείνους ἀλιτήμονα πολλὰ τέλεσκον.

Callimachus says that Artemis shot firstly at an elm, secondly at an oak, thirdly at a wild beast and fourthly at the city of unjust and evil men. The transition from the third to the fourth target is emphasized by the poet: while with her first three throws Artemis was just practising, with the fourth one she took on her religious role of avenging goddess. Regrettably this transition, which occupies the second hemistich of v. 121 and the beginning of v. 122, suffers from corruption in our manuscripts: Pfeiffer obelizes both ἐπὶ δροῦν at the end of v. 121 and μιν in v. 122.

And indeed the transmitted text, although metrically correct, raises problems regarding its sense:

1) τὸ τέτρατον οὐκέτ' †ἐπὶ δροῦν†. After mentioning the elm, the oak and the wild beast, why should Callimachus single out the second target and write: “The fourth time (you) no longer (shot) at an oak”? ἐπὶ δροῦν at the end of v. 121 can easily be explained as an erroneous repetition of the *explicit* of v. 120.

2) ἀλλὰ †μιν εἰς ἀδίκων ἔβαλες πόλιν. The pronoun μιν should refer to τόξου in v. 119: but there – as Pfeiffer points out in his apparatus – the word means “bow”, whereas here the meaning required is “arrow”¹.

1 Some scholars try to defend the *textus receptus*: A. Ronconi in: F. Bornmann, *Callimachi Hymnus in Dianam. Introduzione, testo critico e commento* (Firenze 1968) 134 proposes keeping ἐπὶ δροῦν; A. Meineke, “Kritische Bemerkungen zu Kallimachos”, *JCPH* 6 (81) (1860) 44 and *Callimachi Cyrenensis Hymni et Epigrammata* (Berolini 1861) 163f., and Q. Cataudella, “Hellenistica (Filita, Menandro, Callimaco, Teocrito, Eroda)”, *Helikon* 7 (1967) 408f. = *Intorno ai lirici greci. Contributi alla critica del testo e all'interpretazione* (Roma 1972) 200f. are in favour of μιν; K. J. McKay, “Mischief in Kallimachos' Hymn to Artemis”, *Mnemosyne*, s. IV 16 (1963) 249–254 and G. Giangrande, “Artemis and the Oak-trees in Callimachus”, *CL* 3 (1983) 69–71 think that both verses are sound.

The conjectural emendations of the text so far proposed (some of which do not tackle the problem of $\mu\nu$) follow four different routes:

1) Some scholars assume that a verse was lost after v. 121, where the elm and the wild beast appeared again: in this way all the first three targets (not only the oak) would be mentioned twice. According to Schneider², such a verse might be something like οὐδ' ἔτ' ἐπὶ πετελέην, οὐδ' ἀγροτέρους ἐπὶ θῆρας³. Haupt⁴ contrives, e.g., the hexameter οὐκ ἔτ' ἐπὶ πετελέην ἦκας βέλος, οὐκ ἐπὶ θῆρα. Wilamowitz⁵ too supposes that a verse is missing after v. 121, but does not volunteer any supplement.

I find such an approach unappealing, as the whole passage would become highly redundant and incompatible with Callimachean concision.

2) Others put a different complement at the end of v. 121, instead of ἐπὶ δοῦν. Meineke⁶ thinks that it would have been more natural for the poet to mention the third target (the wild beast) again, rather than the second (the oak), before going on to the fourth one: therefore he changes οὐκέτ' ἐπὶ δοῦν into οὐκ ἔτι θῆρα or οὐκ ἐπὶ θῆρα. This conjecture seems to me implausible because the verse form (its two hemistichs beginning with a numeral adverb and ending with the same word) would be clumsy⁷.

Sitzler⁸ and Cataudella⁹ try to convert ἐπὶ δοῦν into a complement which summarizes the three targets previously named: they propose substituting οὐκ ἐπὶ τοῖα or οὐκ ἐπὶ ταῦτα for οὐκέτ' ἐπὶ δοῦν. In this way the *clausula* of the verse would be smooth, but rather flat.

3) Mair¹⁰ replaces ἐπὶ δοῦν in v. 121 with an adverbial phrase. He writes οὐκέτ' ἐπὶ δῆν instead of οὐκέτ' ἐπὶ δοῦν and translates: “But the fourth time – not long was it ere thou didst shoot at the city of unjust men.” This conjecture has the advantage of providing an easy palaeographical explanation for the erroneous ἐπὶ δοῦν, but is utterly implausible with respect to prosody and sense. First of all, Callimachus would not be expected to lengthen the *iota* of ἐπὶ before δῆν, because he does not take into account the original *digamma* of this adverb

2 O. Schneider, “De locis quibusdam Callimachi lacunosis”, *Philologus* 6 (1851) 554f. and *Callimachea* I (Lipsiae 1870) 226.

3 As often in Schneider’s conjectural versification, this hexameter infringes a Callimachean metrical rule (a masculine caesura should be followed by either a hephthemimeres caesura or a bucolic diaeresis or both): see G. Massimilla, *Callimaco. Aitia, libri primo e secondo* (Pisa 1996) 41f.

4 M. Haupt in: Meineke, *Hymni et Epigrammata* (n. 1).

5 U. von Wilamowitz–Moellendorff, *Callimachi Hymni et Epigrammata* (Berolini 1925) 21.

6 *Opp. cit.* (n. 1).

7 The problem is perceived by T. Gomperz, “Zu Kallimachos”, *WS* 32 (1910) 2 = *Hellenika. Eine Auswahl philologischer und philosophiegeschichtlicher Kleiner Schriften* II (Leipzig 1912) 309, whose attempt to improve Meineke’s emendation is nevertheless far-fetched: τὸ τρίτον αὐτ’ ἐπὶ σῶν, τὸ δὲ τέτατον οὐκ ἔτι θῆρα.

8 J. Sitzler, *PhW* 43 (1923) 267.

9 *Op. cit.* (n. 1).

10 A. W. Mair, *Callimachus. Hymns and Epigrams* (London/Cambridge, Mass. 1955) 70f.

(*δφήν) in *Hec. fr.* 49.3 Η. μετὰ δὴν (short *alpha*)¹¹. Then, Mair's translation shows that the meaning of the passage would be very contorted.

4) Others put a verb at the end of v. 121, instead of ἐπὶ δροῦν. Gallavotti¹² suggests οὐκέτ' ἔπαιζες and changes ἀλλά μιν εἰς (v. 122) into ἀλλ' ἰοῖς: "The fourth time you were no longer sporting, but struck the city of unjust men with your arrows." Barber¹³ proposes οὐκέτ' ἔπαισας (or ἔπαιξας) and ἀλλά τιν': "The fourth time you sported no longer, but shot (your arrow) into a certain city of wrongdoers."

In my opinion, this conjectural approach is the most promising: after three practice throws, with the fourth one Artemis became the goddess of vengeance *par excellence*.

I would like to propose a new emendation in accordance with the last approach to the text. My proposal is based on the close similarity between our verses and a passage from the story of Acontius and Cydippe in the third book of Callimachus' *Aitia*¹⁴.

After Cydippe had unwittingly sworn by Artemis that she would marry Acontius, her wedding with another youth was three times prevented by the goddess, who plagued the girl firstly with epilepsy, secondly with quartan fever and thirdly with a deadly chill. The fourth time Cydippe's father waited no longer, but consulted the Delphian Apollo. On learning the truth, he gave his daughter to Acontius in marriage. Within the story, the following verses are the most relevant for our purpose (*fr.* 75.14–21 Pf.):

ἢ τότε ἀνιγρή (scil. epilepsy)

- 15 τὴν κούρην Ἄϊδεω μέχρις ἔτηξε δόμων.
 δεύτερον ἐστόργυντο τὰ κλισμῖα, δεύτερον ἢ πα[ῖ]ς
 ἑπτὰ τεταρταίωι μῆνας ἔκαμνε πυρί.
 τὸ τρίτον ἐμνήσαντο γάμου κάτᾱ, τὸ τρίτον αὐτ[ε]
 Κυδίππην ὀλοὸς κρυμὸς ἐσώκισατο.
 20 τέτρατον [ο]ὐκέτ' ἔμεινε πατήρ ἐ . . . φ . . ο . . . [
 Φοῖβον· ὁ δ' ἐννύχιον τοῦτ' ἔπος ηὔδατο.

The two passages resemble each other from many points of view: 1) They present a series of the same numeral adverbs: τὸ δὲ δεύτερον (*Hymn*) = δεύτερον ... δεύτερον (*Aitia*); τὸ τρίτον αὐτ' (*H.*) = τὸ τρίτον ... τὸ τρίτον αὐτε

11 The *digamma* of δὴν is metrically effective in Homeric poems (cf. *Il.* 1.416 and many other passages), but is constantly disregarded by Hellenistic and imperial authors: cf., e.g., A.R. 2.135 etc., *Euph. fr.* 9.8 Powell, Nonn. *D.* 23.11.

12 C. Gallavotti, *PP* 8 (33) (1953) 469.

13 E. A. Barber, *CR* 68 n.s. 4 (1954) 229.

14 The resemblance is pointed out by H. Herter, "Kallimachos und Homer. Ein Beitrag zur Interpretation des Hymnos auf Artemis", in: *Xenia Bonnensia. Festschrift zum 75jährigen Bestehen des philologischen Vereins und Bonner Kreises* (Bonn 1929) 96 = *Kleine Schriften* (München 1975) 409, Pfeiffer *ad locc.*, Bornmann *ad loc.*

(A.); τὸ τέτρατον (H.) = τέτρατον (A.). 2) In both places three preliminary occurrences are followed by a fourth one, which develops (H.) or solves (A.) a situation. 3) In both passages the culminating fourth event is introduced by the phrase (τὸ) τέτρατον οὐκέτ', which – thanks to its retarding effect – emphasizes the transition¹⁵.

Given this striking similarity, I suspect that Callimachus used the same verb in *fr.* 75.20 Pf. and *Dian.* 121. Therefore, in the latter passage, I would change οὐκέτ' ἐπὶ δοῦν into οὐκέτ' ἔμεινας¹⁶: after three practice throws, “the fourth time (you Artemis) waited no longer”, but hit the city of wrongdoers. The erroneous μιν in v. 122 is possibly an itacistic trace of the previous ἔμεινας.

At the beginning of v. 122, I would tentatively replace ἀλλά μιν with ἄρδιν δ': you Artemis waited no longer, “but shot the point of your arrow at the city of unjust men”. The rare word ἄρδις is to be found in Call. *fr.* 70.2 Pf.

To sum up, I propose correcting Call. *Dian.* 121f. as follows:

τὸ τέτρατον οὐκέτ' ἔμεινας,
ἄρδιν δ' εἰς ἀδίκων ἔβαλες πόλιν.

15 Callimachus elaborates a typical Homeric pattern, according to which three attempts are followed by an unforeseen event or (more rarely) by a successful outcome: cf. *Il.* 5.436–439; 13.20; 16.702–706, 784–787; 20.445–448; 21.176–179; *Od.* 21.125–129 (similarly *Od.* 2.106–109; 19.151–155; 24.141–145 and – in a broader context – *Il.* 22.188–213). While Apollonius Rhodius (3.654f.) faithfully imitates this outline, Callimachus extends and refines it. On the one hand, the Homeric τρίς is changed into a detailed account of the three initial occurrences; on the other, the following event is first presented in a negative form (οὐκέτ') and then related. (The latter feature is also to be found in Call. *Del.* 249–255 κύκνοι ... ἐκνυκλώσαντο ... ἑβδομάκις περὶ Δῆλον, ἐπήεισαν δὲ λοχείη ... ὄγδοον οὐκέτ' ἄεισαν, ὃ δ' ἔκθορον.) See Herter, *op. cit.* (n. 14), F. Göbel, *Formen und Formeln der epischen Dreiheit in der griechischen Dichtung* (Stuttgart/Berlin 1935) 44f.

16 For the position of the phrase at the end of the hexameter, cf. [Hom.] *Batr.* 301 οὐδ' ἔτ' ἔμειναν, *Orac. Sib.* 5.520 μηκέτι μεῖναι.