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# Philological Notes on the Letter gamma in a New Greek-English Dictionary 

S. Douglas Olson, Minneapolis


#### Abstract

Vor gut zwei Generationen veröffentlichte Robert Renehan eine Reihe von Artikeln, in denen er die Einträge Barbers und seiner Mitherausgeber in der neunten, 1968 veröffentlichten Ausgabe des Greek-English-Lexicon von Liddell, Scott und Jones (1940) erweiterte, verfeinerte und korrigierte. Die folgenden Anmerkungen zum Buchstaben "Gamma" im neuen Brill Dictionary of Ancient Greek folgen diesem Geiste.
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Comprehensive new lexica of the ancient Greek language rarely appear. This is a consequence of the enormous scale of such projects, on the one hand, and of the extraordinary breadth of learning and intellectual competence required to produce one, on the other. Because expectations are rightly so high - standard lexica must be as wide-ranging, precise, and accurate as possible, since almost all the rest of our work as classicists depends upon them - enormous amounts of secondary effort must also be invested in ensuring that every reference and cross-reference is accurate, that every gloss of a word is true to the original context in which it occurs, that definitions are clear and unambiguous, that translations are clear and idiomatic but also as faithful as possible to the original, and the like. Philological work of this type is in one sense never complete, but goes on constantly across linguistic, political, and cultural boundaries, with new material added to the corpus and new understandings developed of what we already have. But lexica are significant points of inflexion in this process, and their enormous authority and influence depend on the care with which they are constructed, reviewed, and used.

Two generations ago, Robert Renehan published a series of articles expanding, refining, and correcting entries in the $9^{\text {th }}$ edition of the monumental Liddell-Scott-Jones Greek-English Lexicon (1940) as supplemented by Barber and his fellow editors (1968). ${ }^{1}$ In his Foreward to the latter work, Renehan acknowledges his

[^0]enormous respect for LSJ and the manner in which it was produced．${ }^{2}$ He none－ theless scrutinizes its entries carefully，the implicit thesis being that high－quality intellectual work can not only stand up to such examination，but is strengthened by it，and that the good of the common enterprise requires that weaknesses be identified and corrected．In the end，the general quality of the Lexicon is apparent in how seldom Renehan catches outright errors，most of his notes being concerned with adding attestations of words or identifying overlooked senses of them．${ }^{3}$ The following notes on the letter gamma in the new Brill Dictionary of Ancient Greek （Leiden and Boston，2015），edited by Franco Montanari and translated into Eng－ lish from the $3^{\text {rd }}$ edition of the Italian version（2013）by a team of scholars associ－ ated with Harvard＇s Center for Hellenic Studies and led by Gregory Nagy，Leonard Muellner，Madeleine Goh and Chad Schroeder，are offered in a similar spirit．I have restricted myself to gamma because this makes the number of entries，and thus the number of pages in the Dictionary（ 36 out of 2431，approximately $1.5 \%$ ）， to be covered manageable．${ }^{4}$ There is no reason to think that similar examination of other portions of the work would produce a notably different type or quantity of comments．

In what follows，bold－face lemmata appear in the Dictionary；omission of bold－face signals that the word is not glossed there but ought perhaps to have been．Italicized glosses within quotation marks represent the Dictionary＇s defini－ tion of words（bold－face in the original），as opposed to its comments，clarifications， and the like（italicized in the original）．I use LSJ＇s abbreviations for authors and

[^1]works throughout．Minor typographical errors and the like in the Dictionary are ignored unless they are actively misleading．
 laborer，hired farmhand＂）is not $\gamma \boldsymbol{\beta} \boldsymbol{\beta} \rho \gamma$ ó $\rho$（thus also LSJ；glossed＂laborer，wor－ ker＂，missing the connection with $\gamma \tilde{\eta}$ ），but $\gamma a \beta \varepsilon \rho \gamma o ́ \varsigma$ ．The manuscript of Hesychius has $\gamma$ аßعрүóৎ ópou $\mu \tau \sigma \theta \omega \tau$ òs．Schmidt emended to $\gamma$ 人ßعpүóp．oủ $\mu \iota \sigma \theta \omega \tau$ òs（sic）， and his typographical error was taken over not just by LSJ，but also by e．g．Buck．${ }^{5}$
 whence the gloss in the Dictionary，but still with the wrong lemma．For the word， cf．$\gamma$ аэə $\varepsilon \rho$ үós in I．Thespiai 55.
 bed as＂Celtic people＂）are the same group，a＂tribe＂of Gauls settled in the Alps and along the Rhone．Plb． 2.22 .2 claims that they got their name $\delta$ ıà $\tau$ ò $\mu \iota \sigma \theta$ oũ $\sigma \tau \rho a-$ $\tau \varepsilon$ úcıv（＂because they served as mercenaries＂）．It thus probably means＂those armed with a gaesum／үaĩoov＂；what they called themselves，and whether they were even a single people in any meaningful sense of the word，except from the Roman perspective，is unknown．
 غ̇ாıрраívovoı $\mu \cup \rho \rho i ́ v \eta \nu \tau \varepsilon$ каì $\delta \alpha ́ \varphi \nu \eta$（the final stage in Xerxes＇construction of his bridge across the Hellespont）means not＂make earth＂（cf．LSJ s．v．＂make land， make solid＂；DGE s．v．＂hacer de tierra，hacer sólido＂）but＂cover with earth，cover
甲óp $\eta \sigma a \nu$ ，which lies behind Tzetzes＇account．It might be easier in any case to print $\gamma \varepsilon o v ̃ \sigma \iota ~ . . . ~ \gamma \varepsilon \omega \dot{\omega} \sigma \nu \tau \varepsilon \varsigma$ ，this being a much better established form of the verb （even if normally found in the active）and the error being a common，simple one．

「aïє $\tau \alpha v$ á（treated as a neuter plural noun，and glossed obscurely＂aseptic ligaments＂）is actually an adjective（＂from Gaeta＂）which is used substantively at Gal．X．924．4 K．for bandaging material to be employed in connection with a phlebo－ tomy．Galen claims that such material was particularly unlikely to cause infection and says it was imported from Gaul（ $̇ \kappa ~ \mu \varepsilon ̀ v ~ \tau \eta ̃ \varsigma ~ \tau \tilde{\omega} \nu ~ K \varepsilon \lambda \tau \tilde{\omega} \nu \chi \omega ́ \rho a \varsigma ~ \kappa о \mu \iota \zeta о \mu \varepsilon ́ v \omega \nu$ ）． DGE compares Marcellus Empiricus 8.27 gaitano lino（＂linen from Gaeta＂；Gadita－ no Helmreich），and takes the place in question to be Caietae portus（i．e．modern Gaeta，which lies more or less midway along the Italian coast between Rome and Naples）；more likely some otherwise unknown spot north of the Alps．

үа入актоuरह́ $\omega$（glossed＂have milk＂，i．e．＂lactate＂；cf．DGE s．v．＂tener leche o amamantar＂）is attested at Poll． 3.50 in a collection of words meaning＂suckle＂or the like．$\gamma \boldsymbol{\alpha} \lambda \alpha \kappa \tau 0$ ũXos（glossed＂having milk＂）is a variant reading in Poll．${ }^{\mathrm{BC}}$ ．Al－ though the current edition of LSJ must have used Bethe for Books 1－5 of Pollux， earlier editions would have relied on Bekker，who dealt with the discrepancy at

5 C．D．Buck（1955）．The Greek Dialects（Chicago 1955）§167．LSJ Supplement likewise failed to pick up the correction．
3.50 by printing $\gamma \alpha \lambda \alpha \kappa \tau о \cup \chi \varepsilon \tau ̃ \cdot ~ \gamma а \lambda \alpha \kappa \tau о и ̃ \chi o v . ~ T h e ~ l e m m a ~(d r o p p e d ~ b y ~ D G E) ~ w a s ~$ nonetheless taken over from the earlier version of the Lexicon into the $9^{\text {th }}$ edition, and from there into the Dictionary; the Supplement also failed to spot the problem. This is thus a ghost word, and if it is to be included anywhere, it should be clearly marked as a v.l. in Pollux. Clement of Alexandria ( $2^{\text {nd }} / 3^{\text {rd }}$ century CE) uses the cognate abstract noun ү $\boldsymbol{a} \boldsymbol{\lambda} \boldsymbol{\alpha} \boldsymbol{\kappa} \boldsymbol{\tau} \mathbf{0} \boldsymbol{\chi} \boldsymbol{\chi} \mathbf{\alpha} \boldsymbol{\alpha}$ three times (Str. 2.18.92.4; 3.11.72.1) to mean "weaning", ${ }^{6}$ with the sense of the compound in this case seemingly being ~ "withholding milk" or "depriving of milk" as something approaching a calque of Latin ablactio.
$\gamma \boldsymbol{\gamma} \boldsymbol{\alpha} \kappa \tau \mathbf{o ́ o \mu a t}$ is glossed "become milk", while $\gamma \boldsymbol{\alpha} \lambda \mathbf{\alpha ́ \kappa \tau \omega \sigma ı s ~ i s ~ g l o s s e d ~ " t r a n s - ~}$ formation into milk". Both words are attested almost exclusively in Theophrastus, who uses them to describe a type of decomposition that takes place in seeds that are sown in ground that is too wet (CP 1.7.3; 3.23.1; 4.4.7, 9; HP 8.6.1; so too Plu. Mor. 968a). The reference to milk is thus figurative in such contexts, and the words actually mean ~ "turn milky" and "transformation into something milky", respectively.

Although [Arist.] Phgn. $808^{\mathrm{a}} 31^{7}$ mentions individuals who are $\gamma \boldsymbol{\gamma} \lambda \varepsilon \alpha ́ \gamma \kappa \omega \nu /$ $\gamma \boldsymbol{\alpha} \iota \alpha \dot{\gamma} \boldsymbol{\gamma} \omega \nu$ (glossed "with short arms, properly with the elbows of a weasel"; cf. LSJ "weasel-armed, i.e. short-armed"; DGE s.v. "que tiene brazos de comadreja, e.e. bracicorto"), he does not explain what this means. Hp. Art. 12 is quite specific and offers a very different definition: a $\gamma a \lambda \varepsilon a ́ \gamma \kappa \omega \nu$ has a permanently dislocated shoulder, and is unable to lift and use that arm (which is sometimes shorter than the other) in a normal fashion.
 1.4.7 offer oi $̇$ ह́voเкá $\delta ı o t ~ \gamma a \lambda \varepsilon o i ̀ ~ \beta \rho \omega \theta \varepsilon ́ v \tau \varepsilon \varsigma ~(" d o m e s t i c a t e d ~ g a l e o i, ~ w h e n ~ e a t e n " ; ~ o n e ~$ of a number of unusual remedies), which is clearly a reference to weasels, since sharks are not kept in one's house. This is more likely an error than evidence for a masculine form of the word, but it ought in any case to be lemmatized under $\gamma a \lambda \varepsilon ́ \eta$ rather than under $\gamma a \lambda \varepsilon$ ćs. ${ }^{8}$ The hound fish (a type of needlefish) was not found in the Mediterranean until the cutting of the Suez Canal (opened 1869), and nothing about the description of the ixӨv̀s $\gamma a \lambda \tilde{\eta}$ discussed at Ael. NA 15.11 suggests that the two should be identified. ${ }^{9}$

[^2]The hapax $\gamma \mathbf{\alpha} \mu \beta \rho \boldsymbol{\eta}$ (glossed "bush") is described as a v.l. at Thphr. HP 1.3.1. This is in fact the reading in the manuscripts, for which Wimmer conjectured $\theta$ ú $\beta p a$ ("savory"; cf. CP 3.1.4). Whatever is printed, the word in question is the name of a specific variety of plant with many stems and branches that might be described generically as $\varphi p$ úpavov ("brush, undergrowth").
$\gamma \alpha \mu \eta \tau \eta$ for $\gamma \alpha \mu \varepsilon \tau \eta$ ("wife") is early Byzantine usage. The outdated reference $B C H 4.199$ (seemingly drawn from DGE s.v.) should be replaced by MAMA III 25.4; add e.g. IG $\mathrm{II}^{2} 13547.6$ ( $5^{\text {th }} / 6^{\text {th }}$ century CE); SGLIBulg 102.6 ( $6^{\text {th }}$ century CE); Aphrodisias 746.3 ( $5^{\text {th }} / 6^{\text {th }}$ century CE?).

үó $\mu v o s$ is not attested, and the gloss "happy" for compound yauvoبópos ("which yields $\gamma$ á $\mu v o \varsigma^{\prime \prime}$ ) in Cyril of Alexandria's Homily 11 (vol. LXXXII p. 1029.39 Migne) oi $\lambda \iota \mu \varepsilon ́ v \varepsilon \varsigma$ oi $\gamma a \mu \nu 0 \varphi$ ópol (in a list of figurative havens of safety) would serve better as a translation of $\gamma \boldsymbol{a v o \varphi o ́ \rho o s ~ ( l e m m a t i z e d ~ s e p a r a t e l y , ~ w i t h ~ n o ~ c r o s s - ~}$ references between the two). But $\gamma \tilde{a} v o c ̧$ (glossed "brightness, sheen") is not something one wants or expects from a harbor, and the correct reading is clearly

 ("the harbor that offers calm for the storm-tossed") in a similar figurative list at Alex.Sal. Barn. 438d.
 ding sacrifices", and is not a noun but an adjective used substantively (sc. iعpá) as an internal accusative.
$3^{\text {rd }}$-declension $\gamma \alpha \mu \psi \tilde{\omega} \nu \cup \xi$ (glossed "with curved or hooked claws"; used of birds, and therefore better "with curved or hooked talons"; cf. also LfgrE s.v.) is poetic (already e.g. Il. 16.428), while $2^{\text {nd }}$-declension $\gamma \boldsymbol{\alpha} \mu \psi \omega \dot{\omega} \cup \chi 0 \boldsymbol{O}$ - mis-lemmatized as $\gamma \alpha \mu \psi \omega ́ v u \chi o \nu-o \nu-i s ~ i t s ~ l a t e, ~ p r i m a r i l y ~ p r o s a i c ~ e q u i v a l e n t ~(e . g . ~ A r i s t . ~ H A ~$
 scripts of Aristotle use both (e.g. тoĩs $\gamma \alpha \mu \psi \omega ́ v v \xi ા \nu$ at $G A 749^{\text {b }} 3$, oi $\gamma \alpha \mu \psi \omega \dot{\omega} \nu \chi \varepsilon \varsigma$ at $H A 563^{\text {b }} 7$ ), perhaps in error under the influence of the well-established poetic form.

үópos or $\gamma$ ápov (= Latin garum) is not "brine with small fish" but a fermented sauce, one of the main ingredients of which was fish. See in general R. I. Curtis Garum and Salsamenta: Production and Commerce in Materia Medica (Studies in Ancient Medicine 3: Leiden 1991) 6-15.
$\gamma \alpha \sigma \beta \alpha \rho \eta \nu o ́ s$ (glossed "treasurer") - preserved in the genitive form $\gamma \alpha \sigma \beta \alpha \rho \eta$ voũ at LXX 2Esdras 1:8 - is not a Greek word but a rough transliteration of Aramaic gizbar, which is cognate with үá $\zeta \alpha$ ("treasure") and like it goes back to Persian.

[^3]Hsch. $\gamma 193$ defines $\gamma$ áб $\tau \rho \alpha$ as $\gamma 0 \gamma \gamma \cup \lambda i ́ \varsigma ~(" t u r n i p ") ; ~ c f . ~ H s c h . ~ \gamma ~ 204 ~ \gamma \alpha \sigma \tau \rho a i ́ a \cdot ~ ウ ่ ~$ үоүүи入íc. $\Lambda \alpha ́ \kappa \omega \nu \varepsilon \varsigma ~(" g a s t r a i a: ~ t h e ~ t u r n i p . ~ S p a r t a n s "), ~ w h i c h ~ l o o k s ~ l i k e ~ a n o t h e r ~ v e r-~$ sion of the same note, albeit with a variant lemma (thus DGE s.v.). Ath. 9.369a, citing Apollas/Apellas On the Cities in the Peloponnese fr. 3, FHG iv. 307 - presumably the ultimate source of the material in Hesychius as well - offers $\gamma$ aotépas instead. But Hsch. $\gamma 193$ then goes on to offer $\eta$ ŋ крá $\mu \beta \eta$ ("or cabbage") as an alternative gloss of $\gamma$ á $\sigma \tau \rho a$, and the latter definition is supported by Nic. fr. 132 ap . Ath. 9.369a (ignored) $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha ̀ ~ B o t \omega \tau o i ̃ \varsigma ~ \gamma a \sigma \tau \varepsilon ́ p a c ~ 11 ~ o ́ v o \mu a ́ \zeta \varepsilon \sigma \theta a ı ~ \tau a ̀ \varsigma ~ к \rho a ́ \mu \beta a \varsigma ~(" t h e ~$ Boeotians call cabbages gasteres"). This is thus an ancient philological muddle which is beyond our capacity to clarify completely. yaб̃ $\rho a i \alpha$ is not an ancient variant, and the lemma should be struck. $\pi \nu \rho \gamma a ́ \sigma \tau \rho \eta \nu$ (apparently "a fire-pot"; omitted) is the reading of $M$ (i.e. the Mediceus, the archetype of all surviving copies of the text) at Aen.Tact. $4.2,{ }^{12}$ where the precise sense is difficult but burning and fire-signals are both in question. үá $\sigma \tau \rho \eta \nu$ ( " үá $\sigma \tau \rho \alpha$ ), a simplification that only makes the sense more obscure, is not a "v.l." there but an attempt at correction and has no authority.
 Suda $\gamma$ 96) and Eustathius at one point, followed by the Dictionary, as referring to a woman "who fills the village with shouting" (= adesp. com. fr. *194). But Eustathius at another point (p. $1921.65=$ adesp. com. fr. ${ }^{*} 224$; ignored) - seemingly drawing on Suetonius - suggests that the word actually refers to a prostitute, either because she advertises her services loudly and publicly or because she is notorious, i.e. widely spoken of, on a local level. This is more pointed and would explain the feminine form of the word. Cf. Cratin. fr. 411 áv $\varepsilon \xi \iota \kappa \omega ́ \mu \eta$ ( $a p$. Hsch. a 4902), which Lobeck took to mean "a whore who supports an entire village" (róp-


For $\gamma \varepsilon เ \sigma \eta \pi \mathbf{o} \boldsymbol{\delta} \zeta \omega$ (glossed "provide the cornice with support", i.e. "equip with
 $\mu \dot{\mu}$ [val], an expanded and improved version of $I G I I^{2}$ 463. S.vv. үعıбض́тous (l. 51), $\gamma \varepsilon \tau \pi(\sigma)$ ov (ll. 51, 54, 72) and $\gamma \varepsilon เ \sigma \eta \pi o ́ \delta t \sigma \mu \alpha$ (ll. 63, 114) ought also to refer to the same inscription. ${ }^{13}$ Despite "etc.", the only use of $\boldsymbol{\gamma \varepsilon \tau ̃ \sigma o v ~ t o ~ r e f e r ~ t o ~ t h e ~ f r i n g e ~ o f ~ a ~}$ garment appears to be Ar. fr. 802 (a metaphorical or extended use of the word that was taken literally by the lexicographers?). Nothing in Poll. 1.76 đò $\delta \dot{\varepsilon} \pi \rho o u ̃ \chi o \nu ~ \tau o u ̃ ~$ úлعpӨupiou $\gamma \varepsilon$ ฮ̃бov кaì $\gamma \varepsilon เ \sigma \omega ́ \mu a \tau \alpha$ ("the outward jutting portion of the lintel is the geisôn or geisômata") or anywhere else in the ancient sources suggests that

11 Thus $D^{s}$ B $Q^{s}$ M P Eust. Mus (i.e. the Byzantine philological tradition), combining A's problematic үaбtéas with the Epitome's $\gamma$ d́ $\sigma \tau \rho a \varsigma$. Pace the Dictionary, $\gamma \alpha \sigma \tau \rho \varepsilon ́ \rho a \varsigma ~ i s ~ n o t ~ a n ~ a n c i e n t ~$ variant. Cunningham in the apparatus to Hsch. $\gamma 193$ seems confused on this point as well.
12 Actually $\pi \nu \rho \gamma \alpha \sigma \tau \rho \eta \dot{\eta} \nu$ for $\pi \cup \rho \gamma a ́ \sigma \tau \rho \eta \nu$, $\eta \nu$ (Wünsch), reflecting the scribe's inability to make adequate sense of his exemplar.
13 Note in the same inscription áкроүعiбьov (1.64) and áлоүعíбшбıৎ (1. 71) (both omitted, although already included in $D G E$ ).
$\boldsymbol{\gamma} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \boldsymbol{\sigma} \omega \boldsymbol{\omega} \boldsymbol{\mu} \boldsymbol{\alpha}$ means "penthouse" (i.e. an apartment on the roof or top floor of a building).

Plin. Nat. 24.164 reports in regard to the plant he calls gelotophyllis, i.e. $\gamma \varepsilon \lambda \omega \tau o ́ \varphi \cup \lambda \lambda$ ıs (lit. "laughter-leaf"): haec si bibatur cum murra et vino, varias obversari species ridendique finem non fieri nisi potis nucleis pineae nucis cum pipere et melle in vino palmeo ("if this is drunk together with myrrh and wine, no end can be put to seeing various visions and to laughing, until one consumes pinenuts combined with pepper and honey in palm wine"). LSJ s.v. (followed by DGE and the Dictionary) takes the reference to be to Cannabis sativa, i.e. marijuana. If so, Pliny or his source has garbled the description of how the drug was consumed. But this section of the Natural History is dedicated to fantastic, exotic plants of all sorts, and it is probably better not to take the description seriously, particularly since Greek had a well-established word for hemp, кávvaßıs.

Hdt. 4.26.2 reports that $Ө$ voía $\mu \varepsilon \gamma \alpha ́ \lambda \alpha \varsigma ~ \varepsilon ́ \pi \varepsilon \tau \varepsilon i ́ o u s ~(" g r e a t ~ s a c r i f i c e s ~ h e l d ~$ annually") were celebrated among the Issedones (a Thracian tribe) after a man's father died, and that $\pi \alpha i ̃ ৎ ~ \delta غ ̀ ~ \pi \alpha \tau \rho i ̀ ~ \tau o u ̃ \tau o ~ \pi o t \varepsilon ́ \varepsilon เ, ~ \kappa \alpha \tau \alpha ́ ~ \pi \varepsilon \rho ~ " E \lambda \lambda \eta \nu \varepsilon \varsigma ~ \tau \alpha ̀ ~ \gamma \varepsilon v \varepsilon ́ \sigma t a ~(" a ~$ son does this in honor of his father, in the same fashion that the Greeks celebrate their genesia"). According to [Ammon.] Diff. 116, the clearest and most comprehensive discussion of the point (note also Phryn. ecl. 75; Synag. $\gamma 24=$ Suda $\gamma 133$ ),
 $\tau \alpha \iota ~ a u ́ \tau o u ̃ ~ \tau \alpha ̀ ~ \gamma \varepsilon v \varepsilon ́ \sigma ı a ~(" g e n e s i a ~ a r e ~ c e l e b r a t e d ~ i n ~ h o n o r ~ o f ~ t h e ~ d e a d ; ~ o n ~ w h a t e v e r ~$ day an individual dies, on that day his genesia are celebrated"). The ritual among the Issedones - as well as among the Athenians - thus took place not on "the anniversary (of the birth) of the dead", but on the anniversary of the deceased's death; cf. CAL s.v. 1 "celebration of the anniversary of a parent's death". Only later on and incorrectly, from the lexicographers’ point of view - did $\gamma \varepsilon v \varepsilon ́ \sigma \iota a$ come to mean "birthday celebration" (properly $\tau \dot{\alpha} \gamma \varepsilon v \varepsilon ́ \theta \lambda \iota \alpha)$.
 $\varepsilon$ เó $\omega$ ) but "sprout a beard, get a beard" (of a young man just reaching maturity, as at Theoc. 11.9; cf. CGL s.v. $\gamma \varepsilon v \varepsilon$ cá $\sigma \delta \omega$ "begin to grow one's first beard"); the verb does not in any case mean "become male name" (sic). ${ }^{14} \boldsymbol{\gamma}$ عvéáasts at Plot. 4.3.13 (describing various processes of growth and development, each in its own season) likewise means not "growing a beard" but "the acquisition of a beard", i. e. growing one's first beard.

The normal Greek words for "uncle" (both maternal and paternal) are $\theta \varepsilon \tau ̃$ and the less well-attested vévvoç (a Lallwort, i.e. baby-talk). $\gamma$ évvaç is attested in this sense only at Hsch. $\gamma 355$ (glossed $\mu \eta \tau \rho o ̀ \varsigma ~ a ́ d \varepsilon \lambda \varphi$ ¢óৎ, "mother's brother"). Latte (who brackets the entry) and Cunningham (who obelizes it) are following

14
This strange error and others like it elsewhere in the Dictionary appear to be the product of a clumsily executed universal search-and-replace (presumably in connection with personal names that were first glossed "a man" and then altered to the more appropriate "male name").

Nauck, ${ }^{15}$ who saw that the entry was a corrupt doublet of $v 301$ that was moved here to restore alphabetical order. The lemma should be deleted.
 Galilee; thus NT Luke 5:1 т $̀ \nu ~ \lambda i ́ \mu \nu \eta \nu ~ Г \varepsilon \nu \nu \eta \sigma a \rho \varepsilon ́ \tau ~(o m i t t e d) . ~ A t ~ N T ~ M a r k ~ 6: 52 ~$
 text cited), on the other hand, this is not the lake itself but a name for a portion of its shore.

The precise sense of yévza (glossed "innards, intestines") at Nic. Al. 62 Boòs $\nu \varepsilon ́ \alpha ~ \gamma \varepsilon ́ v \tau \alpha ~ \pi \varepsilon \rho เ \varphi \lambda i ́ o v \tau о \varsigma ~ \alpha ̉ \lambda о เ \varphi n ̃ ~(" f r e s h ~ g e n t a ~ o f ~ a ~ c o w ~ a l m o s t ~ b u r s t i n g ~ w i t h ~ f a t "), ~$
 frr. $322 \gamma \varepsilon ́ v \tau \alpha \beta 0 \tilde{\nu} \nu \mu \varepsilon ́ \lambda \delta o v \tau \varepsilon \varsigma$ ("stewing genta of a cow"); 530 ("you might partake of genta") is uncertain. But Ael.Dion. $\gamma 6^{*}$ glosses the word $\Theta \rho a ̨ \kappa ı \sigma \tau i ̀ ~ \tau \alpha ̀ ~ к \rho \varepsilon ́ a ~$ ("Thracian for 'chunks of meat’"); Hsch. $\gamma 377$ offers кр $\varepsilon$ a, $\sigma \pi \lambda \alpha ́ \gamma \chi \nu \alpha$ ("chunks of meat, entrails"); Suda $\gamma 155$ suggests $\tau \dot{\alpha} \mu \varepsilon ́ \lambda \eta \eta$ ("the limbs [sc. of the animal]"); and the scholia to Nicander suggest кр $\alpha, \tau \alpha$ ("chunks of meat") and $\tau \alpha \dot{\alpha} \mu \varepsilon ́ \lambda \eta$ ("the limbs"), all of which leaves no doubt that "bits of sacrificial meat" is the preferred ancient explanation of the word. That sense that would fit both Nicander's repeated reference to how fat the animal is (much less relevant in reference to entrails) and the style of cooking mentioned by Callimachus (since entrails were roasted on a spit, whereas sacrificial meat was normally boiled in a cauldron).
$\boldsymbol{\gamma} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \boldsymbol{\tau} \mathbf{i} \boldsymbol{\lambda} \mathbf{\iota o}$ (taken over direct from Latin gentilis) is late and rare in Greek literature. But Johannes Chrystostomus fr.Ier. 9.26, LXVI 860.8 Migne (late $4^{\text {th }} /$ early $5^{\text {th }}$ century CE) uses the word to refer to "Saracens" - i.e. Arabs - oĭ $\varepsilon$ íбıv oi vũv $\lambda \varepsilon \gamma o ́ \mu \varepsilon v o l ~ \gamma \varepsilon v \tau i ́ \lambda ı o l$ ("who are those now referred to as gentilioi") in a way that suggests that its sense was obvious for an early Byzantine Christian audience in Constantinople, and it is also attested in an inscription from Syria from the very beginning of the $3^{\text {rd }}$ century CE (PPUAES IIIA 2,223.3).
 (Th. 4.119.1) and Troezen (Caryst. fr. 13, FHG iv.358-359), but also at Kalauria (on the Saronic Gulf) (IG IV 841.12; late $3^{\text {rd }}$ century BC) and on the island of Kos (e.g. Iscr. di Kos ED 45.41, early $2^{\text {nd }}$ century BC (a late winter month); 216.11 (ca. 220 BC); SEG XLVIII 1089bis.1-2 (ca. 150-100 BC)).

Neuter plural үع́ppa is glossed "tortoise formation, protective formation of



 and gerra and ladders ready, he was preparing to make an attempt on the city by
 $\tau \tilde{\omega} \nu \pi \cup ́ p \gamma \omega \nu \tau \tilde{\omega} \nu \xi \cup \lambda i v \omega \nu$ кatєкаúӨn ("and a certain portion of the gerra close to

[^4]the walls and one of the wooden towers were destroyed by fire"). In each case, the word actually refers to wicker screens set up to protect troops attacking a wall from missiles. Cf. LSJ s.v. (citing the same three passages and claiming that the term is equivalent to $\gamma \varepsilon \rho \rho о \chi \varepsilon \lambda \omega \dot{\nu} \eta$, for which see below). At D. 18.169, in response to the seizure of Elateia by Philip II of Macedon, the okqvai ("stalls" vel sim.) in Athens' agora are cleared of the people in them and $\tau \alpha$ ү $\gamma$ ह́ppa (here glossed "boothes, barriers in the marketplace") are burned, seemingly as a fire-signal to call citizens in from the countryside; the first definition of the word is thus correct there. At [D.] 59.90, on the other hand, barriers or screens of some kind (= the second definition) are in question, but the location is a courtroom, where $\gamma \varepsilon ́ \rho \rho a$ are used to separate jurors from spectators before ballots have been cast. For үع́ppa Ná $\nprec \alpha$ used in an obscure sexual sense, read not "Epich. fr. 235" (= the old Kaibel number), but "Epich. fr. 226".

The detailed instructions for constructing a $\gamma \varepsilon \rho \rho \circ \chi \varepsilon \lambda \omega \dot{\omega} \boldsymbol{\nu}$ (glossed "tortoise formation, cover formed of shields"; cf. DGE s.v. "testudo o mantelete formado por escudos de mimbre") ${ }^{16}$ at Philo Mechanicus, Parasceuastica p. 99.29-37 make it clear that this is actually a large wickerwork shelter, wrapped in hides and reinforced with lengths of wood on the inside, which a group of men could get inside and carry forward with them to provide protection from missiles being hurled at them from the front and above. Cf. above on үéppa.

Whatever a $\gamma \boldsymbol{\eta} \theta u \lambda \lambda i ́ s$ is, it can be stewed (Eub. fr. 88.3), and the head can grow as big as a turnip or a large radish (Polemon fr. 36). The ancient authorities most of them collected at Ath. $9.371 \mathrm{e}-2 \mathrm{~b}$ or dependent on that passage or its sources - are thus most likely right that this is not a "chive" but a leek of some perhaps primordial sort. Cognate $\boldsymbol{\gamma} \boldsymbol{\eta} \theta \boldsymbol{\theta} \boldsymbol{\nu} \boldsymbol{v}=\boldsymbol{\gamma} \boldsymbol{\eta} \boldsymbol{\tau} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \boldsymbol{\iota} \boldsymbol{o v}$ is glossed "onion" with reference to Ar.
 with a garlic-like character") and Phryn. Com. fr. 12 (merely a notice that the poet used the word). The clearest description of the plant is Thphr. HP 7.4.10, which
 $\mu \alpha к \rho o ̀ v ~ \varepsilon ̌ \chi o v ~(" t h e ~ s o-c a l l e d ~ g e ̂ t e i o n ~ l a c k s ~ a ~ h e a d, ~ b u t ~ h a s ~ a ~ l o n g ~ n e c k, ~ a s ~ i t ~ w e r e "), ~$ ruling out identification with what we would call an onion; hence the appropriately far more cautious LSJ s.v. $\gamma \dot{\eta} \tau \varepsilon เ \circ \nu$ (an onion variety; followed by $D G E$ s.v. $\gamma \eta ́ \theta$ vov, although confusingly not s.v. $\gamma \eta \dot{\eta} \tau \varepsilon เ \circ v$ ); Arnott on Alex. fr. 132.7 n. 2 ("a now unidentifiable and possibly lost variety of onion") with further discussion and bibliography. ${ }^{17}$

[^5]For the lemma $\gamma$ ńpavis (glossed "senescence") at Arist. Metaph. $1065^{\text {b }} 20$; Ph. 201¹9, read $\gamma \dot{\text { ńpavбıऽ. }}$
$\gamma \eta \rho a ́ \sigma a c ̧$ лó $\delta \alpha$ at Phil. AP 6.94 .7 (commemorating a set of dedications to Rhea by one of her devotees) is neither an external accusative (translated "having aged his foot, i.e. now that his foot has grown old") nor an internal one (offered as an alternative explanation of the phrase, although without a gloss), but an accusative of respect, "having grown old in respect to his foot", i.e. "having grown too old to dance".

Whatever $\gamma \lambda \alpha \mu \nu \rho o ́ s ~ m e a n s ~ a t ~ S . ~ f r . ~ 396 ~ \tau o v ̀ s ~ \gamma \lambda \alpha \mu \nu \rho o v ̀ \varsigma ~ \kappa \alpha \tau \alpha ̀ ~ \varphi о \rho \beta a ́ v ~(" g l a-~$ myros in their feeding"), the sources that cite the verse say that it is used in reference to birds, effectively ruling out the translation "bleary" there. The lexicographers in any case insist that the adjective - applied a number of times to eyes in Hippocrates - means not ~ "blurred" but "moist" (ủppós or हैvuүpos), i.e. "runny, full of discharge". Wagner suggested that what was wanted in Sophocles was $\lambda \alpha \mu v$ poús ("gluttonous"). But EM p. 232.44-45 adds the comment $\gamma \lambda \alpha \mu \nu \rho o u ̀ s ~ \varepsilon ́ v u \gamma p o \beta i ́-~$ ous ("glamyrous: that live in moist places"), and while there is no specific reference to Sophocles there, the easiest interpretation of the use of the masculine accusative plural in the note is that this was a originally a gloss on that verse, and that "glamyros in their feeding" is an elaborate poetic way of saying "that seek their food in the marshes" vel sim.

Glaucoma and cataracts are entirely different phenomena, and $\gamma \lambda \alpha u ́ \kappa \omega \sigma t \varsigma$ (glossed "blindness from glaucoma") at Hp. Aph. 3.31, $\boldsymbol{\lambda} \boldsymbol{\alpha} \boldsymbol{\alpha} \kappa$ ó $\omega$ (glossed "suffer from glaucoma") at Hp. Prorrh. 2.20, and $\gamma \lambda \boldsymbol{\alpha}$ ќк $\omega \mu \boldsymbol{\alpha}$ (glossed "glaucoma, cataract") at Arist. GA 708 ${ }^{\text {a }} 17$, are all almost certainly references to cataracts - which are readily visible as a grayish mass, i.e. a $\gamma \lambda \alpha u ́ \kappa \omega \mu \alpha$, in the eye - rather than to glaucoma.
$\gamma \lambda \alpha u v o ́ s ~(a ~ h a p a x) ~ i s ~ t r a c e d ~ t o ~ P o l l . ~ 7.48 ~(i n ~ a ~ d i s c u s s i o n ~ o f ~ w o r d s ~ f o r ~ c l o t h-~$ ing) and glossed "type of tunic". The history of the word - if that is what it is - is complex. Lederlin and Hemsterhuis (1706) printed kaì $\gamma \lambda a u ̃ v o \varsigma ~(t h u s ~ a c c e n t e d), ~$ with a note citing Jungermann (d. 1610) to the effect that he found this reading in an unspecified manuscript (perhaps Poll. ${ }^{\text {C }}$ ), although the same hand - implicitly the first - had written кعpauvós above, seemingly as a variant or correction. Jungermann then observed that he saw little difference between the readings, in that neither was known to him as a type of clothing. Lederlin and Hemsterhuis also note that Poll. ${ }^{\mathrm{F}}$ has кعрauvós. Bekker (1846) printed kaì $\gamma \lambda$ auvóৎ (now thus accented) without comment. Bethe, on the other hand, prints кعpauvós and cites as
 and looks like a manuscript error, making this a ghost word.
$\gamma \lambda$ otós 1 (a noun) is glossed "greasy dirt, fat, filth left on baths", with refer-

 same passages. In Semonides, the sense is in fact "just like an eel down into the
muck＂（sc．at the bottom of a river or the like；cf．CGL s．v． 1 ＂slime＂）．Teles，on the other hand，is describing the extraordinarily simple lifestyle of the Cynic philo－
 $\grave{\eta} \lambda \varepsilon$ í $\psi a \tau 0$（＂if he needed to anoint himself with oil，he would go into the bathhouse and anoint himself with the gloios＂）；$\Sigma^{\mathrm{V}} \mathrm{Ar} . \mathrm{Nu} .449 \sim$ Suda $\gamma 306$ عï $\lambda \eta \pi \tau \alpha \mathrm{L}$ ס $\dot{\varepsilon} \dot{\eta} \mu \varepsilon \tau \alpha-$
 ठเо入ıのӨáveเข тદ́ழuкє（＂the metaphor is taken from the oil that congeals in the bathhouses，which naturally slips through the fingers of those applying it to them－ selves＂）makes it clear that the reference is not to＂greasy dirt＂，＂filth＂or the like， but to cakes of spilled oil（ $\sim D G E$＂aceite que se echaba en el baño＂），making Crates’ frugal behavior comprehensible，if not necessarily appealing to an ordinary per－ son．$\gamma \lambda$ olós at Ar．Nu． 449 －treated as an adjective（＝$\gamma \lambda$ otós 2），following LSJ and DGE，and glossed＂slimy，slippery，elusive＂－is one in a long string of colorful， seemingly colloquial abusive terms used to describe an unscrupulous rascal．All the other words are nouns，and $\gamma \lambda 0$ oós thus most likely is as well；cf．colloquial English＂slime－ball＂．This is thus better treated as a figurative use of $\gamma \lambda$ otós 1，as in CGL s．v．2．The dubious standing of $\gamma \lambda$ ooós 2 （the adjective）is further apparent in the fact that the only other examples offered are（1）Stud．Pal．XX $15.9=C P R$ I 27．9， where the word is no longer read（although LSJ Supplement fails to withdraw the reference）；（2）Hsch．$\gamma 657 \gamma \lambda$ otós $v \omega \theta$ pós．á $\sigma \theta \varepsilon v \eta ́ \varsigma ~(" g l o i o s: ~ s l u g g i s h, ~ w e a k " ; ~ a l s o ~$ cited by LSJ s．v．），which seems to be connected to the use of the adverb in Hippo－ crates／Galen（see above s．v． $\boldsymbol{\lambda} \mathbf{\lambda o t a ́ \zeta ( \omega ) , ~ b u t ~ i s ~ u n r e l a t e d ~ t o ~ t h e ~ s u p p o s e d ~ s e n s e ~ o f ~ t h e ~}$ word in Clouds；（3）Suda $\gamma 306$（also cited in LSJ s．v．），which is merely another version of $\Sigma^{\mathrm{V}}$ on the line in Aristophanes and does not in any case identify the word as an adjective．${ }^{18}$
［Gal．］XIV．793．12 K．distinguishes between úSpé入aıov，oivéخaıov and $\gamma \lambda$ uк $\varepsilon$ дatov．The first two words are rightly defined＂a mixture of water and oil＂ and＂wine mixed with oil＂，respectively，and the latter patently means not＂sweet oil＂（thus also LSJ s．v．）but＂oil mixed with grape syrup／must（i．e．$\gamma \lambda u \kappa u ́ c / \gamma \lambda \varepsilon \tilde{v}-$ коৎ）＂．Cf．ó $\xi$ ह́ $\lambda \alpha$ oov（＂vinegar mixed with oil＂）at Gal．XIII．397．6 K．（contrasted with


The word Zonaras and others use for lotos is not $\gamma \lambda$ икикá $\lambda \alpha \mu \boldsymbol{\nu}$ but $\gamma \lambda$ ико－ к $\dot{\lambda} \lambda \alpha \mu \nu \nu$（omitted）；cf．Gal．XIX．727．10 K．（taken by DGE s．v．to be a reference to sugar cane）．
óそús is＂sour＂，not＂bitter＂－entirely different tastes，although English－speakers in particular have difficulty telling the two apart ${ }^{19}$－and $\gamma \lambda \boldsymbol{\nu}$ кúo $\xi u s$ is thus＂sweet－ and－sour＂（cf．CGL s．v．＂sweet and sharp＂）but not＂bittersweet＂（＝$\gamma \lambda$ ики́лเкрос）．

[^6]Marc. Ant. 4.33 does not use $\gamma \lambda \boldsymbol{\omega} \sigma \sigma \eta \mu \boldsymbol{\alpha}$ to mean precisely "antiquated or obsolete word", but instead asserts ai $\pi \alpha ́ \lambda \alpha \iota ~ \sigma u v \eta ́ \theta \varepsilon ı \varsigma ~ \lambda \varepsilon ́ \xi \varepsilon ı \varsigma ~ \gamma \lambda \omega \sigma \sigma \eta ́ \mu \alpha \tau \alpha ~ v u ̃ v ~$ ("words that were long ago in common use are now glôssêmata", i.e. "glosses" meaning "terms that are glossed for the convenience of readers"). So too $\gamma \lambda \omega \sigma \sigma \eta \mu \alpha \tau \iota \kappa$ ós as a stylistic term does not mean "embellished with antiquated or strange expressions" but instead regularly modifies forms of ővoua (e.g. Gal. XVIIIa.414.16 K.; D.H. Lys. 3) and means "that require glossing, that require explanation", while a $\gamma \lambda \omega \sigma \sigma 0 \gamma \rho a ́ \varphi o s$ is someone who produces "glosses" or notes of this sort.

The medical problem with a horse's tongue for which advice is offered at Hippiatr. $130.138 \pi \rho o ̀ \varsigma ~ \gamma \lambda \omega \sigma \sigma i \delta \alpha$ is not second-declension $\gamma \lambda \omega \sigma \sigma \dot{\delta} \mathbf{\delta o s ~ - o v , ~ o ́ , ~ b u t ~}$ third-declension $\gamma \lambda \omega \sigma \sigma i \varsigma-i ́ \delta o \varsigma, ~ \grave{~}$.

The concrete use of $\gamma \nu \omega \dot{\mu} \omega \nu$ to mean "carpenter's square" ${ }^{20}$ (also $\gamma \omega \nu \boldsymbol{\nu} \boldsymbol{\alpha}$ ) is attested first not at Polyaen. 4.3.21 (thus LSJ s.v., from which the reference seems to have been lifted) but already at Thgn. 805 тópvou кaì $\sigma \tau \alpha ́ \theta \mu \eta \varsigma$ каì $\gamma \nu \omega \dot{\mu}$ оvos ("compass and plumb-line and carpenter's square"), and the sense "expert" (add S. fr. 1038) is presumably an extension of this sense of the word.
$\gamma \nu \omega \sigma \tau$ ós is glossed (meaning B) "able to know, capable of knowing" with refe-
 second important tree in the Garden of Eden, along with the tree of eternal life), but the last four words in the phrase are then translated "the knowledge of good and evil". If this is the intended sense (as in the original Hebrew הדעת ועץ ורע טוב, followed clumsily in a style typical of the Septuagint translation), the adjective must actually be an odd internal accusative with the infinitive ( $\sim$ "the tree of knowing what is knowable of good and evil").
$\gamma \nu \omega \tau \mathbf{0} \tau$ óvos is an adjective rather than a noun and is not "fratricide" but "fratricidal" - perhaps better "kin-slaying" (see below on $\gamma \nu \omega \tau$ ós) - at Eud. Cypr. 1.39 Kaîvou та入á $\mu \eta \nu \gamma \nu \omega \tau о к \tau$ о́vov ("the hand of fratricidal Cain"). The same is true of $\gamma \nu \omega \tau 0 \varphi$ óvoc, -ov (Nonn. D. 4.463; 26.82) which means not "killer of one's brother ${ }^{21}$, fratricide", but "brother/kinsman-slaying, fratricidal" ${ }^{22} \gamma \nu \omega \tau \mathbf{\sigma} \boldsymbol{\varphi} \nu \tau \iota \varsigma$ (Lyc. 1318), on the other hand, is a noun.

[^7] blood"; "kinsman, brother or sister" is a substantive use of the word (= o $\gamma \nu \omega \tau$ òs $\alpha ̋ v \theta \rho \omega \pi \sigma \varsigma / \eta \dot{\eta} \gamma \nu \omega \tau \grave{\eta} \alpha ̋ v \theta \rho \omega \pi \sigma \varsigma)$. LSJ s.v. features the same confusion. ${ }^{23}$

үоирเóסouлоৎ (attested only at Maec. AP 6.233 .1 and in a note on that line at Suda $\gamma 373$ ) is glossed "that squeals between the teeth, of a bite". But a $\delta \mathbf{\delta o u ̃ \pi o c ~ i s ~ a ~}$ dull, hollow sound ( $\sim$ "thud, clunk"); the object in question is a bit in a horse's mouth; and the word means something more like "that clatters between the molars".

The $\gamma$ ó $\mu \varphi$ ot Odysseus uses to construct his raft on Calypo's island at Od. 5.248 are patently "pegs of wood", rather than "of iron" or "bolts", not only because the text makes it clear that the hero produces all his own building material, but also because pegs - i.e. trenails - were routinely used for naval architecture and especially for hulls, since not only do they not corrode but they also expand when wet and thus make the join between the boards or timbers tighter; cf. A. Supp. 440 $\gamma \varepsilon \gamma$ о́ $\varphi \omega \tau \alpha \iota$ бкá $\varphi$ o̧; Hdt. 2.96.2; Plu. Mor.321d (building ships involves both $\gamma o ́ \mu \varphi \omega \mu \alpha$, "peg-work" - not simply "pegs" - and $\tilde{\eta} \lambda o l$, "nails"); ${ }^{24}$ AP 9.31 .1 (shipwrights as $\gamma о \mu \varphi \omega \tau \tilde{\eta} \rho \varepsilon \varsigma$, which has a more specific technical sense than "carpen-
 bound together by gomphoi") must thus also mean "bound with wooden pins" (i.e. "held together with wooden pegs"; cf. CGL s.v.) rather than "nailed". Hesiod's plow at $O p .431$ is similarly put together with wooden pegs rather than with iron bolts (see West 1978 on 430); ${ }^{25}$ Empedocles' Aphrodite uses not "bonds, chains", but a much more effective construction technique, үó $\mu \varphi$ оьऽ ... катабтópүoıs ("pegs of
 $\pi$ л́ $\xi$ means not "the rivet has been driven all the way home" (thus approximately also LSJ s.v. $\dot{\varepsilon} \varphi \eta \lambda$ ó $\omega$ ) but "the peg has been fixed securely in place". The cognate adjective $\gamma \boldsymbol{\gamma} \mu \varphi \boldsymbol{\omega}$ 七кќs is accordingly not "of joining with nails", but "having to do with pegging", i.e. "joining", a type of carpentry, as at Pl. Plt. 280d $\tau \alpha{ }_{\alpha} \varsigma \tau \tilde{\omega} \nu \theta 0 \rho \omega-$
 doorways, regarded as part of the craft of construction with үó $\mu \varphi о$ "). Cf. үо $\boldsymbol{\varphi}$ ó $\omega$ at Ar. Eq. 464 (where the language of carpentry is used figuratively of political conspiracy) $\gamma о \mu \varphi о$ ú $\mu \varepsilon v^{\prime}$ aủ đò ла́v $\tau \alpha$ каі̀ ко $\lambda \lambda \omega \dot{\mu} \mu \varepsilon v \alpha$ ("all these matters are being pegged and glued together"). ${ }^{26}$

23 For $\gamma \nu \omega \tau$ ós - $\eta$-óv 1 in the sense "well-known, familiar", the reference should be not to "S. fr. 282 " (as also in LSJ s.v.), but to S. fr. 282.2. The further specification "cf. $\gamma \nu \omega \tau$ ós" seems to be a remnant of some earlier lemmatization and should be deleted.
 $\lambda u \tau \alpha ́ \tau \varepsilon$ кaì $\gamma о \mu \varphi \omega \tau \alpha \dot{d}$ is that the boats in question were constructed with no nails at all, but with only pegs and dowels, so that they could be more easily taken apart, transported over dry land, and then reassembled.
25 M. L. West (ed.), Hesiod. Works and Days (Oxford 1978) on 430.
26 Modern American house construction in particular relies heavily on nails as a primary means of fastening, and nails are also necessary for some building techniques long and widely used in

While yová $\boldsymbol{\tau}$ ıov at Orib． 48.66 （＝Heliodorus）； 49.35 （＝Rufus）is formally a diminutive of yóvu（＂knee＂），it certainly does not mean＂small knee＂in either pas－ sage；perhaps＂the knee area＂，allowing Philo Judaeus Vit．Cont． 51 to speak of gar－ ments that hang $\tau \alpha \dot{\alpha} \mu \varepsilon ̀ v ~ \varepsilon ́ \mu \pi \rho o ́ \sigma \theta ı \alpha ~ к а \tau \omega \tau \varepsilon ́ \rho \omega ~ \tau \omega ̃ \nu ~ ن ́ \pi o ̀ ~ \gamma o ́ v o v, ~ \tau \alpha ̀ ~ \delta غ ̀ ~ к а \tau o ́ \pi เ \nu ~$ $\mu$ ккрòv ט́tò $\tau$ oĩ̧ yovatiols（＂lower than the knee in front，but in back just a bit below the gonatioi＂）．
yovoct $\delta$ そ́s is not « үóvцuos but « үóvos in the sense＂semen＂．
yovóppota was a recognized medical condition that involved－as the name itself makes clear－unwanted genital emissions of some sort．But the word does not mean＂gonorrhea＂in the modern sense of the word，which refers to a disease that is notably absent from the ancient medical record and instead appears to be first attested clinically at the very end of the $16^{\text {th }}$ century．${ }^{27}$ The same correction applies to s．vv．yovoppoïkós and yovoppuńs（both glossed＂suffering from gonor－ rhea＂）．${ }^{28}$
ò Гovúл $\boldsymbol{\sigma} \boldsymbol{\sigma} \boldsymbol{\zeta}$（＂the Kneeler＂，whatever that may signify）is the nickname of a grammarian named Demetrius who worked on Homer．The word is otherwise unattested and does not mean＂hassock＂．

The mountains referenced at Plu．Alex． 31.10 are not the 「op日vaĩa（obscure－ ly lemmatized ГopӨvaí $\omega \nu$ ，ő $\eta \eta$ ，$\tau$ á and glossed＂mountains of the Gortyaioi（sic）＂）， but the Гop $\delta$ vaĩa range（mentioned also at e．g．Str．2．1．26；11．12．4；St．Byz．$\gamma$ 101）．

Modern＂gorilla＂comes direct from Hanno’s 「ópı $\lambda \lambda$ at（thus also LSJ）or Гopí $\lambda \lambda$ at（thus $D G E$ ）and is said by him to be the local term for a tribe of hairy African women；the name was awarded to a specific kind of ape in the mid－19 ${ }^{\text {th }}$ century．Despite LSJ s．v．＂name of a tribe of hairy women（but prob．gorilla）＂，there is no way of knowing precisely what sort of creature Hanno－who claims that three pelts were brought back to Carthage－is referring to，or if he or whoever is impersonating him is simply making the entire story up．
yориía－better lemmatized as үop甲íov，as in DGE，despite the fact that the word is attested only in the plural（Gp．9．5．12）－is glossed＂sticks for knocking down olives＂．What is actually under discussion in the text is the cutting and plan－ ting of superfluous branches to produce new olive trees by asexual means，and the definition in the Dictionary appears to represent a crude misunderstanding of LSJ s．v．＂stocks from which olive－trees are struck＂，in which＂strike＂has the semi－tech－ nical sense＂propagate via cuttings＂．Cf．DGE s．v．＂esqueje，rampollo，plantón de oli－

[^8]vo". The word is borrowed direct from Aramaic, in which it means "shoot (of an olive tree)".

In at least two of the three passages cited (X. An. 6.3.22; D. 18.260 ${ }^{29}$ ), $\gamma \boldsymbol{\rho} \boldsymbol{a i s t o v}$ is not a diminutive (glossed "little old woman") but a deteriorative ("nasty old woman, worthless old woman" vel sim.), as also at e.g. Ar. Ec. 1000 "You're crazy, graidion!", 1003; Men. Georg. 54 "Shut up, graidion!" In Attic, at least, the word is in fact best understood as trisyllabic (vs. tetrasyllabic $\gamma \rho a i ̂ \delta \iota o v ~ i n ~ L S J ~ a n d ~ D G E) . ~$
ypaṽc is sometimes the "film, skin" that forms on top of a boiling cookpot (Ar.
 pots, the graus is on top", punning on the word in its more common sense "old
 the so-called graus on boiled dishes"), and in an anecdote at Ath. 13.585c scum of a similar sort has formed on milk (although not obviously because it has been boiled). The final point does not suggest that $\gamma \operatorname{\rho at} \zeta \omega$ at Ar. fr. 461 means specifically "to skim, remove the skin, of milk", and Antiatt. $\gamma$ 37, who cites the fragments, in

 which collects in cookpots and forms on top of them; Aristophanes Ploutos"). ${ }^{30}$

The earliest references to $\gamma \boldsymbol{\rho} \mu \mu \mu \boldsymbol{\tau} \varepsilon \boldsymbol{\tau} \alpha$ (glossed "tablets") are at $I G I^{3} 34.15$, $17,32(448 / 7 \mathrm{BC})$, where public records of tribute payments are in question; cf. IG $I^{3} 52.11$ ( $434 / 3 \mathrm{BC}$ ); 138.6 (before 434 BC ) (all omitted). IG I ${ }^{3} 52.11-12$ distinguishes such records from $\pi เ v a ́ k ı \alpha ~(p r e s u m a b l y ~=~ " w h i t e n e d ~ b o a r d s ") . ~ . ~ " ~ T h e ~ \lambda \eta \xi ı a \rho \chi เ \kappa o ̀ v ~$ $\gamma \rho a \mu \mu \alpha \tau \varepsilon \tau 0 \nu$ (not "list of citizens", but "list of deme members") mentioned at e.g. Is. 7.27 is another example of such records. At Ar. Nu. 19-20, by contrast, Strepsiades uses a $\gamma \rho \alpha \mu \mu \alpha \tau \varepsilon \tau \sim v$ to keep track of his personal accounts (omitted, with this sense of the word noted only in Demosthenes a century later). Ar. fr. 163 (also omitted) shows that $\gamma \rho a \mu \mu \alpha \tau \varepsilon \tau ̃ \alpha$ were covered with wax; there are a number of references in the Demosthenic corpus to "opening" them (e.g. D. 45.11-12; [D.] 44.37; 46.2, 5); and there can be little doubt that the object in question is identical to the $\delta \varepsilon \lambda \tau i o v$ $\delta i ́ \pi \tau u \chi o v$ described at Hdt. 7.239.3, the crucial characteristic of which was that it could be closed and sealed to guarantee the security of the contents. See Austin-Olson on Ar. Th. 778-780 for further details and bibliography. ${ }^{32}$ The word is accordingly used only by extension to mean "writing, note, document, contract"; so too the $\gamma \rho a \mu \mu \dot{\alpha} \tau \boldsymbol{\tau} \boldsymbol{o v}$ at Luc. Merc.cond. 36 (a message sent to a woman by her lover, and thus of course secret). The $\gamma \rho a \mu \mu \alpha \tau \varepsilon \tau 0 \nu$ referenced at

[^9]Poll. 9.41 is a "position of scribes" only in the sense that Pollux is discussing the physical parts of a city (ró $\lambda \varepsilon \omega \varsigma ~ \mu \varepsilon ́ \rho \eta), ~ a m o n g ~ w h i c h ~ h e ~ i n c l u d e s ~ s t o a s, ~ r a c e-t r a c k s, ~$ and public offices, including those belonging to $\gamma \rho \alpha \mu \mu \alpha \varepsilon \varepsilon \tau ॅ \varsigma ~ o f ~ v a r i o u s ~ s o r t s, ~ i . e . ~$ the individuals charged with producing and guarding official local $\gamma \rho a \mu \mu \alpha \tau \varepsilon \tau \alpha$, meaning court records, accounts, lists, and the like.
 "teach spelling" but ~ "dictate": Kottalus' father tried to get him to show he had learned something in school, by spelling "Maron", but the boy was a bad student and wrote "Simon" instead.

Poll. 9.98 describes a board game for two players involving five lines ( $\gamma \boldsymbol{\rho} \mu \mu-$ $\mu \alpha i$ ), with the middle line being the iepà $\gamma \rho a \mu \mu \eta$ (lit. "sacred line"), and five moveable pieces ( $\psi \tilde{\eta} \varphi \circ / / \pi \varepsilon \tau \tau \circ$ í) per player. How the game worked - it was not checkers, and the lines do not constitute a "checkerboard", which is merely a vague point of modern comparison - is uncertain. Theoc. 6.18 uses the expression
 of a decisive move". The Greek actually means "she ${ }^{33}$ moves the counter that comes from the line", as if this piece were normally positioned there, and what Galatea is doing is precisely not acting decisively but teasing Polyphemus, who inexplicably refuses to engage with her.

While ypartń $\rho$ at Paul.Sil. AP 6.66.2 äßpoxov d́ $\pi \lambda a v \varepsilon ́ o s ~ \mu o ́ \lambda ı \beta o v ~ \gamma \rho a \pi \tau n ̃ \rho a ~$ $\kappa \varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon \cup \cup \theta o u$ is in apposition to a word that means literally "lead", the reference is to a roller of some sort made of lead, which is used together with a ruler to create lines on the page so that the scribe's writing remains properly aligned. Cf. Jul. Aeg. AP 6.67.1-3, where the same process is described.

The word Eustathius offers meaning "wrinkled" at p.633.56, 634.2 (= II.277.12, 278.9 van der Valk) is in both cases not $\gamma \rho$ á $\pi \tau \eta \varsigma$ but $\gamma \rho a ́ \pi \tau \iota \varsigma$ (easily understood as an error for $\gamma \boldsymbol{\rho}$ á $\tau \varsigma^{34}$ ).

The $\gamma \boldsymbol{p} a \pi \tau$ òv kúp $\beta$ ıv at Achae. TrGF 20 F 19.3-4 has not been "painted"; this is instead a riddling description of a Spartan messenger baton, as the discussion of the lines by Athenaeus (who quotes them at $10.451 \mathrm{c}-\mathrm{d}$ ) makes clear.

The ancient authorities agree that $\gamma \rho a ́ \omega$ in Call. fr. 551 кaì үóvos aiூŋ $\tilde{\nu}$


 word is Cyprian).

Neither Opp. H. 3.80 nor Plu. Mor. 471d suggests that a $\gamma \boldsymbol{\rho} \tilde{\varphi} \varphi \mathbf{\rho}$ (a fishing net of some sort) was "made of rushes".

[^10]At Sotad. fr. 1.3, $\gamma \rho \nu \mu \varepsilon ́ \alpha ~ m e a n s ~ n o t ~ " r u b b i s h, ~ o l d ~ t h i n g " ~ b u t ~ " o d d s ~ a n d ~ e n d s, ~$ spare bits": after a cook roasts the central cut from a $\gamma a \lambda \varepsilon$ ós, he stews $\tau \grave{\eta} \nu . . . ~ \lambda o l-$ $\pi \dot{\eta} \nu ~ \gamma \rho \cup \mu \varepsilon ́ \alpha \nu$. Nor does Poll. 10.160 claim that the word means "sack or basket for
 vouí豸ovotv ("a vessel for storage, what some authorities consider a pouch/wallet").
 тavtoĩa бкยún $̇$ غ́бтív ("the Athenians refer to some sort of pouch/wallet called a grymea, in which items of all kinds are found"), citing Diph. fr. 128 (where no text is preserved) and Sapph. fr. 179 (the same object supposedly referred to as a $\gamma \rho u ́ \tau \eta=\tau \eta ̀ \nu \mu u ́ \rho \omega \nu$ каi $\gamma \cup v a \leqslant \varepsilon \varepsilon i ́ \omega \nu \tau \iota \nu \tilde{\omega} \nu \theta \eta ́ \kappa \eta \nu$, "storage place for perfumes and women's items").
$\gamma \rho u ́ \pi \alpha \mathrm{t}$ is defined at Hsch. $\gamma 953$ not as a "vulture's nest" (following LSJ s.v. ${ }^{35}$ ) but as "vulture chicks" (ai veooolai $\tau \tilde{\omega} \nu \gamma \cup \pi \tilde{\omega} \nu$ ), hence the plural. Cf. below on үútn.

A púns is not a "crossbeam (of a plow)" but the "beam", i.e. the part that extends forward from the share to the pole that connects to the yoke. ${ }^{36}$ See West 1978 on Hes. $O p .427$ (using the term "tree") with an illustration; CGL s.v. 1. The word (more often "field, arable land") is used at S. Ant. 569 áp $\omega \sigma \mu \mathrm{o} ~ \gamma a ̀ \rho ~ \chi a ́ \tau \varepsilon ́ p \omega \nu ~$ عíoìv үúaı ("(Yes,) because others too have fields that can be plowed"; Creon responds to a question about whether he is willing to put his son's fiancee to death) in an extended sense, not with a reference to an otherwise unattested meaning "furrow" extended to the female genitalia, however, but as an echo of the Attic marriage formula $\pi \alpha i ́ \delta \omega \nu$ غ̇ $\pi$ ' á $\rho o ́ \tau \omega\rangle \nu \eta \sigma^{\prime} \omega \nu$ ("for the plowing of legitimate children"; e.g. Men. Dysc. 842 with Handley ad loc. ${ }^{37}$ ).

In classical Athens, $\gamma \boldsymbol{\nu} \boldsymbol{\nu} \boldsymbol{\nu} \sigma \boldsymbol{\alpha} \rho \chi \varepsilon ́ \omega$ (glossed "be head of a gymnasium") refers to a liturgy that involved organizing and paying for athletic competitions, staffing, maintaining, and perhaps renovating gymnasia, and the like; cf. [X.] Ath. 1.13 $\gamma \cup \mu \nu \alpha \sigma \iota \alpha \rho \chi o u ̃ \sigma เ \nu$ oi $\pi \lambda$ oúбเol ("the wealthy serve as gymnasiarchs"; quoted by LSJ s.v.); CGL s.v. "hold the office of gymnasiarch". The verb is attested epigraphically a generation earlier than any of the passages cited, at e.g. IG $\mathrm{I}^{3} 969$ bis a. 3 (before 415 BC ). In other parts of Greece in the Hellenistic period, it and its cognates are used to refer to a magistrate charged with running a gymnasium, setting its rules, enforcing them, and the like; this arrangement is perhaps most well-known from the $2^{\text {nd }}$-cent. BC law from Beroia, for which see the edition with extensive commentary of Gauthier and Hatzopoulos. ${ }^{38}$ the contents of the nest by reference to the nest itself (i.e. "vulture's nest" = "nest full of vulture chicks").
36 Cf. үúov, similarly misdefined "plow crossbeam".
37 E. W. Handley (ed.), The Dyskolos of Menander (Cambridge Mass. 1965).
38 P. Gauthier and M. Hatzopoulos, La loi gymnasiarchique de Beroia (Athens 1993).

A $\gamma \cup \mu \nu$ á $\sigma \iota \nu$ is a "gymnasium", which was a large public complex including e.g. a running track and bathing facilities. It is not a "palaistra", which was simply a wrestling school that might or might not be associated with a $\gamma \cup \mu \nu$ á $\sigma \iota \circ$ and often belonged to an individual trainer (e.g. Pl. Chrm. 153a t $\grave{v}$ v Taupéou $\pi \alpha \lambda \alpha i ́ \sigma \tau \rho \alpha \nu$, "Taureas' wrestling school").
S.v. $\gamma u \mu \nu$ ós, Thrasydaeus of Thebes, who is celebrated in Pindar's $11^{\text {th }}$ Pythian ode, was victorious in the boy's stade race; P. $11.49 \gamma u \mu v o ̀ v ~ . . . ~ \sigma \tau \alpha ́ \delta ı \nu$ thus means not "unarmed race", but "stade-race in which the competitors are naked". ${ }^{39} \gamma \cup \mu \nu$ v́s can mean "without a cloak, not fully clothed" (e.g. Ar. Nu. 497498 and Lys. 150-151, where the point is explicit). But Hes. Op. 390-391 seems to mean that one ought to perform certain agricultural tasks literally "naked"; see West 1978 ad loc. $\gamma$ U $\mu v$ ós at Archil. fr. $265=$ Hsch. $\gamma 1001$ is glossed "without hair, bald, beardless"; what Hesychius actually says the word means there is ávuró $\delta \eta$ -


The $\gamma$ uvaíkıбıৎ with which Inlaw is charged at Ar. Th. 863 is not "womanish behavior or disguise", but "transformation into a woman", as the passage as a whole makes clear: aũӨıৎ aũ үí $\gamma \nu \varepsilon \iota ~ \gamma u v \eta ́, / ~ \pi \rho i ̀ \nu ~ \tau \eta ̃ \varsigma ~ \varepsilon ̇ t \varepsilon ́ p a \varsigma ~ \delta o u ̃ v a ı ~ \gamma u v a ı k i ́ \sigma \varepsilon \omega \varsigma ~$ $\delta i ́ k \eta \nu$; ("Are you turning into a woman again, before paying the penalty for your other gynaikôsis ?").

The earliest attestation of $\gamma \mathbf{u v a} \boldsymbol{\kappa} \omega \boldsymbol{\nu} \boldsymbol{\tau} \tau \iota \varsigma,-\mathbf{- \delta o s}, \dot{\eta}$ (glossed "women's quarters") is Ar. Th. 414 (omitted). The word is lemmatized as a noun (as also in CGL s. v.), but is nonetheless treated as an adjective often used substantively (as in $D G E$ s. v.; LSJ s.v. seemingly treats it as a noun that eventually morphs into an adjective). The simplest explanation of the evidence is that the word is in fact an adjective and should thus be lemmatized as $\gamma \cup v a \iota \kappa \omega \nu \tau \pi \tau \iota \varsigma,-t \delta o \varsigma$, with aú $\lambda \eta$ r routinely supplied. Inter alia, this makes it clear that the "women's quarters" of a house are not a sealed interior space but include open areas of the sort that domestic work of all sorts would require in any case.

The otherwise unattested $\gamma \mathbf{\nu} \boldsymbol{v a}$ ккокобцоя (taken over from LSJ) is neither part of the text nor a manuscript variant at Poll. 8.112 үטvaıкоvó $\mu$ ol $\delta \dot{\varepsilon}$ ápxウ̀ éri
 the vulgate of the text up through Bekker's edition. The lemma should be struck (as rightly in $D G E$ ). үuvaıkovó $\boldsymbol{\mu o s}$ and cognates are common in inscriptions, although not until the Roman period and not in Athens (e.g. IG V,1 209.10 (Sparta, $1^{\text {st }}$ century BC); IC IV 252.2 (Gortyn, ca. 100-50 BC)).

үиvatкот $\rho \boldsymbol{\varphi}$ ท́s means "effeminate" only by extension, the basic sense being "brought up by women" ( $\tau \rho \varepsilon ́ \varphi \omega$ ) and thus "lacking an appropriate training in masculinity". Cf. $\Sigma^{T}$ on $I l .10 .317$ (Dolon was brought up in the company of five

[^11] was a coward and foolhardy").

In the classical period, yúvatov is almost entirely restricted to Attic prose and comedy - [Hippocrates] has it once, at Epid. 5.50 - and looks like a colloquial, familiar equivalent of $\gamma \cup \nu \eta$ ', which similarly can mean both "wife" and "woman". There is no more contempt in the use of the word at And. 1.130; D. 25.57 (where it is glossed "little woman, foolish woman") than there is in any contemporary reference to the foolish things women generically can be expected to do, say, and believe.

Hsch. $\gamma$ 1018-1019 does not define үútn as "vulture’s nest" (taken over direct from LSJ s.v.) but instead glosses it (1) үútac• ка入úßac, каì $\theta a \lambda \alpha ́ \mu \alpha \varsigma . ~ o i ~ \delta غ ̀ ~ \gamma u \pi \tilde{\nu}$
 ("gypai: monks' cells, or dens. Others (take it to mean) vulture chicks, others narrow entrances. Some take it to mean dwelling places beneath the earth, others
 chamber; a secluded spot" ${ }^{40}$ ). Cf. above on $\gamma \rho$ útact.
 s.v. "dancers at Sparta") but that they were stilt-dancers who wore transparent
 $\varphi \alpha \nu \tilde{\eta} \tau \alpha \rho \alpha \nu \tau \iota v i ́ \delta \iota \alpha$ á $\mu \pi \varepsilon \chi o ́ \mu \varepsilon v o \iota$, "gypônes danced mounted on wooden legs, wearing transparent Tarentine garments").

The reference to "Plat. Phil. 690a13" s.v. $\gamma \omega v{ }^{\prime} \alpha$ in the sense "carpenter's square" is a garbled combination of Pl. Phlb. 51c кavóбı кaì $\gamma \omega v$ v́aıs and Arist. PA $690^{\mathrm{a}} 13$ (which uses the word, however, with a different meaning).
 кaì $\mu 0 \chi \lambda \varepsilon$ v́ $\omega$ тà $\pi \varepsilon ́ \tau \rho a \varsigma$ ("whenever I need a gôniaios word, I stand beside this and lever at the rocks") is glossed "difficult to pronounce" (following LSJ s.v. "hard to pronounce"; cf. DGE s.v. "esquinado, difícil de pronunciar"). As Pirrotta ad loc. notes, ${ }^{41}$ this would be an odd and otherwise unexampled sense of the adjective, which is more plausibly taken to mean ~ "serving as a cornerstone" (cf. IG I ${ }^{3}$ 474.19 , from 409 BC ), i.e. "as a basis for my argument".
$\gamma \omega \rho \boldsymbol{\omega}$ ós means "quiver", i.e. a container for arrows, in the three passages
 $\theta \eta \varsigma$, Luc. Herc. 1, one of the items of Heracles' equipment, along with a club and bow). Some later authorities erroneously took it to be a bowcase (e.g. Apollon. p. 56.1 ท่ $\tau 0 \xi 0 \theta$ ŋ́кๆ; thus CGL s.v.). But it nowhere means "scabbard", i.e. a sheath in which to keep a sword or dagger.

[^12]Correspondence:<br>S. Douglas Olson<br>Department of Classical and Near Eastern Religions and Cultures<br>245 Nicholson Hall<br>216 Pillsbury Drive SE<br>Minneapolis, MN 55455<br>USA<br>sdouglasolson@gmail.com


[^0]:    1 R. Renehan, "Some Greek Lexicographical Notes", Glotta 46 (1968) 60-73; "Greek Lexicographical Notes: Second Series", Glotta 47 (1969) 220-234; "Greek Lexicographical Notes: Third Series", Glotta 48 (1970) 93-107; "Greek Lexicographical Notes: Fourth Series", Glotta 49 (1971) 65-85; "Greek Lexicographical Notes: Fifth Series", Glotta 50 (1972) 38-60; "Greek Lexicographical Notes: Sixth Series", Glotta 50 (1972) 156-181. These articles were subsequently collected and combined with further, similar contributions in R. Renehan, Greek Lexicographical Notes: A Critical Supplement to the Greek-English Lexicon of Liddell-Scott-Jones (Hypomnemata Heft 45: Göttingen 1975), with three full pages devoted to words beginning with gamma. See also R. Renehan, Greek Lexicographical Notes, Second Series (Hypomnemata Heft 74: Göttingen 1982), with an additional three full pages devoted to words beginning with gamma. A Revised Supplement by P. G. W. Glare and A. A. Thompson was added to the $9^{\text {th }}$ edition of LSJ in 1996; I refer to it in what follows simply as "LSJ Supple-

[^1]:    ment＂．The new Dictionary seems to take limited account of the seven pages of the LSJ Supplement devoted to gamma，not only ignoring numerous corrections，supplemental citations，and the like，but omitting from the first page alone the following additional lemmata：$\gamma \alpha \gamma \gamma \alpha \lambda \iota \sigma \mu o ́ \varsigma, \gamma \alpha \gamma \gamma \alpha \mu \varepsilon \cup ́ \varsigma, \gamma \alpha \iota ⿱ ⿰ \zh12 \zh1 ⿱ ⿱ 一 口 䒑 亅 八 ~-~$
     $\gamma a \lambda \lambda \varepsilon \omega \rho$（accent unknown），$\gamma \alpha \mu \mu \alpha ́ \tau \iota o v, \gamma \alpha \mu 0 \sigma \tau о \lambda i ́ \eta, \gamma \alpha \nu \alpha \lambda o ́ s, \gamma \alpha v i ́ c$. Most of the words discussed in what follows do not fall under the remit of the Cambridge Greek Lexicon（hereafter CGL），whose coverage of the language ends in the early second century CE and whose scope is generally compa－ rable to that of the old＂middle Liddell＂．
    2 ＂The present collection has arisen，in good part，from a desire to refine my own knowledge of the diction appropriate to each several genre，rather than from a love of lexicography for its own sake．．．．And lest there be any misunderstanding，let me state it plainly．$L S J$ ，the product of genera－ tions of scholarly cooperation and selfless labor，is the most useful aid to Classical Greek lexicogra－ phy ever published．Were anyone to think that these supplements are offered in a spirit of disrespect for that fine work，no one would be more unhappy than I＂［Renehan（1968）8］．
    3 Lexica are products of human hands and human minds，and thus inevitably include both errors and misjudgments．How many of the former in particular ought to be regarded as acceptable in e．g．every ten printed pages in a work of such general intellectual significance，is an interesting question．The obvious－if numerically not very precise－answer would seem to be＂very few＂．
    4 Much more could be said even on this limited section of the text，but I have restricted myself to some particularly interesting points．The comments that follow refer specifically to the English－lang－ uage version of the Dictionary rather than to the Italian original．Tracing the precise genetic rela－ tionship between the two is beyond the scope of this article．

[^2]:    6 Thus also Lampe in the Patristic Greek Lexicon. DGE offers "lactancia", but Clement is clearly referring to the point at which a lamb or human child ceases to be nursed, not when the process begins. LSJ omits the word.
    7 Miscited as "803a31".
    8 Indeed, there are indications that it was originally lemmatized thus, but was then moved to s.v.
     only $\gamma a \lambda a i ̃$, and the gloss belongs with the reference to Aretaeus s.v. $\gamma a \lambda \varepsilon o ́ \varsigma ~(a g a i n ~ g l o s s e d ~ " t a m e ~$ weasel").
    9 Note in particular that the $\mathfrak{\chi} \nexists \mathrm{u} \varsigma \gamma a \lambda \tilde{\eta}$ is specifically said by Aelian to have barbels, as the houndfish does not.

[^3]:    10
    Epich. fr. 27 is preserved in the form ка̉бтакоі $\gamma \alpha \mu \psi \dot{\omega} v \cup \chi o l ~ a t ~ A t h . ~ 3.105 b, ~ b u t ~ D i n d o r f ~ w a s ~$ probably right to conjecture $\gamma \alpha \mu \psi \omega \dot{\omega} \nu \chi \varepsilon \varsigma$.

[^4]:    A. Nauck (ed.), Aristophanis Byzantii fragmenta (Halis 1848) 141 n. 32.

[^5]:    16 The intended sense of LSJ s.v. "penthouse, mantlet" must have been much clearer a century ago, but is in any case apparently intended to communicate something similar.
    17 W. G. Arnott, Alexis: The Fragments. A Commentary (Cambridge Classical Texts and Commentaries 31: Cambridge 1996). Inter alia, Arnott notes that Hort’s "horn-onion", taken over into LSJ s.v. $\gamma$ ŋ́ $\tau \varepsilon เ o v$, "would have been more helpful if he had explained what he meant by a term unknown to dictionaries and horticulturalists".

[^6]:    
    
    
    19 M．OMahony，M．Goldenberg，J．Stedmon and J．Alford，＂Confusion in the use of the taste adjec－ tives＇sour＇and＇bitter＇＂，Chemical Senses 4 （1979）301－318．

[^7]:    20 Glossed "rule" (i.e. "ruler", normally кaváv). But a working carpenter needs a square, and the sense "sundial" probably depends on the resemblance of the blade (properly the gnomon or style), which casts a shadow on the plate (properly the dial), to a $\Gamma$-shaped "square" rather than a simple ruler.
    21 i.e. "killer of one's own brother".
    22 Cf. үоүүроктóvos, -ov, which is not "killer of eels", but "eel-killing"; yovaүpós, which is not "sufferer from gout in the knee", but "suffering from gout in the knee"; $\gamma \mathbf{o v v a \lambda} \lambda \boldsymbol{\eta}($, which is not "sufferer from pain in the knee", but "suffering from pain in the knee".

[^8]:    North America but less common in other places，such as clapboard and shingles．In ancient Greece， such techniques would have been unfeasible，since they would have required an enormous expense not only of valuable metal but of skilled labor，since every nail would have had to be made indivi－ dually by a blacksmith．
    27 See in general R．Flemming，＂（The Wrong King of）Gonorrhea in Antiquity＂，in S．Szreter（ed．）， The Hidden Affliction：Sexually Transmitted Infections and Infertility in History（Rochester 2019）43－ 67.

    28 The cognate verb $\gamma<v o \rho \rho \cup \varepsilon ́ \omega$ is well attested，but is omitted from the Dictionary．

[^9]:    29 Of the crones who celebrated depraved mysteries with the young Aeschines.
    30 Kassel-Austin treat this as a legitimate fragment of the lost Ploutos I. But the coincidence with Ar. Pl. 1205-6 (quoted above) is so obvious, that it is difficult to believe that this is not simply a reference to the original play, with the original point garbled as a result of the process of epitomization. 31 The two categories appear to be conflated late in the $4^{\text {th }}$ century at [Arist.] Ath. 47.4 d́vappá $\psi$ as $\dot{\varepsilon} v \gamma \rho a \mu \mu \alpha \tau \varepsilon i[0 เ \varsigma \lambda \varepsilon \lambda] \varepsilon[v] \kappa \omega \mu \varepsilon ́ v o \iota \varsigma, 53.4$ عiऽ $\lambda \varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon \cup \kappa \omega \mu \varepsilon ́ v a ~ \gamma \rho a \mu \mu \alpha \varepsilon \varepsilon \pi \alpha$.
    32 C. Austin and S. D. Olson (eds.), Aristophanes: Thesmophoriazusae (Oxford 2004).

[^10]:    33 I.e. the sea-nymph Galateia.
    34 At S. fr. 314.183 (unhelpfully cited s.v. $\gamma$ рárıc as "S. Ichn. 183"), on the other hand, there is no good reason to think that the word means "wrinkled", and Radt and O'Sullivan-Collard follow Robert in taking Грáлıऽ to be the name of one of the satyrs.

[^11]:    39
    The armed race was called the hoplitodromos, and was thus almost by definition restricted to adults. It would accordingly make no sense for Pindar to specify that the boy Thrasydaeus won in the unarmed race, as if there was some other standard possibility.

[^12]:     underground nest"; but the sense is "in an underground cave", referring to the hiding place of the sickle with which Cronus castrated Ouranos).
    41 S. Pirrotta (ed.), Plato comicus. Die fragmentarischen Komödien. Ein Kommentar (Studia Comica Band 1: Berlin 2009) ad loc.

