

# **A partial ordering of claim amount distributions and its relation to ruin probabilities in the Poisson model**

Autor(en): **Michel, R.**

Objekttyp: **Article**

Zeitschrift: **Mitteilungen / Vereinigung Schweizerischer Versicherungsmathematiker = Bulletin / Association des Actuaires Suisses = Bulletin / Association of Swiss Actuaries**

Band (Jahr): - **(1987)**

Heft 1

PDF erstellt am: **02.06.2024**

Persistenter Link: <https://doi.org/10.5169/seals-967145>

## **Nutzungsbedingungen**

Die ETH-Bibliothek ist Anbieterin der digitalisierten Zeitschriften. Sie besitzt keine Urheberrechte an den Inhalten der Zeitschriften. Die Rechte liegen in der Regel bei den Herausgebern.

Die auf der Plattform e-periodica veröffentlichten Dokumente stehen für nicht-kommerzielle Zwecke in Lehre und Forschung sowie für die private Nutzung frei zur Verfügung. Einzelne Dateien oder Ausdrucke aus diesem Angebot können zusammen mit diesen Nutzungsbedingungen und den korrekten Herkunftsbezeichnungen weitergegeben werden.

Das Veröffentlichen von Bildern in Print- und Online-Publikationen ist nur mit vorheriger Genehmigung der Rechteinhaber erlaubt. Die systematische Speicherung von Teilen des elektronischen Angebots auf anderen Servern bedarf ebenfalls des schriftlichen Einverständnisses der Rechteinhaber.

## **Haftungsausschluss**

Alle Angaben erfolgen ohne Gewähr für Vollständigkeit oder Richtigkeit. Es wird keine Haftung übernommen für Schäden durch die Verwendung von Informationen aus diesem Online-Angebot oder durch das Fehlen von Informationen. Dies gilt auch für Inhalte Dritter, die über dieses Angebot zugänglich sind.

R. MICHEL, Wuppertal

## A partial ordering of claim amount distributions and its relation to ruin probabilities in the Poisson model

For a compound Poisson process  $S_t$ ,  $t \geq 0$ , specified by the Poisson parameter  $\lambda > 0$  and the claim amount distribution  $Q$  on  $(0, \infty)$  with finite mean, and the total of premiums  $c > 0$  received in the time interval  $(0, 1)$ , the probability of ruin, given the initial reserve  $x \geq 0$ , is

$$\psi(x) = \mathbb{P}\{x + c t - S_t < 0 \text{ for some } t > 0\} \quad (1)$$

where  $x + c t - S_t$ ,  $t \geq 0$ , represents the so-called surplus process. (Observe that  $\psi(x)$  does depend on  $\lambda$ ,  $Q$  and  $c$ .)

It is the purpose of this paper to relate the ruin probabilities (1) for two surplus processes and to characterize this relation according to a suitable partial ordering of the corresponding claim amount distributions. For easier reference we at first quote some standard results on the ruin probability (1).

We have

(a)

$$\psi(x) = \mathbb{P}\left\{\sup_n \sum_{i=1}^n (X_i - c W_i) > x\right\},$$

where  $X_1, X_2, \dots$  are i.i.d. with common distribution  $Q$ ,  $W_1, W_2, \dots$  are i.i.d. exponentially distributed with mean  $1/\lambda$ , and  $X_1, X_2, \dots$  are stochastically independent of  $W_1, W_2, \dots$ .

(b) If  $c \leq \lambda \mu(Q)$ , where  $\mu(Q)$  denotes the mean of  $Q$ , then  $\psi(x) = 1$ ,  $x \geq 0$ . (Observe that  $\text{Var}(X_1 - c W_1) = \text{Var}(X_1) + (c/\lambda)^2 > 0$ , which gives  $\mathbb{P}\{X_1 - c W_1 = 0\} < 1$ .)

(c)

$$\psi(0) = \min\left(1, \frac{\lambda \mu(Q)}{c}\right).$$

(This follows from (b) and formula (2.9), p. 113, in *Gerber* [2], respectively.)

(d) For  $c > \lambda \mu(Q)$  we have

$$\psi(x) = (1-p) \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} p^n D^{*n}(x, \infty), \quad x \geq 0, \quad (2)$$

where

$$p = \frac{\lambda \mu(Q)}{c} = \psi(0) \quad (3)$$

and where  $D$  is the distribution on  $[0, \infty]$  with Lebesgue-density

$$y \rightarrow \frac{1}{\mu(Q)} Q(y, \infty) 1_{[0, \infty)}(y). \quad (4)$$

(This immediately follows from the renewal equation (3.7), p. 115, in *Gerber* [2] in connection with the known representation of the solution of such an equation.)

**Definition:** For two claim amount distributions  $Q_1, Q_2$  on  $(0, \infty)$  with finite means we write

$$Q_1 \leq Q_2$$

if

$$D_1(x, \infty) \leq D_2(x, \infty) \quad \text{for all } x \geq 0 \quad (5)$$

where  $D_i, i = 1, 2$ , are the associated distributions with Lebesgue-densities given according to (4).

*Remark 1:*

- (i) Relation (5) represents the classical partial ordering of distributions, called relation of stochastic dominance.
- (ii)  $Q_1 \leq Q_2$  and  $Q_2 \leq Q_1$  imply  $Q_1 = Q_2$ .  
(This follows from the fact that the Lebesgue-densities (4) are continuous from the right).
- (iii)  $Q_1 \leq Q_2$  is equivalent to

$$D_1^{*k}(x, \infty) \leq D_2^{*k}(x, \infty), \quad x \geq 0, \quad k = 1, 2, \dots \quad (6)$$

A result on the implication of the considered partial ordering of claim amount distributions to ruin probabilities has been derived in *Taylor* [3], who shows that “ $Q_1$  is more dangerous than  $Q_2$ “ (in the sense of *Bühlmann* et al. [1]) and  $\mu(Q_1) = \mu(Q_2)$  imply  $Q_1 \leq Q_2$ , and that this in turn yields

$$\psi_1(x) \leq \psi_2(x), \quad x \geq 0,$$

for the corresponding ruin probabilities, given that

$$c_i = (1 + \beta) \lambda_i \mu(Q_i), \quad i = 1, 2$$

(where  $\beta > 0$  is fixed).

As result of this paper we have the following complete characterization of the circumstances.

**Theorem:** For two claim amount distributions  $Q_1, Q_2$  on  $(0, \infty)$  with finite means,

$$Q_1 \leq Q_2$$

is equivalent to

$$\psi_1(x) \leq \psi_2(x), \quad x \geq 0, \tag{7}$$

for all  $\lambda_i, c_i > 0, i = 1, 2$ , with  $c_2 \lambda_1 \mu(Q_1) \leq c_1 \lambda_2 \mu(Q_2)$ .

*Remark 2:*

- (i) Obviously,  $\mu(Q_1) = \mu(Q_2)$  and  $c_i = (1 + \beta) \lambda_i \mu(Q_i), i = 1, 2$ , imply  $c_2 \lambda_1 \mu(Q_1) = c_1 \lambda_2 \mu(Q_2)$ .
- (ii) The condition on the quantities  $\lambda_i, c_i, i = 1, 2$ , in (7) is necessary in the following sense:  
If  $\psi_1(x) \leq \psi_2(x), x \geq 0$ , and if there exists  $x_0 \geq 0$  with  $\psi_1(x_0) < 1$  then

$$c_2 \lambda_1 \mu(Q_1) \leq c_1 \lambda_2 \mu(Q_2). \tag{8}$$

To see this we note that according to (b),

$$c_1 > \lambda_1 \mu(Q_1).$$

Hence,  $c_2 \leq \lambda_2 \mu(Q_2)$  gives (8). In the case  $c_2 > \lambda_2 \mu(Q_2)$  we use  $\psi_1(0) \leq \psi_2(0)$  and apply (c) to obtain (8).

*Proof of the theorem:*

- (i) At first we assume that  $Q_1 \leq Q_2$  and that  $\lambda_i, c_i > 0, i = 1, 2$ , fulfill (8). If

$$c_2 \leq \lambda_2 \mu(Q_2),$$

then (b) gives  $\psi_2(x) = 1$ ,  $x \geq 0$ , i.e.  $\psi_1(x) \leq \psi_2(x)$ ,  $x \geq 0$ . Hence, we may assume in the following that

$$c_2 > \lambda_2 \mu(Q_2). \quad (9)$$

With

$$a_k = D_1^{*k}(x, \infty), \quad k = 1, 2, \dots \quad (10)$$

(where  $x \geq 0$  is fixed) let

$$f(p) = (1-p) \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_k p^k, \quad 0 < p < 1.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} f'(p) &= \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_k p^{k-1} [k - (k+1)p] \\ &= a_1 + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (k+1)(a_{k+1} - a_k) p^k. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $0 \leq a_k \leq a_{k+1}$ ,  $k = 1, 2, \dots$ , we have

$$f'(p) \geq 0, \quad 0 < p < 1. \quad (11)$$

Let

$$p_i = \frac{\lambda_i \mu(Q_i)}{c_i}, \quad i = 1, 2$$

From (8) and (9),

$$0 < p_1 \leq p_2 < 1.$$

According to (2), this and (11) imply

$$\psi_1(x) = f(p_1) \leq f(p_2) \leq \psi_2(x),$$

where the last inequality follows from  $a_k \leq D_2^{*k}(x, \infty)$ ,  $k = 1, 2, \dots$  (see (10) and (6)).

(ii) We now assume that (7) holds true. At first observe that  $p = \lambda \mu(Q)/c < 1$  and (2) yield

$$p D(x, \infty) \leq \psi(x) \leq p D(x, \infty) + p^2, \quad x \geq 0. \quad (12)$$

(Here we have used  $D^{*k}(x, \infty) \geq D(x, \infty)$ ,  $k = 1, 2, \dots$ , and  $D^{*k}(x, \infty) \leq 1$ ,  $k = 2, 3, \dots$ ).

Exploiting (7) for

$$c_1 = \lambda_2 = 1, \quad c_2 = \frac{1}{\lambda_1} \frac{\mu(Q_2)}{\mu(Q_1)}, \quad 0 < \lambda_1 < \frac{1}{\mu(Q_1)}$$

and by setting  $p = \lambda_1 \mu(Q_1)$  we obtain from (7) and (12) for all  $p \in (0, 1)$  and all  $x \geq 0$ ,

$$p D_1(x, \infty) \leq \psi_1(x) \leq \psi_2(x) \leq p D_2(x, \infty) + p^2,$$

i.e.

$$D_1(x, \infty) \leq D_2(x, \infty) + p, \quad x \geq 0, \quad 0 < p < 1$$

which gives

$$D_1(x, \infty) \leq D_2(x, \infty), \quad x \geq 0.$$

**Remark 3:**

A closer look at (11) reveals that  $f'(p) > 0$ ,  $0 < p < 1$ , unless  $f(p) = 0$ ,  $0 < p < 1$ . Hence, we also have the following result:

If  $Q_1 \leq Q_2$ , then  $c_2 \lambda_1 \mu(Q_1) < c_1 \lambda_2 \mu(Q_2)$  and  $\psi_1(x) < 1$ ,  $\psi_2(x) > 0$  imply

$$\psi_1(x) < \psi_2(x).$$

Prof. Dr. R. Michel  
Fachbereich 7 – Mathematik  
Bergische Universität  
Gaußstrasse 20  
5600 Wuppertal 1  
West Germany

---

## References

- [1] Bühlmann, H., Galgardi, B., Gerber, H.U., and Straub, E. (1977): Some inequalities for stop-loss premiums. *Astin Bulletin* 9, 75–83.
- [2] Gerber, H.U. (1979): An Introduction to Mathematical Risk Theory. S.S. Huebner Foundation for Insurance Education. University of Pennsylvania, Philadelphia.
- [3] Taylor, G.C. (1984): A heuristic review of some ruin theory results. Invited address to the Astin meeting of the 22nd International Congress of Actuaries.

## Abstract

To a claim size distribution  $Q$  with mean  $\mu$  we associate the distribution with Lebesgue-density  $(1/\mu) Q(x, \infty)$ ,  $x > 0$ . (This is the ladder-height-distribution of the renewal process corresponding to the given Poisson-process). It is shown that the classical partial ordering (stochastic dominance) of these associated distributions represents a partial ordering of the claim size distributions, and that it completely characterizes a certain relation of the corresponding probabilities of ruin.

## Zusammenfassung

Einer Schadenhöhenverteilung  $Q$  mit einem Mittelwert  $\mu$  ordnen wir die Verteilung mit der Lebesgue-Dichte  $(1/\mu) Q(x, \infty)$ ,  $x > 0$ , zu. (Es handelt sich dabei um die Leiterhöhenverteilung zu dem dem Poisson-Prozess entsprechenden Erneuerungsprozess.) Gezeigt wird, dass die klassische partielle Ordnung (stochastische Dominanz) dieser zugeordneten Verteilungen eine partielle Ordnung der Schadenhöhenverteilungen darstellt und dass dadurch ein Vergleich der entsprechenden Ruinwahrscheinlichkeiten vollständig charakterisiert ist.

## Résumé

L'auteur associe à une distribution  $Q$  du montant d'un sinistre, de moyenne  $\mu$ , la distribution – selon Lebesgue – de densité  $(1/\mu) Q(x, \infty)$ ,  $x > 0$  (ce qui fournit la distribution de la hauteur d'échelle du processus de renouvellement associé au processus de Poisson considéré). Il montre ensuite que l'ordonnancement partiel classique (dominance aléatoire) de ces distributions associées représente un ordonnancement partiel des distributions des montants des sinistres, et que ce moyen caractérise entièrement une certaine relation existante entre les probabilités de ruine correspondantes.