

# English summaries

Objektyp: **Group**

Zeitschrift: **Revue de Théologie et de Philosophie**

Band (Jahr): **62 (2012)**

Heft 2

PDF erstellt am: **05.08.2024**

## **Nutzungsbedingungen**

Die ETH-Bibliothek ist Anbieterin der digitalisierten Zeitschriften. Sie besitzt keine Urheberrechte an den Inhalten der Zeitschriften. Die Rechte liegen in der Regel bei den Herausgebern.

Die auf der Plattform e-periodica veröffentlichten Dokumente stehen für nicht-kommerzielle Zwecke in Lehre und Forschung sowie für die private Nutzung frei zur Verfügung. Einzelne Dateien oder Ausdrucke aus diesem Angebot können zusammen mit diesen Nutzungsbedingungen und den korrekten Herkunftsbezeichnungen weitergegeben werden.

Das Veröffentlichen von Bildern in Print- und Online-Publikationen ist nur mit vorheriger Genehmigung der Rechteinhaber erlaubt. Die systematische Speicherung von Teilen des elektronischen Angebots auf anderen Servern bedarf ebenfalls des schriftlichen Einverständnisses der Rechteinhaber.

## **Haftungsausschluss**

Alle Angaben erfolgen ohne Gewähr für Vollständigkeit oder Richtigkeit. Es wird keine Haftung übernommen für Schäden durch die Verwendung von Informationen aus diesem Online-Angebot oder durch das Fehlen von Informationen. Dies gilt auch für Inhalte Dritter, die über dieses Angebot zugänglich sind.

Ein Dienst der *ETH-Bibliothek*  
ETH Zürich, Rämistrasse 101, 8092 Zürich, Schweiz, [www.library.ethz.ch](http://www.library.ethz.ch)

<http://www.e-periodica.ch>

## ENGLISH SUMMARIES

- C. KÖNIG-PRALONG, Introduction to the philosophy of Aubry de Reims, RThPh 2012/II, p. 97-118.

*Since its discovery and publication in 1984 by René-Antoine Gauthier, Aubry de Reims' Philosophia figures as an important text in philosophical historiography. This introduction to the work written c. 1265 by the Maître ès arts Aubry de Reims, future rector of the University of Paris (elected in 1271), documented recent reconstructions of the intellectual scene in the universities between 1260 and 1270. The reader will find here, introduced and for the first time translated, the first part of this text which includes a eulogy, an evaluation of the situation and a definition of philosophy.*

- F. MOINAT, The eidetic and the objectivity of values in Max Scheler, RThPh 2012/II, p. 119-140.

*Scheler is known in ethical philosophy for having maintained that values have objective existence, independently of the subjectivity of individual preferences. This article sets out to show that, if we can consider values as objective essences, these are entangled in empirical reality; which implies, finally, that values guide our acts, but they do not constitute transparent and absolute reference points, otherwise, there could be no leeway or individual initiative.*

- R. THEIS, The metaphysic-theological foundation of the ethic of responsibility in Hans Jonas, RThPh 2012/II, p. 141-158.

*In this study we want to verify an hypothesis, that the ethic of responsibility developed by Hans Jonas, on the premise of methodical atheism, reflects, ultimately, a theological foundation. First, we reconstruct the angular affirmations of Principe responsabilité and follow the trajectory of this ethic by situating it in the different contexts of its evolution (gnostic studies, philosophy of biology) Jonas' thinking. Secondly, we will show how this programme of ethics is rooted in a metaphysical and theological context which is in fact its ultimate foundation; human responsibility in the world is responsibility with a view to the integrity of God.*

- C. INDERMÜHLE, Redundancy. Paul Ricoeur and his linking of theology to politics, RThPh 2012/II, p. 159-172.

*Paul Ricoeur constructs philosophy as a heterotopia, in subjecting it in the order of a juridical and theological «confession». By subtracting thought from the primordial competency of philosophy in order to link it to a story, Ricoeur displays the primacy of a third party. In his Valences of the Dialectic, the American philosopher Jameson has reviewed this assemblage, underlining the paradox which thus obliges Ricoeur to pull out an idea from the time of the first tasks of phenomenology. This article tries to lay out the consequences (notably the swinging towards hermeneutics), so as to question the theological-political effects of this vast project.*

D. MÜLLER, Separation, desire and time? RThPh 2012/II, p. 173-182.

*The philosopher Heinz Wismann's Penser entre les langues is not an autobiography, but it makes possible the linking of elements in the life of the author to his own philosophical works. Centred on the Benjamin notion of passage, this book questions the possibility, even the impossibility of thinking at the same time in two languages. After situating the thought of the author, this critical study brings up the connection to Schleiermacher and to Gadamer, before proposing some echoes and outcomes of certain of his themes: the link to language and to time, the significance of religion, the dialectic between immanence and transcendence.*